## Diffusion approximation

UCSD CSE 272 Advanced Image Synthesis

Tzu-Mao Li

### Today: multiple-scattering approximation



https://blog.selfshadow.com/publications/s2015-shading-course/burley/s2015\_pbs\_disney\_bsdf\_notes.pdf https://naml.us/paper/deon2011\_subsurface.pdf

http://graphics.ucsd.edu/~henrik/papers/bssrdf/









#### Challenge: multiple-scattering in dense media requires many bounces

these images usually require hundreds of bounces



<u>https://rgl.epfl.ch/publications/Jakob2010Radiative</u> <u>https://cs.dartmouth.edu/~wjarosz/publications/bitterli18framework.html</u>



https://www.cs.cornell.edu/projects/translucency/#acquisition-sa13

#### Trick: aggregate multiple scattering events using a "BSSRDF"



Bidirectional Subsurface Scattering Reflectance Distribution Function

 $f(\omega, \omega')$ 

BRDF/BSDF

 $f(p, \omega, p', \omega')$  BSSRDF

#### BRDF vs BSSRDF



#### BRDF



#### BSSRDF

http://graphics.ucsd.edu/~henrik/papers/bssrdf/bssrdf.pdf



#### Cool Vox video!



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NvFoKkWyZ5Y



# Goal: deriving BSSRDF from radiative transfer equation

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + L$ 

 $f(p, \omega, p', \omega')$ 

$$L_{e}(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \sigma_{s} \int_{S^{2}} \rho(\omega,\omega') L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega') d\omega'$$

 $f(p, \omega, p',$ 



#### Simple BSSRDFs

$$(\omega) = (1 - F(\omega)) R \left( \| p - p' \| \right) (1 - F(\omega))$$

$$R(r) \propto e^{-\frac{r^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

R: "diffuse reflectance profile"



# $R(r) \propto e^{-\frac{r^2}{\sigma^2}}$



1. sample on a disk using R(r)

## $R(r) \propto e^{-\frac{r^2}{\sigma^2}}$



#### **BSSRDF Importance Sampling**

Alan King Solid Angle

Christopher Kulla Sony Pictures Imageworks

Alejandro Conty Sony Pictures Imageworks



- 1. sample on a disk using R(r)
- 2. project onto the surface

# $R(r) \propto e^{-\frac{r}{\sigma^2}}$



#### **BSSRDF Importance Sampling**

Alan King Solid Angle

Christopher Kulla Sony Pictures Imageworks

Alejandro Conty Sony Pictures Imageworks

![](_page_10_Picture_11.jpeg)

- 1. sample on a disk using R(r)
- 2. project onto the surface
- 3. repeat this for different axes, combine with MIS

 $R(r) \propto e^{-\frac{r}{\sigma^2}}$ 

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### **BSSRDF Importance Sampling**

Alan King Solid Angle

Christopher Kulla Sony Pictures Imageworks

Alejandro Conty Sony Pictures Imageworks

![](_page_11_Picture_11.jpeg)

# How do we know if simple BSSRDFs are sufficient?

 $f(p, \omega, p', \omega)$ 

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

$$(\omega') = (1 - F(\omega)) R \left( \| p - p' \| \right) (1 - F(\omega))$$

$$R(r) \propto e^{-\frac{r^2}{\sigma^2}}$$

R: "diffuse reflectance profile"

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Goal: deriving BSSRDF from radiative transfer equation

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + L$ 

 $f(p, \omega, p', \omega')$ 

$$L_{e}(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \sigma_{s} \int_{S^{2}} \rho(\omega,\omega') L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega') d\omega'$$

#### Intuition: volumetric path tracing looks like Brownian motion

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brownian\_motion

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### Physics: expectation of Brownian motions is a solution to a PDE

• c.f. Fick, Einstein, Feynman-Kac formula

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brownian\_motion

### Heat equation

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### $\frac{\partial l}{\partial t}$

time derivative

![](_page_16_Figure_4.jpeg)

$$\frac{u}{\tau} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2}\right) + Q(x, y, z)$$

spatial diffusion

heat source

#### Equilibrium of heat equation: Poisson equation

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

time derivative

![](_page_17_Figure_4.jpeg)

Poisson equation is also the equilibrium of a electric field assuming no magnetic field

 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2}\right) + Q(x, y, z)$ spatial heat source diffusion  $\left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial z^2}\right) + Q(x, y, z)$ 0 =Poisson equation  $= \Delta u + Q$ 

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_8.jpeg)

V.S.

