



## Course and Instructor Evaluation Summary Department of Computer Science and Engineering

McAuley, Julian John  
CSE 158 - Recommender Sys&Web Mining (A)  
Fall Quarter 2022

**Number of Students Enrolled: 190**  
**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 56**

### PLEASE COMMENT ON THE FOLLOWING:

1. Your class level is

0 (0.0%): Freshman  
0 (0.0%): Sophomore  
6 (10.7%): Junior  
50 (89.3%): Senior  
0 (0.0%): Graduate  
0 (0.0%): Extension  
0 (0.0%): Visitor

2. Your reason for taking this class is

50 (94.3%): Major  
0 (0.0%): Minor  
0 (0.0%): Gen. Ed.  
3 (5.7%): Elective  
0 (0.0%): Interest  
3: [No Response]

3. What grade do you expect in this class?

38 (70.4%): A  
8 (14.8%): B  
6 (11.1%): C  
1 (1.9%): D  
1 (1.9%): F  
0 (0.0%): P  
0 (0.0%): NP  
2: [No Response]

## GENERAL QUESTIONS

4. I learned a great deal from this course.

1 (1.9%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
4 (7.5%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
24 (45.3%):	Agree
24 (45.3%):	Strongly Agree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
3:	[No Response]

5. How many hours a week do you spend studying outside of class on average?

2 (3.8%):	0-1
5 (9.4%):	2-3
10 (18.9%):	4-5
14 (26.4%):	6-7
11 (20.8%):	8-9
5 (9.4%):	10-11
3 (5.7%):	12-13
2 (3.8%):	14-15
0 (0.0%):	16-17
0 (0.0%):	18-19
1 (1.9%):	20 or more
3:	[No Response]

6. How often do you attend this course?

10 (18.9%):	Very Rarely
17 (32.1%):	Some of the Time
26 (49.1%):	Most of the Time
3:	[No Response]

## COURSE MATERIAL CSE 158

7. The course material is intellectually stimulating.

1 (2.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
3 (5.9%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
25 (49.0%):	Agree
21 (41.2%):	Strongly Agree
1 (2.0%):	Not Applicable
5:	[No Response]

8. Assignments promote learning.

1 (2.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (2.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
24 (47.1%):	Agree
24 (47.1%):	Strongly Agree
1 (2.0%):	Not Applicable
5:	[No Response]

9. Required reading is useful.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
4 (7.8%): Neither Agree nor Disagree  
21 (41.2%): Agree  
13 (25.5%): Strongly Agree  
13 (25.5%): Not Applicable  
5: [No Response]

10. This course is difficult relative to others.

1 (1.9%): Strongly Disagree  
14 (26.9%): Disagree  
11 (21.2%): Neither Agree nor Disagree  
17 (32.7%): Agree  
8 (15.4%): Strongly Agree  
1 (1.9%): Not Applicable  
4: [No Response]

11. Exams are representative of the course material.

0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
3 (5.9%): Neither Agree nor Disagree  
26 (51.0%): Agree  
20 (39.2%): Strongly Agree  
2 (3.9%): Not Applicable  
5: [No Response]

12. Do you recommend this course overall?

52 (96.3%): Yes  
2 (3.7%): No  
2: [No Response]

13. Course CSE 158:

- Really good course for undergrads to get better at python, really nice intro to how machine learning models are made to predict something from a given set of data. I really enjoyed this class and think I will use a lot of it in the future.
- I think this course is fine if you have done machine learning in the past, something like classical machine learning. If so then I think the course will be very fun and engaging as you can follow along with the material instead of being constantly lost like I was.
- Four homework assignments (only three required) with 8% each and two assignments worth 25% each. Homeworks are usually pretty easy and graded based on specific tests. Assignments are difficult with written components and longer descriptions of the problems and tend to be very rough to complete, especially both being assigned within two weeks of each other towards the end of the quarter - Assignment 1 should be assigned and due earlier in the quarter.
- Good

- Assignments weren't very challenging for DSC students. Everything before the midterm and including assignment 1 was taught in DSC80 in similar or greater detail, making the course easy but also made me feel like I wasn't learning much. However, I guess it's understandable since the CSE students have never covered those topics.

