

Homework 3, due Tuesday 11/14

CSE 291 Learning Theory

1. In the *agnostic* PAC learning model, it is not assumed that the distribution \mathcal{D} is separable by \mathcal{H} ; that is, there may not exist $h^* \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*) = 0$. In this case, the goal is to return $h \in \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h) \leq \epsilon + \inf_{h^* \in \mathcal{H}} \text{err}_{\mathcal{D}}(h^*)$$

(everything else remains the same as in the definition of PAC learning).

Show that the class of axis-parallel rectangles in \mathbb{R}^2 is efficiently learnable in the agnostic PAC model.

2. Show that $\text{VC}(\mathcal{H}_1 \cup \mathcal{H}_2) = O(\max(\text{VC}(\mathcal{H}_1), \text{VC}(\mathcal{H}_2)))$.
3. What upper and lower bounds can you give on the VC dimension of Boolean conjunctions over $\{0, 1\}^n$?
4. In this problem, we will get bounds on the VC dimension of the class of *balls* in \mathbb{R}^d , that is, $\mathcal{B} = \{B_{\mu,r} : \mu \in \mathbb{R}^d, r > 0\}$ where

$$B_{\mu,r}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \|x - \mu\|^2 \leq r^2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- (a) Consider the mapping $\phi : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ defined by $\phi(x) = (x, \|x\|^2)$. Show that if x_1, \dots, x_m are shattered by \mathcal{B} , then $\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_m)$ are shattered by the class of linear separators in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} . What does this tell us about $\text{VC}(\mathcal{B})$?
- (b) Exhibit a set of $d + 1$ points in \mathbb{R}^d that are shattered by \mathcal{B} .

Footnote. In fact, $\text{VC}(\mathcal{B}) = d + 1$. One way to arrive at the upper bound is by a classic result in geometry called *Radon's theorem*. It says that any $d + 2$ points in \mathbb{R}^d can be partitioned into two disjoint sets S, T such that the convex hull of S intersects the convex hull of T . (Try it with four points in \mathbb{R}^2 .) To get the VC result, pick any set of $d + 2$ points in \mathbb{R}^d , and let S, T be the two subsets of the Radon partition. If the points can be shattered, then there exists a ball containing S but excluding T , and another ball containing T but excluding S . But this must mean that there is a hyperplane separating S from T (do you see why?), which is a contradiction since the convex hulls of S and T intersect.

5. An ϵ -cover of a metric space (X, d) is a subset $C \subset X$ such that for any $x \in X$, there exists $y \in C$ with $d(x, y) \leq \epsilon$.

Consider S^{d-1} , the surface of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d , imbued with the l_2 (Euclidean) metric. In this problem, we'll consider two ways of getting an ϵ -cover of S^{d-1} .

- (a) Consider a *maximal* set of points $x_1, \dots, x_M \in S^{d-1}$ such that the balls $B(x_i, \epsilon/2)$ are disjoint.
 - i. Show that x_1, \dots, x_M constitute an ϵ -cover of S^{d-1} .
 - ii. By comparing the volume of the balls $B(x_i, \epsilon/2)$ to that of a larger ball that encloses all of them, show that

$$M \leq \left(1 + \frac{2}{\epsilon}\right)^d.$$

- (b) Here's an even easier way to construct an ϵ -cover: pick N points at random from the uniform distribution μ over S^{d-1} . How large should N be, so that the points are an ϵ -cover with probability at least $1/2$? (*Hint:* Use problem 4. Also, you may assume that $\epsilon \leq 1/2$, and that for any $x \in S^{d-1}$, the spherical cap of radius ϵ around x has probability mass $\mu(B(x, \epsilon) \cap S^{d-1}) \geq \epsilon^{d-1}/(6\sqrt{d})$.)