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + L_e(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \sigma_s \int_{S^2} \rho(\omega,\omega') L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega') \mathrm{d}\omega'$ 

![](_page_18_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Assumption 1: isotropic phase function

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \varepsilon_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega)$ 

 $\frac{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) +$ 

$$-L_{e}(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \sigma_{s} \int_{S^{2}} \rho(\omega,\omega') L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega') d\omega$$

$$-L_{e}(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \frac{\sigma_{s}}{4\pi} \int_{S^{2}} L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega') d\omega'$$

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Assumption 2: first-order spherical moment expansion on L

$$L(\mathbf{p},\omega) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} L(\mathbf{p},\omega') \mathrm{d}\omega' + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \int_{S^2} \omega' L(\mathbf{p},\omega') \mathrm{d}\omega'$$

zero-th order moment (total mass)

first order moment (center of mass)

$$\frac{3}{4\pi}\omega\cdot\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p})$$

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + L_e(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \frac{\sigma_s}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega')\mathrm{d}\omega'$ 

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### Assumption 3: matching spherical moments of RTE

plug in 
$$L(\mathbf{p}, \omega) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \phi(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p})$$

take 0-th order moment

take 0-th order moment

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + L_e(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \frac{\sigma_s}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega')\mathrm{d}\omega'$$

plug in 
$$L(\mathbf{p}, \omega) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \phi(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p})$$

take 1st order moment

take 1st order moment

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + L_e(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \frac{\sigma_s}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega')\mathrm{d}\omega'$$

#### Diffusion approximation through moment matching plug in $L(\mathbf{p}, \omega) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \phi(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p})$ take 0-th order moment take 0-th order moment

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + L_e(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \frac{\sigma_s}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega')\mathrm{d}\omega'$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p}) = -\sigma_a \mathbf{e}$$
$$Q_0(\mathbf{p}) = \int L_e(\mathbf{p}, \omega')$$

See Sec. 5.1 of the tech report for the full derivation https://rgl.epfl.ch/publications/Jakob2010Radiative

 $\phi(\mathbf{p}) + Q_0(\mathbf{p})$ 

 $d\omega$ 

### Diffusion approximation through $plug \text{ in } L(\mathbf{p}, \omega) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \phi(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p})$ moment matching

take 1st order moment

take 1st order moment

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + L_e(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \frac{\sigma_s}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega')\mathrm{d}\omega'$$

$$\frac{1}{3} \nabla \phi(\mathbf{p}) =$$
$$Q_1(\mathbf{p}) = \int \omega' \cdot L_e(\mathbf{p})$$

See Sec. 5.1 of the tech report for the full derivation https://rgl.epfl.ch/publications/Jakob2010Radiative

$$\sigma_t \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p}) + Q_1(\mathbf{p})$$

 $\mathbf{y}, \omega' \mathbf{d} \omega'$ 

#### Diffusion approximation through moment matching

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$Q_0(\mathbf{p}) = \int L_e(\mathbf{p}, \omega') d\omega' \qquad Q_1(\mathbf{p}) = \int \omega' \cdot L_e(\mathbf{p}, \omega') d\omega'$$

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p}) = -\sigma_a \phi(\mathbf{p}) + Q_0(\mathbf{p})$ 

$$L(\mathbf{p},\omega) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} L(\mathbf{p},\omega') \mathrm{d}\omega' + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \int_{S^2} \omega' L(\mathbf{p},\omega') \mathrm{d}\omega' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \phi(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega' \mathrm{d}\omega'$$

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Diffusion approximation through moment matching

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p}) =$  $\frac{1}{3}\nabla\phi(\mathbf{p}) =$ 

solve for  $\phi$ 

 $\frac{1}{3\sigma_t}\Delta\phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sigma_a\phi(\mathbf{p})$ 

$$-\sigma_a \phi(\mathbf{p}) + Q_0(\mathbf{p})$$

$$-\sigma_t \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p}) + Q_1(\mathbf{p})$$

$$(\mathbf{p}) - Q_0(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_t} \nabla \cdot Q_1(\mathbf{p})$$

