# CSE 20 DISCRETE MATH

#### SPRING 2016

http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/sp16/cse20-ac/

## Today's learning goals

- Define and compute the cardinality of a set: Finite sets, countable sets, uncountable sets
- Use functions to compare the sizes of sets
- Determine and prove whether a given binary relation is
  - symmetric
  - antisymmetric
  - reflexive
  - transitive
- Represent equivalence relations as partitions and vice versa
- Define and use the congruence modulo m equivalence relation

#### Cardinality

Finite sets

Countably infinite sets

Rosen Defn 3 p. 171

|A| = n for some nonnegative int n

 $|A| = |Z^+|$  (informally, can be listed out)

Uncountable sets

Infinite but not in bijection with Z<sup>+</sup>

## Cardinality

#### Rosen p. 172

• Countable sets A is finite or  $|A| = |Z^+|$  (informally, can be listed out)

Examples:  $\emptyset \quad \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x^2 = 1\} \quad \mathcal{P}(\{1, 2, 3\}) \quad \mathbb{Z}^+$ and also ...

- the set of odd positive integers
- the set of all integers
- the set of **positive rationals**
- the set of **negative rationals**
- the set of all rationals
- the set of binary strings

Example 1 Example 3 Example 4



Rosen example 5, page 173-174

#### **Cantor's diagonalization argument**

Theorem: For every set A,  $|A| \neq |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ 



**Cantor's diagonalization argument** 

Theorem: For every set A,  $|A| \neq |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ 

**Proof:** (Proof by contradiction)

Assume towards a contradiction that  $|A| = |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ . By definition, that means there is a **bijection**  $A \to \mathcal{P}(A)$ .





#### **Cantor's diagonalization argument**

Consider the subset D of A defined by, for each a in A:

$$a \in D$$
 iff  $a \notin f(a)$ 





#### **Cantor's diagonalization argument**

Consider the subset D of A defined by, for each a in A:

$$a \in D$$
 iff  $a \notin f(a)$ 

Define d to be the pre-image of D in A under f f(d) = DIs d in D?

- If yes, then by definition of D,  $d \notin f(d) = D$  a contradiction!
- Else, by definition of  $D, \neg(d \notin f(d))$  so  $d \in f(D) = D$  a contradiction!

### Cardinality

Rosen p. 172

Uncountable sets
Infinite but not in bijection with Z<sup>+</sup>

*Examples:* the power set of any countably infinite set and also ...

- the set of real numbers
- (0,1)
- (0,1]

Example 5 Example 6 (++) Example 6 (++)

Exercises 33, 34

## Cardinality and subsets

Suppose A and B are sets and  $A \subseteq B$ .

- A. If A is finite then B is finite.
- B. If A is countable then B is uncountable.
- C. If B is infinite then A is finite.
- D. If B is uncountable then A is uncountable.
- E. None of the above.

## Size as a relation

Cardinality lets us compare and group sets.



## Size as a relation

Cardinality lets us compare and group sets.



#### Relations, more generally Rosen Sections 9.1, 9.3 (second half), 9.5, 9.6

 Let A, B be sets. Binary relation from A to B is (any) subset of A x B.



#### Relation on a set A

#### Rosen pp 576-578

R is subset of A x A. It is called

reflexive iff  $\forall a((a,a) \in R)$ 

**symmetric** iff  $\forall a \forall b ((a, b) \in R \rightarrow (b, a) \in R)$ 

**antisymmetric** iff  $\forall a \forall b ( [(a, b) \in R \land (b, a) \in R] \rightarrow a = b )$ 

**transitive** iff  $\forall a \forall b \forall c ( [(a, b) \in R \land (b, c) \in R] \rightarrow (a, c) \in R )$ 

#### New representation of relations on a set A

 $A = \mathcal{P}(\{1, 2\}) \qquad \qquad X \ R \ Y \text{ iff } X \subseteq Y$ 



#### Relation on a set A

R is subset of A x A. It is called

reflexive iff  $\forall a((a, a) \in R)$  self loops

symmetric iff  $\forall a \forall b ((a, b) \in R \rightarrow (b, a) \in R)$  paired arrows

