Nearest neighbor classification

Instructor: Taylor Berg-Kirkpatrick
Slides: Sanjoy Dasgupta

Office hours

Kishore Venkatswammy: Tues 10-11am (CSE 3rd floor, lobby near the Kitchenette)
kvenkats@eng.ucsd.edu
Weiqi (Ricky) Peng: Thurs 1:30-2:30pm (CSE B270A)
wep012@ucsd.edu
Rishikesh (Rish) Vaishnav: Mon 6-7pm (B215)
rvaishna@eng.ucsd.edu
Harsh Jhamtani: Thurs 10-11am (CSE 3rd floor, lobby near the Kitchenette)
jharsh@cs.cmu.edu
Yaobang (Cyrus) Deng: Tues 4-5pm (B270A)
yad025@ucsd.edu

The problem we'll solve today

Given an image of a handwritten digit, say which digit it is.


 $\Longrightarrow$ 3

The problem we'll solve today

Given an image of a handwritten digit, say which digit it is.

 $\Longrightarrow$ 3

Some more examples:



The machine learning approach

Assemble a data set:



The MNIST data set of handwritten digits:

- **Training set** of 60,000 images and their labels.
- **Test set** of 10,000 images and their labels.

And let the machine figure out the underlying patterns.

Nearest neighbor classification

Training images $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}, \ldots, x^{(60000)}$
Labels $y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \ldots, y^{(60000)}$ are numbers in the range $0 - 9$



Nearest neighbor classification

Training images $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}, \ldots, x^{(60000)}$
Labels $y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \ldots, y^{(60000)}$ are numbers in the range $0 - 9$



How to **classify** a new image x?



Nearest neighbor classification

Training images $x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}, \ldots, x^{(60000)}$
Labels $y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, y^{(3)}, \ldots, y^{(60000)}$ are numbers in the range $0 - 9$






How to **classify** a new image x?

- Find its nearest neighbor amongst the $x^{(i)}$
- Return $y^{(i)}$

The data space

How to measure the distance between images?




MNIST images:

- Size 28×28 (total: 784 pixels)
- Each pixel is grayscale: 0-255

The data space

How to measure the distance between images?



MNIST images:

- Size 28×28 (total: 784 pixels)
- Each pixel is grayscale: 0-255

Stretch each image into a vector with 784 coordinates:



- Data space $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^{784}$
- Label space $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1, \ldots, 9\}$

The distance function

Remember Euclidean distance in two dimensions?

$$z = (3, 5)$$

$$x = (1, 2)$$

Euclidean distance in higher dimension

Euclidean distance between 784-dimensional vectors x, z is

$$\|x - z\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{784} (x_i - z_i)^2}$$

Here x_i is the ith coordinate of x.

Nearest neighbor classification

Training images $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(60000)}$, labels $y^{(1)}, \ldots, y^{(60000)}$





To classify a new image x:

- Find its nearest neighbor amongst the $x^{(i)}$ **using Euclidean distance in** $\mathbb{R}^{784}$
- Return $y^{(i)}$

Nearest neighbor classification

Training images $x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(60000)}$, labels $y^{(1)}, \ldots, y^{(60000)}$





To classify a new image x:

- Find its nearest neighbor amongst the $x^{(i)}$ **using Euclidean distance in** $\mathbb{R}^{784}$
- Return $y^{(i)}$

How accurate is this classifier?

Accuracy of nearest neighbor on MNIST

Training set of 60,000 points.

- What is the error rate on training points?

Accuracy of nearest neighbor on MNIST

Training set of 60,000 points.

- What is the error rate on training points? **Zero.**
  In general, **training error** is an overly optimistic predictor of future performance.

Accuracy of nearest neighbor on MNIST

Training set of 60,000 points.

- What is the error rate on training points? **Zero.**
  In general, **training error** is an overly optimistic predictor of future performance.

- A better gauge: separate test set of 10,000 points.
  Test error = fraction of test points incorrectly classified.

Accuracy of nearest neighbor on MNIST

Training set of 60,000 points.

- What is the error rate on training points? **Zero.**
  In general, **training error** is an overly optimistic predictor of future performance.
- A better gauge: separate test set of 10,000 points.
  Test error = fraction of test points incorrectly classified.
- What test error would we expect for a *random classifier*?
  (One that picks a label $0 - 9$ at random?)

Accuracy of nearest neighbor on MNIST

Training set of 60,000 points.

- What is the error rate on training points? **Zero.**
  In general, **training error** is an overly optimistic predictor of future performance.
- A better gauge: separate test set of 10,000 points.
  Test error = fraction of test points incorrectly classified.
- What test error would we expect for a *random classifier*?
  (One that picks a label $0 - 9$ at random?) **90%.**

Accuracy of nearest neighbor on MNIST

Training set of 60,000 points.