 $Q_0(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{bmatrix} L_e(\mathbf{p}, \omega') d\omega' & Q_1(\mathbf{p}) = \begin{bmatrix} \omega' \cdot L_e(\mathbf{p}, \omega') d\omega' & L(\mathbf{p}, \omega) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} L(\mathbf{p}, \omega') d\omega' + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \int_{S^2} \omega' L(\mathbf{p}, \omega') d\omega' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \phi(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p}) \end{bmatrix}$ 

![](_page_25_Picture_9.jpeg)

#### Diffusion approximation through moment matching

 $\frac{1}{3}\nabla\phi(\mathbf{p}) = -$ 

solve for  $\phi$ 

 $\frac{1}{3\sigma_t}\Delta\phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sigma_a\phi(\mathbf{p})$ 

**E** can be computed from  $\phi$  and Q

 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p}) = -\sigma_a \phi(\mathbf{p}) + Q_0(\mathbf{p})$ 

$$-\sigma_t \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p}) + Q_1(\mathbf{p})$$

$$(\mathbf{p}) - Q_0(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_t} \nabla \cdot Q_1(\mathbf{p})$$

 $L(\mathbf{p},\omega) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} L(\mathbf{p},\omega') d\omega' + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \int_{\mathbf{S}^2} \omega' L(\mathbf{p},\omega') d\omega' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \phi(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{3}{4\pi} \omega \cdot \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{p})$ 

![](_page_26_Picture_9.jpeg)

V.S.

 $\Delta u + Q = 0$ 

 $\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) = -\sigma_t L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + L_e(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega) + \sigma_s \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \rho(\omega,\omega') L(\mathbf{p}(t),\omega') \mathrm{d}\omega'$ 

![](_page_27_Figure_6.jpeg)

 $\frac{1}{3\sigma_t}\Delta\phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sigma_a\phi(\mathbf{p})$ 

V.S.

 $\Delta u + Q = 0$ 

$$(\mathbf{p}) - Q_0(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_t} \nabla \cdot Q_1(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_t} \nabla \cdot Q_1(\mathbf{p})$$

![](_page_28_Picture_6.jpeg)

$$\frac{1}{3\sigma_t}\Delta\phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sigma_a\phi(\mathbf{p}) - Q_0(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_t}\nabla \cdot Q_1(\mathbf{p})$$

energy loss due to absorption

V.S.

 $\Delta u + Q = 0$ 

aka "screened Poisson equation" or Yukawa equation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Screened\_Poisson\_equation

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Solving for $\phi$ in diffusion approximation

- $\phi$  depends on the choice of Q & boundary condition
- goal: setup Q & boundary conditions so that we have efficient solutions

$$\frac{1}{3\sigma_t}\Delta\phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sigma_a\phi(\mathbf{p}) - Q_0(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_t}\nabla \cdot Q_1(\mathbf{p})$$

![](_page_30_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Monopole solution: a single point light source without boundary

# $\frac{1}{3\sigma_t}\Delta\phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sigma_a\phi(\mathbf{p}) - Q_0(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_t}\nabla \cdot Q_1(\mathbf{p})$

 $\phi(\mathbf{p})$ 

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

 $Q_0 = \delta(\mathbf{p})$ 

 $Q_1 = 0$ 

point light source

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### Monopole solution: a single point light source without boundary

$$\frac{1}{3\sigma_t}\Delta\phi(\mathbf{p}) = \sigma_a\phi(\mathbf{p}) - Q$$

 $\phi(\mathbf{p})$ 

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

$$\phi_m(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{3\sigma_t}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{3\sigma_a\sigma_t}} \|\mathbf{p}\|}{\|\mathbf{p}\|}$$

"Green's function"

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Green%27s\_function

<u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ism2SfZgFJg</u> (super cool video about Green's function)

 $2_0(\mathbf{p}) + \frac{1}{\sigma_t} \nabla \cdot Q_1(\mathbf{p})$ 

 $Q_0 = \delta(\mathbf{p})$ 

 $Q_1 = 0$ 

point light source

![](_page_32_Picture_10.jpeg)

#### Monopole fails to account for the boundary

point light source

no scattering here

specular reflection

air

surface

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Idea: put a **negative** light source to cancel out contribution

"dipole approximation"

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### point light source

negative point light source

air

surface

#### Idea: put a **negative** light source to cancel out contribution

"dipole approximation"

