CSE 101 Calibration Homework (Homework 0)
Spring 2009
Background, (Order and Recurrence Relations, simple algorithms)
Due Thursday, April 9 100 points total, DOES NOT COUNT TOWARDS GRADE

Analyzing algorithms, 10 pts. Assume proc(I) is an algorithm that takes $\Theta(I)$ time and does not change I. What are the orders of the running times of the following two algorithms?

Alg1(n)

1. begin;
2. $I \leftarrow 1$;
3. While $I \leq n$ do:
4. begin;
5. proc(I)
6. I++
7. end;
8. end;

Alg2(n)

1. begin;
2. $I \leftarrow 1$;
3. While $I \leq n$ do:
4. begin;
5. proc(I)
6. $I \leftarrow 2 * I$
7. end;
8. end;

Order Notation, 5 pts. each Is $4^{\lceil \log n \rceil} \in O(n^2)$? Why or why not? (When unspecified, logs are base 2).

Is $\log(n!) \in \Theta(n \log n)$? Why or why not?

Is $4^n \in O(2^n)$? Why or why not?

Is $n + (n-1) + (n-2) + ... + 1 \in O(n)$? Why or why not?

Triangles(20 points) Let G be an undirected graph with nodes $v_1, ..v_n$. The *adjacency matrix* representation for G is the $n \times n$ matrix M given by: $M_{i,j} = 1$ if there is an edge from v_i to v_j, and $M_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise. A *triangle* is a set $\{v_i, v_j, v_k\}$ of 3 distinct vertices so that there is an edge from v_i to v_j, another from v_j to v_k and a third from v_k to v_i. Give and analyze an algorithm for deciding whether a graph has a traingle in it, where the input graph is given by its adjacency matrix representation. Analyze your algorithm's worst-case performance first in terms of just the number of nodes n of the graph, then in terms of n and the number of edges m of the graph. Your algorithm should be faster when $m << n^2$.

Binary Conversion(10 points): Consider the following algorithm to covert a decimal number to binary. More precisely, the input is a decimal representation of a number, given as an array of digits, $D[n-1], ...D[0]$, representing $X = \sum_{I=0}^{I=n-1} D[I]10^I$. The output should be an array of bits $B[n'-1]...B[0]$ so that $X = \sum_{I=0}^{I=n'-1} B[I]2^I$.

The following algorithm uses a "long division by two" algorithm $LDIV$ that takes linear time ($O(n)$) to compute the decimal representation of $X div 2$, given X in decimal..

The binary coversion algorithm is: Convert(D[0..n-1]: array of digits): array of bits

1. Initialize $B[0, ..4n]$ array of bits.
2. $I \leftarrow 0$ {a pointer to which bit we are computing}
3. While $I \leq 4n$ do:
4. begin;
5. B[I] $\leftarrow D[0] mod 2$;
6. $D \leftarrow LDiv2[D]$;
7. I++;
8. end;
9. Return B (possibly removing initial 0's, if you want).

Prove that this algorithm is correct, and give a time analysis in terms of the number n of digits.

Summing triples (20 points) Let $A[1, ...n]$ be an array of positive integers. A *summing triple* in A is 3 distinct indices $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$ so that $A[i] + A[j] = A[k]$. Give and analyze an algorithm that, given A, determines whether there is any summing triple in A. Try to be better than $O(n^3)$.

Implementation (20 points) Implement the algorithm you gave for the summing triples problem above. Try it on random arrays where each element

$A[i]$ is chosen in the range $1...n$, for $n = 2^4, 2^6, 2^8, 2^{10}, 2^{12}, 2^{14}$, and 2^{16} . Plot its performance on a $log_2 n$ vs. log_2 of the time scale. Then try the same experiment on random arrays where each element is chosen in the range $1, ..n^4$. Do you see a difference? If so, can you explain it?