

3.2 Quadratic Opt. Problems (SOCP)

SOCP : (Second-Order Cone Program)

$$\begin{aligned} \min f^T x \\ \text{s.t. } \|A_i x + b_i\|_2 \leq c_i^T x + d_i, i = 1, \dots, m \\ Fx = g \end{aligned}$$

SOCP: $(Ax + b, c^T x + d)$ lies in the second order cone $\{(y, t) \mid \|y\|_2 \leq t, y \in R^k\}$

SOCP viewed as a Semidefinite Program Problem

SOCP constraint: $\|Ax + b\|_2 \leq c^T x + d$

can be expressed as a Semidefinite Program constraint:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (c^T x + d)I & Ax + b \\ (Ax + b)^T & c^T x + d \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0 \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} (c^T x + d)I & 0 \\ 0 & c^T x + d - (Ax + b)^T \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ -E^T & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F^T & G \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I - E^{-1}F \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & G - F^T E^{-1}F \end{bmatrix}$$

$R^T \qquad R$

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{(c^T x + d)^T I (Ax + b)}_{23} \\ & \downarrow \\ & \underline{(c^T x + d)^2 - (Ax + b)^T (Ax + b)} \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Geometric Programming

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K c_k x_1^{a_{1k}} x_2^{a_{2k}} \dots x_n^{a_{nk}}, \quad c_k > 0, a_{ik} \in R, x \in R_{++}^n$$

Each term is called monomial

$f(x)$ is called posynomial

Geometric Program:

$$\min f_0(x)$$

s.t.

$$f_i(x) \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, m$$

$$h_i(x) = 1, i = 1, \dots, p$$

$$x > 0$$

f_i s are posynomials

h_i s are monomials

$$\text{Obj: } f_0(x) = x_1 x_2^{-2} + 2 x_1^2 x_3^{-1/3} + 1.5 x_2^{-1} x_3^{-2}$$

$$\text{s.t. } f_1(x) = x_2^{-1} x_4 + x_1^{-1/2} x_3 + \dots \leq 1$$

$$h_1(x) = x_1^{-1/3} x_2^4 x_3^{4.5} = 1$$

$$x_i \Rightarrow e^{\log x_i} \Rightarrow e^{y_i} \quad y_i = \log x_i$$

3.3 Geometric programming in convex form

monomial $f(x) = cx_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$, $x \in R_{++}^n$

$\log x_i \rightarrow y_i$
 $x_i \rightarrow e^{y_i}$

$\log f(e^{y_1}, \dots, e^{y_n}) = a^T y + b$, $b = \log c$

$x_i^{a_i} \rightarrow e^{a_i y_i}$

$c x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$

$\rightarrow \log c + a_1 y_1 + a_2 y_2 + \dots + a_n y_n$

polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K c_k x_1^{a_{1k}} \dots x_n^{a_{nk}}$

$\log f(e^{y_1} \dots e^{y_n}) = \log \sum_{k=1}^K e^{a_k^T y + b_k}$, $b_k = \log c_k$

Geometric program transform

$\min \log(\sum_{k=1}^{K_0} e^{a_{ok}^T y + b_{ok}})$

subject to $\log \sum_{k=1}^{K_i} e^{a_{ik}^T y + b_{ik}} \leq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$

$Gy + d = 0$

$\log \rightarrow \log c + a_1 y_1 + a_2 y_2 + \dots + a_n y_n$

3.4 Generalized Inequality Constraints

$\min f_0(x)$

s.t. $f_i(x) \preceq_{K_i} 0$

$Ax = b$

$(x \preceq_K y \rightarrow y - x \in K)$

$f_i(\bar{x}) \preceq_{K_i} f_i(\tilde{x})$

iff $f_i(\bar{x}) - f_i(\tilde{x}) \in K_i$

Semidefinite Programming (SDP)

$\min c^T x$

s.t. $x_1 F_1 + \dots + x_n F_n + G \preceq 0$

$Ax = b$

$G, F_1, \dots, F_n \in S^k, A \in R^{p \times n}$

negative semidefinite

Standard Form SDP

$\min \text{tr}(CX)$

s.t. $\text{tr}(A_i X) = b_i$, $i = 1, \dots, p$

$X \succeq 0$

$C, A_1, \dots, A_p \in S^n, X \in S^n$

CSE203B Convex Optimization:

Chapter 5 Duality

CK Cheng

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering
University of California, San Diego

1

Chapter 5 Duality

- Primal and Dual Problem (**Mechanism**)
 - Primal Problem
 - Lagrangian Function
 - Lagrange Dual Problem
- Examples (Primal Dual Conversion Procedure)
 - Linear Programming
 - Quadratic Programming
 - Conjugate Functions (Duality)
 - Entropy Maximization
- Interpretation (Duality) (**Theory**)
 - Saddle-Point Interpretation
 - Geometric Interpretation
 - Slater's Condition
 - Shadow-Price Interpretation
- KKT Conditions (**Optimality Conditions**)
- Sensitivity (Shadow-Price) (**Perturbation**)
- Generalized Inequalities

2

Duality

Primal Problem (Solution x is feasible)

$$\begin{aligned} \min f_0(x) \quad & x \in R^n \\ \text{s.t. } f_i(x) \leq 0 \quad & i = 1, \dots, m \quad \text{domain } D \\ h_i(x) = 0 \quad & i = 1, \dots, p \quad = \text{dom } f_0 \cap_i \text{dom } f_i \cap_i \text{dom } h_i \end{aligned}$$

Notation: Opt: $x^*, p^* = f_0(x^*)$

Lagrangian: $L: R^n \times R^m \times R^p \rightarrow R$

$$L(x, \lambda, v) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p v_i h_i(x)$$

λ_i, v_i : Lagrange multiplier, $\lambda_i \in R_+, v_i \in R$.

Lagrange dual function (Solution x may not be feasible)

$$g(\lambda, v) = \inf_{x \in D} L(x, \lambda, v) = \min_x f_0(x) + \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_i v_i h_i(x)$$

Dual Problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\lambda, v} g(\lambda, v) \quad & \text{s.t. } \lambda \in R_+^m, v \in R^p \\ \uparrow \uparrow & \\ \text{Shadow Price} & \end{aligned}$$

$$-g(\lambda, v) = \max_x -\sum \lambda_i f_i(x) - \sum v_i h_i(x) - f_0(x)$$

$$= \max_x \underbrace{-\sum \lambda_i f_i(x) - \sum v_i h_i(x) - f_0(x)}_{\text{convex } (\lambda_i, v_i)}$$

Duality

Dual Problem (Solution x may not be feasible)

$$\max_{\lambda, v} g(\lambda, v) \quad \text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0$$

- $g(\lambda, v)$ is concave
- $g(\lambda, v) \leq p^*$ an optimal value where $\lambda \geq 0$

Proof 1: By definition of $g(\lambda, v)$ and the convexity of pointwise max operation on convex functions.

Proof 2: For any feasible \tilde{x} and $\lambda \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\tilde{x}) &\geq L(\tilde{x}, \lambda, v) \quad (\text{Because } \sum \lambda_i f_i(\tilde{x}) + \sum v_i h_i(\tilde{x}) \leq 0) \\ L(\tilde{x}, \lambda, v) &\geq g(\lambda, v) \quad \text{by definition of } g(\lambda, v) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Thus } p^* = f_0(x^*) \geq g(\lambda, v)$$