Our Problem

- Communications is complicated
  - Modulation and encoding bits
  - Splitting sequences of bits into packets
  - Fixing errors
  - Controlling access to network
  - Routing
  - Recovering from lost messages
  - Etc....

- Really hard to think about all of this and get it right
- Not all applications need all of it
- How to achieve interoperability?
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Layering: A Modular Approach

- Sub-divide the problem
  - Each layer relies on services from layer below
  - Each layer exports services to layer above

- Interface between layers defines interaction
  - Hides implementation details (encapsulation)
  - Layers can change without disturbing other layers (modularity)

- Interface among peers in a layer is a **protocol**
  - If peers speak same protocol, they can interoperate
Key Design Decision

- How do you divide functionality across the layers?

- **End-to-end argument [Saltzer84]**
  - Functionality should be implemented at a lower layer *if and only if* it can be **correctly** and **completely** implemented there.
  - Incomplete versions of a function can be used as a performance enhancement, but not for correctness.

- Early, and still relevant, example
  - ARPAnet provided reliable link transfers between switches.
  - Was this enough for reliable communication?
  - No, packets could still get corrupted on host-switch link, or inside of the switches.
  - Hence, still need reliability at higher layers.
Protocol Standardization

- Communicating hosts speaking the same protocol
  - Standardization to enable multiple implementations
  - Or, the same folks have to write all the software

- Internet Engineering Task Force
  - Based on working groups that focus on specific issues
  - Produces “Request For Comments” (RFCs)
    » Rough consensus and running code
    » After enough time passes, promoted to Internet Standards

- Other standards bodies exist
  - ISO, ITU, IEEE, etc.
TCP/IP Protocol Stack
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Encapsulation via Headers

- Typical Web packet

```
Start of packet
Ethernet Hdr  IP Hdr  TCP Hdr  HTTP Hdr  Payload (Web object)

datalink  network  transport  application

End of packet```

- Notice that layers add overhead
  - Space (headers), effective bandwidth
  - Time (processing headers, “peeling the onion”), latency

Which header gets added first?

A. Ethernet
B. IP
C. TCP
D. HTTP
Internet Protocol Suite

The Hourglass Model
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Later: Phy/(MAC)Link layer

- **Signal encoding**
  - Encode binary data from source node into signals that physical links carry
  - Signal is decoded back into binary data at receiving node
  - Work performed by network adapter at sender and receiver

- **Media access**
  - Arbitrate which nodes can send frames at any point in time
  - Not always necessary; e.g. point-to-point duplex links
For now: (Data) Link Layer

- **Framing**
  - Break stream of bits up into discrete chunks

- **Error handling**
  - Detect and/or correct errors in received frames

- **Multiplexing**
  - Determine appropriate destination for a given frame
  - Also not always required; again, point-to-point
Today’s Focus: Framing

- Break down a stream of bits into smaller, digestible chunks called **frames**
  - Identify the beginning and the end of the bitstream

- Allows the link to be shared
  - Multiple senders and/or receivers can **time multiplex** the link
  - Each frame can be separately addressed

- Provides manageable unit for error handling
  - Easy to determine whether something went wrong
  - And perhaps even to fix it if desired
A sample bitstream
A sample bitstream

01001001001000000111010001101000110101001100111001100000011100100111010001011101011000001110100110000111001011000010011000011001000111011001101000011100100011101000110000101110100001000000111010001110010011001010111001100110100101110110000100000011101000110100001100101011010001100001001101000011101000011000010110111001101111011100000110010101111001001100100011011110111001101100101001000000110001101100011001010111000101110111011001010110010000101110000101011100010000001101000011000010110001101101011001100101011011100110111001100101011001000111100100101110000101001
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What’s a Frame?

- Wraps payload up with some additional information
  - Header usually contains addressing information
  - Maybe includes a trailer (w/checksum—next lecture)

- Basic unit of reception
  - Link either delivers entire frame payload, or none of it
  - Typically some maximum transmission unit (MTU)

- Some link layers require absence of frames as well
  - I.e., minimum gaps between frames

How do hosts find frames on the link?

A. Special delimiters
B. Fixed-length chunks
C. Arrive on a schedule
D. All of the above
Identifying Frames

- First task is to delineate frames
  - Receiver needs to know when a frame starts and ends
  - Otherwise, errors from misinterpretation of data stream

- Several different alternatives
  - Fixed length (bits) frames
  - Explicitly delimited frames
    » Length-based framing
    » Sentinel-based framing
  - Fixed duration (seconds) frames
Fixed-Length Frames

- Easy to manage for receiver
  - Well understood buffering requirements

- Introduces inefficiencies for variable length payloads
  - May waste space (padding) for small payloads
  - Larger payloads need to be fragmented across many frames
  - Very common inside switches

- Requires explicit design tradeoff
  - ATM uses 53-byte frames (cells)
Length-Based Framing

- To avoid overhead, we’d like variable length frames
  - Each frame declares how long it is
  - E.g. DECNet DDCMP

- What’s the issue with explicit length field?
  - Must correctly read the length field (bad if corrupted)
    - Need to decode *while* receiving
  - Still need to identify the beginning…
Sentinel-based Framing

- Allow for variable length frames
- Idea: mark start/end of frame with special “marker”
  - Byte pattern, bit pattern, signal pattern
- But… must make sure marker doesn’t appear in data

- Two solutions
  - Special non-data physical-layer symbol
    - Impact on efficiency (can’t use symbol for data) of code
  - Stuffing
    - Dynamically remove marker bit patterns from data stream
    - Receiver “unstuffs” data stream to reconstruct original data
For Next Class

- Read 2.4