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $Z_{\nu}$ 

Zr

negative point light source

air

surface

 $\phi_d(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{3\sigma_t}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{3\sigma_a\sigma_t}} \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_r\|}{\|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_r\|} - \frac{3\sigma_t}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{3\sigma_a\sigma_t}} \|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_v\|}{\|\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_v\|}$ 

### Choose $z_v$ to cancel out contribution at $z_{\rho}$

"dipole approximation"

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

point light source

read <u>pbrt</u> for how  $z_{\rho}$  is chosen

negative point light source

air

zero contribution

surface

 $\phi_d(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{3\sigma_t}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{3\sigma_a\sigma_t} \|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_r\|}}{\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_r\|} - \frac{3\sigma_t}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{3\sigma_a\sigma_t} \|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_v\|}}{\|\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}_v\|}$ 

![](_page_36_Picture_13.jpeg)

# Using dipole solutions for BSSRDF

• place point "light sources" along the incoming ray (using reciprocity of light transport)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Photon Beam Diffusion: A Hybrid Monte Carlo Method for Subsurface Scattering**

Ralf Habel<sup>1</sup>

Per H. Christensen<sup>2</sup>

Wojciech Jarosz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Disney Research Zürich

<sup>2</sup>Pixar Animation Studios

![](_page_37_Figure_9.jpeg)

# Using dipole solutions for BSSRDF

- BSSRDF is defined as the sum of dipoles to all of them (multiply with Fresnel)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

• place point "light sources" along the incoming ray (using reciprocity of light transport)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

Ralf Habel<sup>1</sup>

Per H. Christensen<sup>2</sup>

Wojciech Jarosz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Disney Research Zürich

<sup>2</sup>Pixar Animation Studios

## Dipole vs volumetric path tracing

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

dipole (photon beam diffusion)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

path tracing

<u>https://cs.dartmouth.edu/~wjarosz/publications/habel13pbd.html</u>

![](_page_39_Figure_6.jpeg)

### Dipole vs volumetric path tracing

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

dipole

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

path tracing

### "Hacks" to improve dipoles

$$\phi_{m}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{3\sigma_{t}}{4\pi} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{3\sigma_{a}\sigma_{t}}} \|\mathbf{p}\|}{\|\mathbf{p}\|}$$

$$\phi_{g}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{e^{-\sigma_{t}} \|p\|}{4\pi \|p\|^{2}} - \phi_{m}(\mathbf{p})$$

$$0.08$$

$$0.06$$

$$0.06$$

$$0.06$$

$$0.04$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

$$0.00$$

$$0.02$$

Grosjean's correction [1956]

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

<u>Subsurface\_Scattering\_Using\_the\_Diffusion\_Equation#Non-classicalDiffusion</u>

### "Hacks" to improve dipoles

Christensen & Burley's empirical model

![](_page_42_Figure_2.jpeg)

A: albedo 
$$\frac{\sigma_s}{\sigma_t}$$

 $s = 1.85 - A + 7 |A - 0.8|^3$ 

![](_page_42_Figure_5.jpeg)

https://graphics.pixar.com/library/ApproxBSSRDF/paper.pdf

![](_page_42_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### Dual-beam diffusion

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_2.jpeg)

Eugene d'Eon Jig Lab

![](_page_43_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Directional dipole [Frisvad 2014]

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

(highly recommend Toshiya (UCSD phd!)'s slides!!) https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/~thachisu/dirpole\_slides.pdf

#### Data-driven BSSRDFs

#### An Empirical BSSRDF Model

Craig Donner\*Jason Lawrence†Ravi RamamoorthiToshiya HachisukaHenrik Wann JensenShree Nayar\*\* Columbia University† University of Virginia‡ UC Berkeley§ UC San Diego

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

Diffusion Dipole + Single Scattering (10 min)

![](_page_45_Picture_5.jpeg)

Our Model + Single Scattering (30 min)

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

Monte Carlo Path Tracing (30 hours)

![](_page_45_Picture_9.jpeg)

Single Scattering Only

tabular solution

#### A Learned Shape-Adaptive Subsurface Scattering Model

DELIO VICINI, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) VLADLEN KOLTUN, Intel Labs WENZEL JAKOB, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL)

![](_page_45_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### neural net solution

![](_page_45_Picture_16.jpeg)

#### Similarity relation for converting non-isotropic phase functions to isotropic ones

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 15.15: Representative Light Paths for Highly Anisotropic Scattering Media. (a) Forwardscattering medium, with g = 0.9. Light generally scatters in the same direction it was originally traveling. (b) Backward-scattering medium, with q = -0.9. Light frequently bounces back and forth, making relatively little forward progress with respect to its original direction.