#### 14. Exams/Quizzes/Papers:

- I think the exams were very representative of the course material.
- Good
- One midterm exam which involves an autograded-set of coding problems with a 12 hour maximum work time. Pretty fair given the time available.

#### 15. Reading [title(s) and comments]:

- very useful, and it's helpful for my course and study
- Good
- None mandatory - there's a draft textbook that the professor has written which is useful at times, but isn't necessary.

### **INSTRUCTOR Julian McAuley**

#### 16. Instructor displays a proficient command of the material.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
15 (28.8%):	Agree
36 (69.2%):	Strongly Agree
1 (1.9%):	Not Applicable
4:	[No Response]

#### 17. Instructor is well prepared for classes.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (2.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
16 (31.4%):	Agree
33 (64.7%):	Strongly Agree
1 (2.0%):	Not Applicable
5:	[No Response]

18. Instructor's speech is clear and audible.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
16 (30.8%):	Agree
35 (67.3%):	Strongly Agree
1 (1.9%):	Not Applicable
4:	[No Response]

19. Instructor explains the course material well.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
2 (3.9%):	Disagree
3 (5.9%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
15 (29.4%):	Agree
29 (56.9%):	Strongly Agree
2 (3.9%):	Not Applicable
5:	[No Response]

20. Lectures hold your attention.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
3 (5.9%):	Disagree
7 (13.7%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
22 (43.1%):	Agree
17 (33.3%):	Strongly Agree
2 (3.9%):	Not Applicable
5:	[No Response]

21. Instructor's lecture style facilitates note-taking.

1 (2.0%):	Strongly Disagree
3 (5.9%):	Disagree
9 (17.6%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
18 (35.3%):	Agree
17 (33.3%):	Strongly Agree
3 (5.9%):	Not Applicable
5:	[No Response]

22. Instructor shows concern for students' learning.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (1.9%):	Disagree
2 (3.8%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
21 (40.4%):	Agree
27 (51.9%):	Strongly Agree
1 (1.9%):	Not Applicable
4:	[No Response]

23. Instructor promotes appropriate questions/discussion.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (2.0%):	Disagree
1 (2.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
20 (40.0%):	Agree
26 (52.0%):	Strongly Agree
2 (4.0%):	Not Applicable
6:	[No Response]

24. Instructor is accessible outside of class.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
6 (12.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
13 (26.0%):	Agree
25 (50.0%):	Strongly Agree
6 (12.0%):	Not Applicable
6:	[No Response]

25. Instructor starts and finishes class on time.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
1 (2.0%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
16 (31.4%):	Agree
32 (62.7%):	Strongly Agree
2 (3.9%):	Not Applicable
5:	[No Response]

26. Instructor is effective in promoting academic integrity.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
5 (9.8%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
17 (33.3%):	Agree
26 (51.0%):	Strongly Agree
3 (5.9%):	Not Applicable
5:	[No Response]

27. The instructor practiced effective teaching strategies that acknowledged and valued differences among students, including differences of race and gender identity.

0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
5 (9.6%):	Neither Agree nor Disagree
16 (30.8%):	Agree
27 (51.9%):	Strongly Agree
4 (7.7%):	Not Applicable
4:	[No Response]

28. Instructor Julian McAuley:

- Instructor was very receptive to student feedback and adjusted the class accordingly.

- Good
- Really amazing professor, accessible outside of class, took the time to explain concepts for me in OH, the class is perfect as is and is a great way for students to learn the basics of python for data extrapolation and machine learning.
- bussin
- I don't really recommend this professor. I don't think he's bad, but I don't think he explains things very clearly or really understands students' concerns (especially around the subject of the midterm - I think the midterm was good this year, but there were definitely problems with it in the past and the professor seemed dismissive of it). I also think the structure for the class is weird - there's very little homework in the first half of the quarter, and then there's so much in the second half that students get overwhelmed.
- Very accommodating in regards to assignments and exams.
- very friendly and helpful

29. Do you recommend this professor overall?

53 (98.1%):	Yes
1 (1.9%):	No
2:	[No Response]

### Custom Question 5

30. Please provide examples of the ways the instructor did or did not create a learning environment that welcomed, challenged, and supported all students.

- Was good
- twitch was great :)

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Computer Science and Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.