

CSE 20

DISCRETE MATH

Fall 2020

<http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/fa20/cse20-a/>

Today's learning goals

- Use predicates with set of tuples as their domain to relate values to one another
- Evaluate nested quantifiers: both alternating and not.

Recall

A **predicate** is a function from a given set (domain) to $\{T,F\}$.

Cartesian product of sets A and B, $A \times B = \{ (a,b) \mid a \text{ in } A \text{ and } b \text{ in } B \}$

Cartesian Products and Predicates

Definition (Rosen p123): Let A and B be sets. The **Cartesian product** of A and B , denoted by $A \times B$, is the set of all ordered pairs (a, b) , where $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. Hence,

$$A \times B = \{(a, b) \mid (a \in A) \wedge (b \in B)\}.$$

Recall: Each RNA strand is a string whose symbols are elements of the set $B = \{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{U}\}$. The **set of all RNA strands** is called S . The function *rnalen* that computes the length of RNA strands in S is:

		$rnalen : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$
Basis Step:	If $b \in B$ then	$rnalen(b) = 1$
Recursive Step:	If $s \in S$ and $b \in B$, then	$rnalen(sb) = 1 + rnalen(s)$

L with domain $S \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ is defined by, for $s \in S$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$L(s, n) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } rnalen(s) = n \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Element where L evaluates to T : _____

Element where L evaluates to F : _____

Cartesian Products and Predicates

BC with domain $S \times B \times \mathbb{N}$ is defined by, for $s \in S$ and $b \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$BC(s, b, n) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } \text{basecount}(s, b) = n \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Which of these is a witness that proves that

$$\exists t BC(t)$$

- A. G
- B. $(GA, 2)$
- C. $(GG, C, 0)$
- D. None of the above, but something else works.
- E. None of the above, because the statement is false.

The **existential quantification** of $P(x)$ is the statement “There exists an element x in the domain such that $P(x)$ ” and is written $\exists xP(x)$. An element for which $P(x) = T$ is called a **witness** of $\exists xP(x)$.

Cartesian Products and Predicates

BC with domain $S \times B \times \mathbb{N}$ is defined by, for $s \in S$ and $b \in B$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$BC(s, b, n) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } \text{basecount}(s, b) = n \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Which of these is a counterexample that proves that $\forall (s, b, n) (BC(s, b, n))$ is false?

- A. $(G, A, 1)$
- B. $(GC, A, 3)$
- C. $(GG, G, 2)$
- D. None of the above, but something else works.
- E. None of the above, because the statement is false.

The **universal quantification** of $P(x)$ is the statement “ $P(x)$ for all values of x in the domain” and is written $\forall x P(x)$. An element for which $P(x) = F$ is called a **counterexample** of $\forall x P(x)$.

Predicate	Domain	Example domain element where predicate is T
$basecount(s, b) = 3$		
$basecount(s, \mathbf{A}) = n$		
$\exists n \in \mathbb{N} (basecount(s, b) = n)$		
$\forall b \in S (basecount(s, b) = 1)$		

Nested Quantifiers

$\forall s \exists n BC(s, A, n)$

For **each** strand, there is some number such that the strand has that number of A's.

Nested Quantifiers

$$\forall s \exists n BC(s, A, n)$$

For **each** strand, there is some number such that the strand has that number of A's.

$s = A$	$s = U$	$s = G$	\dots	$s = UGC$	\dots
$BC(A, A, n)$	$BC(U, A, n)$	$BC(G, A, n)$	\dots	$BC(UGC, A, n)$	\dots
$(A, A, 0)$	$(U, A, 0)$	$(G, A, 0)$	\dots	$(UGC, A, 0)$	\dots
$(A, A, 1)$	$(U, A, 1)$	$(G, A, 1)$	\dots	$(UGC, A, 1)$	\dots
$(A, A, 2)$	$(U, A, 2)$	$(G, A, 2)$	\dots	$(UGC, A, 2)$	\dots
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots	\dots	\vdots	\dots

$$(\exists n BC(A, A, n)) \wedge (\exists n BC(C, A, n)) \wedge \exists n BC(G, A, n) \wedge \dots \wedge (\exists n BC(UGC, A, n)) \wedge \dots$$

Nested Quantifiers

$$\exists n \forall s \ BC(s, U, n)$$

There is **some** number such that all strands have that number of U's.