#### High-Order Similarity Relations in Radiative Transfer

Shuang Zhao **Cornell University** 

Ravi Ramamoorthi University of California, Berkeley

Kavita Bala **Cornell University** 

(b)

<u>https://www.pbr-book.org/3ed-2018/Light\_Transport\_II\_Volume\_Rendering/</u> <u>Subsurface\_Scattering\_Using\_the\_Diffusion\_Equation#Non-classicalDiffusion</u>

![](_page_46_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Shell tracing: BSSRDF for discrete media

![](_page_47_Picture_1.jpeg)

 $f(x, \omega, x', \omega')$ 

![](_page_47_Picture_3.jpeg)

photograph

path tracing (28 hours)

shell tracing (1 hour)

#### **Rendering Discrete Random Media Using Precomputed Scattering Solutions**

Jonathan T. Moon, Bruce Walter, and Stephen R. Marschner

Department of Computer Science and Program of Computer Graphics, Cornell University

![](_page_47_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### Hybrid method: combining volumetric path tracing & BSSRDF

path tracing

![](_page_48_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_3.jpeg)

a. Monte Carlo (246 min)

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

b. Hybrid method (33 min)

![](_page_48_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### A Hybrid Monte Carlo Method for Accurate and Efficient **Subsurface Scattering**

Hongsong Li<sup>†</sup> Fabio Pellacini<sup>†</sup> Kenneth Torrance<sup>†</sup>

![](_page_48_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### Multi-scale methods: granular media rendering

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

Johannes Meng<sup>2,1</sup> Marios Papas<sup>1,3</sup> Ralf Habel<sup>1</sup> Steve Marschner<sup>4</sup> Markus Gross<sup>1,3</sup> Carsten Dachsbacher<sup>2</sup> Wojciech Jarosz<sup>1,5</sup>\* <sup>1</sup>Disney Research Zürich <sup>2</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology <sup>3</sup>ETH Zürich <sup>4</sup>Cornell University <sup>5</sup>Dartmouth College

![](_page_49_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Multi-Scale Modeling and Rendering of Granular Materials

#### Multi-scale methods: granular media rendering

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Multi-Scale Modeling and Rendering of Granular Materials

Johannes Meng<sup>2,1</sup> Marios Papas<sup>1,3</sup> Ralf Habel<sup>1</sup> Carsten Dachsbacher<sup>2</sup> Steve Marschner<sup>4</sup> Markus Gross<sup>1,3</sup> Wojciech Jarosz<sup>1,5</sup>

> <sup>1</sup>Disney Research Zürich <sup>2</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology <sup>3</sup>ETH Zürich <sup>4</sup>Cornell University <sup>5</sup>Dartmouth College

C DISNEP

College

# BSSRDF for fur rendering

#### A BSSRDF Model for Efficient Rendering of Fur with Global Illumination

LING-QI YAN, University of California, Berkeley WEILUN SUN, University of California, Berkeley HENRIK WANN JENSEN, University of California, San Diego RAVI RAMAMOORTHI, University of California, San Diego

![](_page_51_Picture_3.jpeg)

(a) Local illumination + Classic dual scattering 16spp, 54s

(b) Local illumination + Extended dual scattering 87spp, 7.2min

(c) Photon mapped Left: equal quality, 174.1min Right: equal time, 6.8min

![](_page_51_Picture_8.jpeg)

(e) Path traced reference Left: 1200spp, 72.9min Right: 85spp, 7.6min

![](_page_51_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_11.jpeg)

### Next: differentiable rendering

#### Differentiable Monte Carlo Ray Tracing through Edge Sampling

TZU-MAO LI, MIT CSAIL MIIKA AITTALA, MIT CSAIL FRÉDO DURAND, MIT CSAIL JAAKKO LEHTINEN, Aalto University & NVIDIA

![](_page_52_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_4.jpeg)

(a) initial guess

(b) real photograph

(c) camera gradient

![](_page_52_Picture_10.jpeg)

(per-pixel contribution)

(d) table albedo gradient (e) light gradient (per-pixel contribution) (per-pixel contribution) (f) our fitted result