- What is the error rate on training points? **Zero.**
  In general, **training error** is an overly optimistic predictor of future performance.
- A better gauge: separate test set of 10,000 points.
  Test error = fraction of test points incorrectly classified.
- What test error would we expect for a *random classifier*?
  (One that picks a label $0 - 9$ at random?) **90%.**
- Test error of nearest neighbor: **3.09%.**

Examples of errors

Test set of 10,000 points:

- 309 are misclassified
- Error rate 3.09%

Examples of errors:

Query







NN







Examples of errors

Test set of 10,000 points:

- 309 are misclassified
- Error rate 3.09%

Examples of errors:

Query







NN







Ideas for improvement: (1) k-NN (2) better distance function.

K-nearest neighbor classification

To classify a new point:

- Find the k nearest neighbors in the training set.
- Return the most common label amongst them.

K-nearest neighbor classification

To classify a new point:

- Find the k nearest neighbors in the training set.
- Return the most common label amongst them.

MNIST:

k	1	3	5	7	9	11
Test error (%)	3.09	2.94	3.13	3.10	3.43	3.34

K-nearest neighbor classification

To classify a new point:

- Find the k nearest neighbors in the training set.
- Return the most common label amongst them.

MNIST:

k	1	3	5	7	9	11
Test error (%)	3.09	2.94	3.13	3.10	3.43	3.34

In real life, there's no test set. How to decide which k is best?

Cross-validation

How to estimate the error of k-NN for a particular k?

Cross-validation

How to estimate the error of k-NN for a particular k?


10-**fold cross-validation**


- Divide the training set into 10 equal pieces.
  Training set (call it S): 60,000 points
  Call the pieces $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_{10}$: 6,000 points each.
- For each piece S_i:
  - Classify each point in S_i using k-NN with training set $S - S_i$
  - Let ϵ_i = fraction of S_i that is incorrectly classified
- Take the average of these 10 numbers:

$$\text{estimated error with } k\text{-NN} \;=\; \frac{\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \epsilon_{10}}{10}$$

Another improvement: better distance functions

The Euclidean (ℓ_2) distance between these two images is very high!





Another improvement: better distance functions

The Euclidean (ℓ_2) distance between these two images is very high!



Much better idea: distance measures that are invariant under:

- Small translations and rotations. e.g. *tangent distance*.
- A broader family of natural deformations. e.g. *shape context*.

Another improvement: better distance functions

The Euclidean (ℓ_2) distance between these two images is very high!



Much better idea: distance measures that are invariant under:

- Small translations and rotations. e.g. *tangent distance*.
- A broader family of natural deformations. e.g. *shape context*.

Test error rates:	ℓ_2	tangent distance	shape context
	3.09	1.10	0.63

Related problem: feature selection


Feature selection/reweighting is part of picking a distance function.

And, one noisy feature can wreak havoc with nearest neighbor!

Related problem: feature selection

Feature selection/reweighting is part of picking a distance function.

And, one noisy feature can wreak havoc with nearest neighbor!



Algorithmic issue: speeding up NN search

Naive search takes time $O(n)$ for training set of size n: slow!

Algorithmic issue: speeding up NN search

Naive search takes time $O(n)$ for training set of size n: slow!

Luckily there are data structures for speeding up nearest neighbor search, like:

1. Locality sensitive hashing
2. Ball trees
3. K-d trees

These are part of standard Python libraries for NN, and help a lot.

Postscript:
Useful distance functions for machine learning

Measuring distance in $\mathbb{R}^m$

Usual choice: **Euclidean distance**:

$$\|x - z\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - z_i)^2}.$$

Measuring distance in $\mathbb{R}^m$


Usual choice: **Euclidean distance**:

$$\|x - z\|_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (x_i - z_i)^2}.$$

For $p \geq 1$, here is ℓ_p **distance**:

$$\|x - z\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} |x_i - z_i|^p \right)^{1/p}$$

- $p = 2$: Euclidean distance
- ℓ_1 distance: $\|x - z\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |x_i - z_i|$
- ℓ_∞ distance: $\|x - z\|_\infty = \max_i |x_i - z_i|$



Example 1

Consider the all-ones vector $(1, 1, \ldots, 1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$.
What are its ℓ_2, ℓ_1, and ℓ_∞ length?

Example 2


In $\mathbb{R}^2$, draw all points with:


1. ℓ_2 length 1
2. ℓ_1 length 1
3. ℓ_∞ length 1

$x \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$\ell_p : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$

$l_{\ell_p} = \ell_p(x_1, x_2)$






Metric spaces

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the space in which data lie.

A distance function $d : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a **metric** if it satisfies these properties:

- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ (nonnegativity)
- $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ (symmetry)
- $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ (triangle inequality)



Example 1

$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|_p$

Check:

- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ (nonnegativity)
- $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$ $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ (symmetry) $|x - y| = |y - x|$
- $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ (triangle inequality)



Example 2

$\mathcal{X} = \{\text{strings over some alphabet}\}$ and $d = \text{edit distance}$

Check:

- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ (nonnegativity)
- $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$
- $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ (symmetry)
- $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ (triangle inequality)

A non-metric distance function

Let p, q be probability distributions on some set $\mathcal{X}$.

The **Kullback-Leibler divergence** or **relative entropy** between p, q is:

$$d(p, q) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}.$$