**antisymmetric** iff  $\forall a \forall b ( [(a, b) \in R \land (b, a) \in R] \rightarrow a = b )$ 

transitive iff  $\forall a \forall b \forall c ( [(a, b) \in R \land (b, c) \in R] \rightarrow (a, c) \in R )$  chains collapse

Relation on a set A, more generallyExample $A = \mathcal{P}(\{1, 2\})$  $X \ R \ Y \ \text{iff} \ X \subseteq Y$ 

Which of the following properties hold for R?

- A. Reflexive, i.e.  $\forall a((a,a) \in R)$
- B. Symmetric, i.e.  $\forall a \forall b ((a, b) \in R \rightarrow (b, a) \in R)$
- C. Antisymmetric, i.e.

 $\forall a \forall b (~[(a,b) \in R \land (b,a) \in R] \rightarrow a = b~)$ 

D. Transitive, i.e.

 $\forall a \forall b \forall c ( [(a, b) \in R \land (b, c) \in R] \rightarrow (a, c) \in R )$ 

E. None of the above.



# Relation on a set A, more generallyExample Z $R=\{(x,y): x < y\}$

Which of the following properties hold for R?

- A. Reflexive, i.e.  $\forall a((a,a) \in R)$
- B. Symmetric, i.e.  $\forall a \forall b ((a, b) \in R \rightarrow (b, a) \in R)$
- C. Antisymmetric, i.e.  $\forall a \forall b ( [(a,b) \in R \land (b,a) \in R] \rightarrow a = b )$
- D. Transitive, i.e.  $\forall a \forall b \forall c ( [(a, b) \in R \land (b, c) \in R] \rightarrow (a, c) \in R )$

E. None of the above.

Rosen Chapter 9

#### Equivalence relations

Rosen p. 608

Group together "similar" objects

#### **Equivalence relations**

Rosen p. 608

Two formulations

A relation R on set S is an **equivalence relation** if it is **reflexive**, **symmetric**, and **transitive**.

x R y iff x and y are "similar"

Partition S into equivalence classes, each of which consists of "similar" elements: collection of disjoint, nonempty subsets that have S as their union

x,y both in A<sub>i</sub> iff x and y are "similar"

#### Equivalence relations on strings

Which of the following binary relations on  $\mathcal{P}(\{1,2\})$  are equivalence relations?

- A.  $A R_1 B$  iff  $A \subseteq B$
- B.  $A R_2 B$  iff |A| = |B|
- C.  $A R_3 B$  iff A and B are disjoint
- D. More than one of the above
- E. None of the above

How to prove?

### Equivalence relations on strings

Which of the following binary relations on {0,1}\* are equivalence relations?

- A.  $u R_1 v$  iff |u| = |v|
- B.  $u R_2 v$  iff the first bit of u is not equal to the first bit of v
- C.  $u R_3 v$  iff u is the reverse of v
- D. More than one of the above
- E. None of the above

How to prove?

\*The\* example

Rosen p. 240

# For a,b in **Z** and m in **Z**<sup>+</sup> we say **a is congruent to b mod m** iff

i.e.

and in this case, we write

Which of the following is true? A.  $5 \equiv 10 \pmod{3}$ B.  $5 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ C.  $5 \equiv 3 \pmod{3}$ D.  $5 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ E. None of the above.

$$m \mid (a-b)$$
$$\exists q(a-b=qm)$$

 $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ 



Rosen p. 240

**Claim:** Congruence mod m is an equivalence relation

**Proof:** 

Reflexive? Symmetric? Transitive?

What partition of the integers is associated with this equivalence relation?

## Next up

Modular arithmetic