Lecture 11 Noisy Gradient Descent

Yu-Xiang Wang

UC San Diego

HALICIOĞLU DATA SCIENCE INSTITUTE

Recap: Last lecture

- Convex empirical risk minimization
- Output perturbation
- Objective perturbation

Recap: Convex ERM and optimality conditions

- Data $(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{Z}$
- Convex ERM:

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(\theta, (x_i, y_i))$$

- Optimality condition: gradient = 0

- Assumptions: Lipschitzness, Smoothness

Recap: Output perturbation

- Stability of the output via regularization
- Privacy: from Gaussian mechanism
- Utility of Output Perturbation

Recap: Objective perturbation

- Algorithm $\hat{\theta}^P = \underset{\theta \in \Theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} L(\theta; D) + r(\theta) + \frac{\lambda}{2}||\theta||_2^2 + b^T\theta,$

- Privacy analysis
  - For GLM

  - For General smooth learning problems

This lecture

- Utility analysis of objective perturbation
- Noisy Gradient Descent
- Privacy amplification by sampling and NoisySGD

Readings

- Chaudhuri et al. / Kifer et al. (continuing)

- Bassily et al. (2014) Private empirical risk minimization: Efficient algorithms and tight error bounds. In *FOCS*. https://arxiv.org/abs/1405.7085
  - For the NoisySGD algorithm
  - For NoisyGD just refer to this lecture note.

Utility analysis of objective perturbation

Checkpoint: Compare the excess empirical risk of Output/Objective Perturbation

	Lipschitz losses	Smooth losses	Smooth / Lipschitz GLM
Output Pert	$\frac{d^{1/4}L\|\theta^*\|\log(\frac{1}{\delta})^{1/4}}{n^{1/2}\epsilon^{1/2}}$	$\frac{d^{1/3}\beta^{1/3}L^{2/3}\|\theta^*\|^{4/3}\log(\frac{1}{\delta})^{1/3}}{n^{2/3}\epsilon^{2/3}}$	Same as left
ObjPert	Not applicable	$\frac{dL\|\theta^*\|\sqrt{\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}}{n\epsilon}$	$\frac{\sqrt{d}L\|\theta^*\|\sqrt{\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}}{n\epsilon}$

Lower order terms and dependence on β hidden.

- Normalized by 1/n to be consistent with prior tables.
- Non-private excess risk is on the order of $\sqrt{d/n}$
- Could be $O(d/n)$

What are not quite satisfactory?

- Require the loss to be twice differentiable
  - Convex losses need not be even differentiable
- We did not handle the constrained convex ERM
- They do not handle non-convex ERM problems, e.g., those that arise when optimizing deep neural networks

Gradient Descent

- Unconstrained, differentiable optimization problem

$$\min_x \; f(x)$$

- The algorithm:

Gradient descent: choose initial point $x^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, repeat:

$$x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)} - t_k \cdot \nabla f(x^{(k-1)}), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$

Stop at some point

Gradient descent in convex problems vs nonconvex problems





Extensions of Gradient Descent

- Non-differentiable case: Subgradient descent
- Constrained case: Projected gradient descent
- Non-smooth penalty function: Proximal gradient descent
- Nonconvex cases: We give up theoretical guarantees but in practice it works (remarkably well)

Stochastic gradient descent

- Update rule:

$$\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta_t g_t$$

- Assumptions:



The convergence of GD and SGD

- GD in Smooth / convex problems
- GD in general convex problems
- SGD in general convex problems
- SGD in strongly convex problems
- Projected version

Recap: Renyi Differential Privacy and Concentrated Differential Privacy

- We say that a mechanism satisfies (α, ϵ)-Renyi DP, if

$$D_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x)\|\mathcal{M}(x')) \leq \epsilon$$

- We say a mechanism satisfies ρ-zCDP, if

$$D_\alpha(\mathcal{M}(x)\|\mathcal{M}(x')) \leq \rho\alpha, \forall\alpha > 1$$

- Gaussian mechanism satisfies:

- Converting to approximate DP:

$$(\epsilon, \alpha)\text{-RDP implies } (\epsilon(\alpha) + \tfrac{\log(1/\delta)}{\alpha-1}, \delta)\text{-DP}$$

$$\textit{If } M \textit{ provides } \rho\textit{-zCDP, then } M \textit{ is } (\rho + 2\sqrt{\rho\log(1/\delta)}, \delta)\textit{-DP.}$$

Noisy Gradient Descent Mechanism for Convex ERM

- The algorithm:

- Privacy analysis:
  - A composition of T Gaussian mechanisms

Utility Analysis of NoisyGD Mechanism

- Idea: Use off-the-shelf convergence theorems.
  - Choose the hyperparameters to optimize the bound while maintaining the same DP guarantee

- Results under different assumptions
  - Convex, Lipschitz, smooth losss
  - Convex and Lipschitz loss
  - Strongly convex and Lipschitz loss
  - Non-convex and Smooth losses

Convergence guarantee of NoisyGD for convex /Lipschitz problems

- Not covered in this course (Read my notes from CS292F Convex Optimization Lecture 8)

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t}(f(x_t)-f^*)\right] \leq \frac{\|x_1-x^*\|^2}{T\eta} + \eta(\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\|\partial f(x_t)\|^2\right] + d\sigma^2)$$

  - Learning rate chosen optimally
- Final utility bound

Checkpoint: NoisyGD summary

	Lipschitz + convex	Lipschitz + Smooth + convex	Smooth + Lipschitz + convex + GLM
Output Pert	$\frac{d^{1/4}L\|\theta^*\|\log(\frac{1}{\delta})^{1/4}}{n^{1/2}\epsilon^{1/2}}$	$\frac{d^{1/3}\beta^{1/3}L^{2/3}\|\theta^*\|^{4/3}\log(\frac{1}{\delta})^{1/3}}{n^{2/3}\epsilon^{2/3}}$	Same as left
ObjPert	Not applicable	$\frac{dL\|\theta^*\|\sqrt{\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}}{n\epsilon}$	$\frac{\sqrt{d}L\|\theta^*\|\sqrt{\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}}{n\epsilon}$
NoisyGD	$\frac{\sqrt{d}L\|\theta^*\|\sqrt{\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}}{n\epsilon}$	$\frac{\sqrt{d}L\|\theta^*\|\sqrt{\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}}{n\epsilon}$	$\frac{\sqrt{d}L\|\theta^*\|\sqrt{\log(\frac{1}{\delta})}}{n\epsilon}$

Lower order terms and dependence on β hidden.

Next lecture

- Noisy Stochastic Gradient Descent
- Differentially private deep learning