

CSE 190, Spring 2015: Homework 4

Instructions

Please submit your solution **at the beginning of the Tuesday week 9 lecture (May 26)** or outside of CSE 4102 beforehand. Please complete homework **individually**.

Download the “50,000 beer reviews” data from the course webpage: http://jmcauley.ucsd.edu/cse190/data/beer/beer_50000.json. Code is provided on the course webpage (week6.py) showing how to load and perform simple processing on the data. Executing the code requires a working install of Python 2.7 with the scipy packages installed.

Tasks

Using the code provided on the webpage, read the *first 5000* reviews from the corpus, and read the reviews **without capitalization or punctuation**.

1. How many unique bigrams are there amongst all of the reviews? List the 5 most-frequently-occurring bigrams along with their number of occurrences in the corpus (1 mark).
2. The code provided performs least squares using the 1000 most common unigrams. Adapt it to use the 1000 most common *bigrams* and report the residual obtained using the new predictor (use bigrams *only*, i.e., not unigrams+bigrams) (1 mark).
3. Repeat the above experiment using unigrams *and* bigrams, still considering the 1000 most common. That is, your model will still use 1000 features (plus an offset), but those 1000 features will be some combination of unigrams and bigrams. Report the residual obtained using the new predictor (1 mark).
4. Using the model from the previous questions which are the 5 unigrams/bigrams with the most positive associated weights, and the 5 unigrams/bigrams with the most negative associated weights (1 mark)?
5. You have now trained three predictors – one using only unigrams, one using only bigrams, and one using a combination of both. All models have the same dimensionality. Briefly discuss your findings in terms of their relative accuracy, and list the reasons that could explain the relative performance of these models (2 marks).
6. What is the *inverse document frequency* of the words ‘foam’, ‘smell’, ‘banana’, ‘lactic’, and ‘tart’? What are their *tf-idf* scores in the first review (using log base 10) (2 marks)?
7. What is the cosine similarity between the first and the second review in terms of their tf-idf representations (considering unigrams only) (1 mark)?
8. Which other review has the highest cosine similarity compared to the first review (provide the beerId and profileName, or the text of the review) (1 mark)?