1. The course goals and objectives were clearly specified at the outset of this course.

26 (76.5%): Strongly Agree
7 (20.6%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (2.9%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructor's methods were appropriate for the course material.

24 (70.6%): Strongly Agree
7 (20.6%): Agree
1 (2.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (5.9%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. This course met its goals and objectives.

24 (70.6%): Strongly Agree
7 (20.6%): Agree
2 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (2.9%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
4. Performance standards (i.e., grading criteria, grading system) were clear, and the Instructor provided appropriate feedback on my performance.

25 (73.5%): Strongly Agree
6 (17.6%): Agree
2 (5.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (2.9%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. I know significantly more about this subject than before I took this course.

25 (73.5%): Strongly Agree
6 (17.6%): Agree
1 (2.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (5.9%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. What is your overall rating of this course?

26 (76.5%): Excellent
6 (17.6%): Above Average
1 (2.9%): Average
1 (2.9%): Below Average
0 (0.0%): Poor

7. I would recommend this course overall.

25 (73.5%): Strongly Agree
7 (20.6%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (5.9%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree

8. The Instructor displayed sufficient knowledge of the subject matter.

29 (85.3%): Strongly Agree
5 (14.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
9. The Instructor was open to student questions (during or outside of class) and was available for help outside of class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. What is your overall rating of the Instructor?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>79.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above Average</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Below Average</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. I would recommend this instructor overall.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. What aspects of this course contributed most to your learning?

- Instructor has great domain expertise and is very knowledgeable. He explains the reasoning and theory behind all of the models and tools used.
- interesting lecture
- Lecture slides, homework, and assignments are very helpful to understanding of the machine learning and prediction models.
- The professor used to make sure everything we learnt we did from scratch which really helped me understand concepts easily
- The professor's teaching were different and modernized.

13. What aspects of this course, if any, detracted from your learning?

- Homework, midterm, and assignment is hard
14. What made this course intellectually stimulating?

- A variety of machine learning theory and recommender system model based on academic study. It is hard to access but the professor is working on more easy way to deliver the essence of the theory.
- His way of teaching
- lecture
- The complexity of the concepts viewed made the course intellectually stimulating.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- I personally didn't like the grading/ evaluations system too much, as it didn't necessary reflect understanding of concepts.
- I really appreciate professor Juilan, i wish i could enroll more of his classes, I absolutely love him
- It's very hard.
- prefer the TA could help with coding more
- This course is hard for python beginners and students who have no linear algebra background knowledge. So, I hope there would be more entry level of machine learning courses in the future. However, I really appreciate your inclusive understanding and consideration for students like me who are not well-prepared for the course. I really appreciate your help!

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Rady School of Management, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.