

CSE200 Lecture Notes – Immerman-Szelepcsényi Theorem

Lecture by Russell Impagliazzo
Notes by Jiawei Gao

February 25, 2016

1 Immerman-Szelepcsényi Theorem

The theorem states the nondeterministic classes of space complexity are closed under complement.

Theorem 1 (Immerman-Szelepcsényi Theorem). For any function $S(n) \geq \log n$,

$$\text{NSPACE}(S(n)) = \text{co-NSPACE}(S(n)).$$

This theorem was surprising when it was discovered. Before it, people conjectured $\text{NL} \neq \text{co-NL}$. But the theorem shows $\text{NL} = \text{co-NL}$.

In linguistics there is a thesis saying all human languages are context-sensitive. CSL, the set of context-sensitive languages, is exactly $\text{NSPACE}(n)$. By Theorem 1, $\text{CSL} = \text{co-CSL}$ (the complement of a context-sensitive language is also context-sensitive).

Recall that an accepting computation of a TM is a path in the configuration graph from the start configuration node to the accepting configuration node. (Here we assume there is only one accepting configuration) So a TM doesn't accept means there are no paths from the start configuration node to the accepting configuration node.

To prove that for any $L \in \text{NSPACE}(S(n))$ there is $\bar{L} \in \text{NSPACE}(S(n))$, we need to come up with a nondeterministic algorithm that certifies t is not reachable from s . Since the size of graph is $2^{O(S(n))}$, our nondeterministic algorithm should use space logarithmic to the graph size.

Problem: (s, t) -UNCONN

- Input: Graph G , nodes s, t .
- Output: If t is not reachable from s , output "Yes", otherwise output "No".

We construct an algorithm in NL that decides (s, t) -UNCONN.

Let N be a nondeterministic algorithm. We say N computes $f(x)$ if

(A) every non-rejecting run of N outputs $f(x)$

(B) on every x , at least one path accepts.

Define value $count_\ell$ to be the number of vertices v that are reachable from s by a path of length at most ℓ . Initially, $count_1 = d(s) + 1$.

To compute $count_\ell$, we define $R(G, s, v, \ell, count) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v \text{ is reachable from } s \text{ in } \leq \ell \text{ steps.} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$,

where $count$ is the number of nodes reachable from s by paths of length no more than ℓ .

Algorithm $R(s, v, \ell, count)$

```

for each  $u \in V - \{v\}$  do
   $b \leftarrow$  guess if  $u$  is reachable from  $s$  in  $\ell$  steps
   $newcount \leftarrow newcount + b$ 
  if  $b = 1$  then
    guess a path from  $s$  to  $u$  of length  $\leq \ell$ .
    if we don't reach  $u$  then reject.
 $\alpha$ :
if  $newcount = count$  then return "0".
if  $newcount = count - 1$  then
  guess a path to  $v$ 
  if we find it then return "1" else reject.
else reject.

```

The nondeterministic algorithm computing $R(s, v, \ell, count)$ works as follows: for each vertex u other than v , we guess if there is a path from s to u of length ℓ . Every time we make a wrong guess, we reject. At point α , all our previous paths were checked to be correct. If $newcount = count$, then it means all paths of length ℓ are already found previously in $V - \{v\}$, thus v cannot be reached from s in ℓ steps. If $newcount = count - 1$, then it is possible that the only uncounted path reaches v . Thus we guess a path to v and check if it is the case. If $newcount < count - 1$, then it means for some u reachable from s , we didn't make the guess that u is reachable.

As long as v is reachable from s within ℓ steps, there is always a sequence of correct guesses that finally returns with "1". On the other hand, if v is not reachable, then all sequences of guesses are either wrong and thus rejected, or correct and thus returns with "0".

Each variable in the algorithm uses memory $\log n$. So the space complexity is $\log n$.

Next we show the algorithm that computes $count_\ell$ from $count_{\ell-1}$ using R as a subroutine.

Algorithm $\#G(s, \ell, count_{\ell-1})$

```

 $count_\ell \leftarrow 0$ 
for each  $v$  do
  for each  $u$  do
    if  $(u, v) \in E$  and  $R(s, u, \ell - 1, count_{\ell-1})$  then
       $count_\ell \leftarrow count_\ell + 1$ 
      break;

```

return $count_\ell$

Finally, we can reuse the variables for $count_\ell$.

Algorithm (s, t) -UNCONN

```
count ← 1
for ℓ ← 1 to n do
  count ← #G(s, ℓ, count)
if R(s, t, n, count) = 1 then return false
return true
```

Because the number of variables is constant, and the space complexity of each variable is $O(\log n)$, the whole algorithm is in NL.

2 Probabilistic computation

Say we have an equation $(x + y + z)^{137} - (x + 2y - z)^{137} = z^{137}$. To test if it's valid (i.e. true on all values of x, y, z), we can plug in random values for x, y, z and see if the equation holds.

Theorem 2 (Schwartz-Zippel-DeMillo-Lipton Lemma). If $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a non-zero polynomial of degree D , and let x_1, \dots, x_n be uniformly and independently selected from set S , then $\Pr[p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0] \leq D/|S|$.