

CSE203B Convex Optimization: Chapter 5 Duality

CK Cheng

Dept. of Computer Science and Engineering
University of California, San Diego

1

Chapter 5 Duality

- Primal and Dual Problem *Mechanism*
 - Primal Problem
 - Lagrangian Function
 - Lagrange Dual Problem
- Examples (Primal Dual Conversion Procedure) *Examples*
 - Linear Programming
 - Quadratic Programming
 - Conjugate Functions (Duality)
 - Entropy Maximization
- Interpretation (Duality) *Theory*
 - Saddle-Point Interpretation
 - Geometric Interpretation
 - Slater's Condition
 - Shadow-Price Interpretation
- KKT Conditions (Optimality Conditions) *Optimality*
- Sensitivity (Shadow-Price)
- Generalized Inequalities

2

Duality

Primal Problem (Feasible Solution)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min f_0(x) & x \in R^n \\ \text{s.t. } f_i(x) \leq 0 & i = 1, \dots, m \\ h_i(x) = 0 & i = 1, \dots, p \end{array} \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{domain } D \\ = \text{dom } f_0 \cap_i \text{dom } f_i \cap_i \text{dom } h_i \\ \text{feasible set} \end{array} \right\} E = \{x \mid f_i(x) \leq 0, h_i(x) = 0 \forall i \\ \text{Opt: } x^*, p^* = f_0(x^*) \\ \text{Lagrangian: } L: R^n \times R^m \times R^p \rightarrow R \quad \forall x \in D \}$$

$$L(x, \lambda, \nu) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(x)$$

λ_i, ν_i : Lagrange multiplier, $\lambda_i \in R_+, \nu_i \in R$.

$$E \subset D$$

Lagrange dual function

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x \in D} L(x, \lambda, \nu) \quad (\text{x may not be feasible})$$

$$g(\lambda, \nu) = \min_{x \in D} L(x, \lambda, \nu)$$

$$= - \max_{x \in D} -L(x, \lambda, \nu)$$

$$= - \max_{x \in D} -f_0(x) - \sum \lambda_i f_i(x) - \sum \nu_i h_i(x)$$

Convex w.r.t λ_i, ν_i

Convex w.r.t λ_i, ν_i

Duality

Dual Problem (Infeasible Solution)

$$\max_{\lambda, \nu} g(\lambda, \nu) \quad \text{s.t. } \lambda \geq 0$$

1. $g(\lambda, \nu)$ is concave

2. $g(\lambda, \nu) \leq p^*$ an optimal value where $\lambda \geq 0$

Proof 1: By definition of $g(\lambda, \nu)$ and the convexity of pointwise max operation on convex functions.

Proof 2: For any feasible \tilde{x} and $\lambda \geq 0$

$$f_0(\tilde{x}) \geq L(\tilde{x}, \lambda, \nu) \quad (\text{Because } \sum \lambda_i f_i(\tilde{x}) + \sum \nu_i h_i(\tilde{x}) \leq 0)$$

$L(\tilde{x}, \lambda, \nu) \geq g(\lambda, \nu)$ by definition of $g(\lambda, \nu)$

Thus $p^* = f_0(x^*) \geq g(\lambda, \nu)$

Example: Linear Programming

Prime:

$$\begin{aligned} & \min c^T x \\ & \text{subject to } \begin{cases} Ax \leq b \\ x \geq 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} Ax - b \leq 0 \\ -x \leq 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, \lambda) &= c^T x + \lambda_I^T (Ax - b) - \lambda_{II}^T x \\ &= -\lambda_I^T b + (A^T \lambda_I - \lambda_{II} + c)^T x, \quad \lambda_I, \lambda_{II} \geq 0 \\ g(\lambda) &= \inf_x L(x, \lambda) \\ g(\lambda) &= \begin{cases} -b^T \lambda_I, & A^T \lambda_I + c \geq 0 \quad (A^T \lambda_I - \lambda_{II} + c = 0) \Rightarrow A^T \lambda_I + c = \lambda_{II} \geq 0 \\ -\infty, & \text{otherwise} \quad (A^T \lambda_I - \lambda_{II} + c \neq 0) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Dual:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max -b^T \lambda_I \quad (\min b^T \lambda_I) \\ & \text{subject to } A^T \lambda_I + c \geq 0 \\ & \quad \lambda_I \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

5

Example: Linear Programming

Prime: $\min [-1 \ -1] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$

subject to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \leq \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, x_1, x_2 \geq 0.$

Dual: $\max -[3 \ 2] \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix}$

subject to $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \geq \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$
 $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \geq 0$

Results: $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1/3 \end{bmatrix}, p^* = -\frac{7}{3}$

$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 \\ 2/3 \end{bmatrix}, d^* = -\frac{7}{3}$

6

Example: Linear Programming

$$\min c^T x$$

subject to $Ax = b$, $x \geq 0$, (or $-x \leq 0$)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Lagrangian: } L(x, \lambda, v) &= c^T x + \lambda^T (-x) + v^T (Ax - b) \\ &= -b^T v + (c + A^T v - \lambda)^T x\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Lagrange Dual: } g(\lambda, v) = \inf_x L(x, \lambda, v)$$

1. If $A^T v - \lambda + c = 0 \rightarrow g(\lambda, v) = -b^T v$
2. Else $\rightarrow g(\lambda, v) = -\infty$

Properties:

1. g is linear on affine domain $\{(\lambda, v) | A^T v - \lambda + c = 0\}$, hence concave.
2. If $\lambda \geq 0 \Rightarrow A^T v + c \geq 0$
 $p^* \geq -b^T v \text{ if } A^T v + c \geq 0$

$$\boxed{\max -b^T v \quad A^T v + c \geq 0} \quad \text{or}$$

$$\boxed{\max b^T v \quad A^T v \leq c}$$

7

Example: Quadratic Programming

$$\min x^T x$$

subject to $Ax = b$

Lagrangian:

$$L(x, v) = x^T x + v^T (Ax - b)$$

To minimize L over x , we set $\nabla_x L(x, v) = 2x + A^T v = 0$

$$\Rightarrow x = -\frac{1}{2} A^T v \quad (1)$$

Lagrange Dual Function:

$$g(v) = L\left(x = -\frac{1}{2} A^T v, v\right) = -\frac{1}{4} v^T A A^T v - b^T v$$

A concave function of v

Lower Bound Property: $p^* \geq -\frac{1}{4} v^T A A^T v - b^T v, \forall v$

To maximize $g(v)$, we set $v = -2(AA^T)^{-1}b$

Thus, we have $g(v) = -\frac{1}{4} v^T A A^T v - b^T v = b^T (AA^T)^{-1} b \quad (2)$

(3) From (1) and (2), we have $\begin{cases} x^* = A^T (AA^T)^{-1} b \\ p^* = x^{*T} x^* = b^T (AA^T)^{-1} b \end{cases}$

8