Nested Quantifiers

$$\exists n \forall s \ BC(s, U, n)$$

There is some number such that all strands have that number of U's.

$n = 0$		$n = 1$		$n = 2$		\dots
$BC(s, U, 0)$		$BC(s, U, 1)$		$BC(s, U, 2)$		\dots
(A, U, 0)	<i>T</i>	(A, U, 1)	<i>F</i>	(A, U, 2)	<i>F</i>	
(U, U, 0)	<i>F</i>	(U, U, 1)	<i>T</i>	(U, U, 2)	<i>F</i>	
(C, U, 0)	<i>T</i>	(G, U, 1)	<i>F</i>	(G, U, 2)	<i>F</i>	
(G, U, 0)	<i>T</i>	(C, U, 1)	<i>F</i>	(C, U, 2)	<i>F</i>	
(AA, U, 0)	<i>T</i>	(AA, U, 1)	<i>F</i>	(AA, U, 2)	<i>F</i>	
(AU, U, 0)	<i>F</i>	(AU, U, 1)	<i>T</i>	(AU, U, 2)	<i>F</i>	
(AG, U, 0)	<i>T</i>	(AG, U, 1)	<i>F</i>	(AG, U, 2)	<i>F</i>	
\vdots		\vdots		\vdots		
(UCU, U, 0)	<i>F</i>	(UCU, U, 1)	<i>F</i>	(UCU, U, 2)	<i>T</i>	
\vdots		\vdots		\vdots		

$$(\forall s \ BC(s, U, 0)) \vee (\forall s \ BC(s, U, 1)) \vee (\forall s \ BC(s, U, 2)) \vee \dots$$

Evidence for nested quantifiers

More generally, for predicate P with domain $X \times Y$: The statement

$$\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$$

means every table for an assignment of x ($\forall x$) must have at least one witness row ($\exists y$). One subtable can serve as a counterexample to give evidence that this statement is false. On the other hand, the statement

$$\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$$

means some table for an assignment of y ($\exists y$) must have every row T ($\forall x$). One subtable can serve as a witness to give evidence that this statement is true.

What about $\forall x \forall y P(x, y)$, $\forall y \forall x P(x, y)$, $\exists x \exists y P(x, y)$, $\exists y \exists x P(x, y)$?

Evaluate each quantified statement as T or F .

$\forall s \forall b \exists n BC(s, b, n)$	$\forall s \forall n \exists b BC(s, b, n)$	$\forall b \forall n \exists s BC(s, b, n)$
$\exists s \forall b \exists n BC(s, b, n)$	$\forall s \exists n \forall b BC(s, b, n)$	$\exists b \exists n \forall s BC(s, b, n)$

Extra example: Write the negation of each of the statements above, and use De Morgan's law to find a logically equivalent version where the negation is applied only to the BC predicate (not next to a quantifier).

Nested Quantifiers

Challenge: write symbolically

“There are (at least) two different strands that have the same number of As”

Summary

- Cartesian products describe sets as combinations of elements from sets
- Predicates with sets of tuples as their domain can relate values to one another
- When quantifiers are *nested*, the order matters. We read left to right.
- When quantifiers are *nested*, we can visualize and interpret them in several ways
 - As nested tables, one for each value in the outermost quantification
 - As a conjunction or disjunction of other quantified statements

For next time

- Read website carefully

<http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/fa20/cse20-a/>

- Next pre-class reading:
 - Section 1.5 Table 1 (p. 60)