

Lecture 15:

Interdomain Routing

CSE 123: Computer Networks
Alex C. Snoeren



Overview




- Mitigating loops in DV
 - ◆ Split horizon and poison reverse
- Autonomous Systems
 - ◆ Each network on the Internet has its own goals
- Path-vector Routing
 - ◆ Allows scalable, informed route selection




Handling Link Failure

- A marks distance to E as ∞ , and tells B
- E marks distance to A as ∞ , and tells B and D
- B and D recompute routes and tell C, E and E
- etc... until converge



Info at node	Distance to Node				
	A	B	C	D	E
A	0	7	8	10	12
B	7	0	1	3	5
C	8	1	0	2	4
D	10	3	2	0	2
E	12	5	4	2	0

Problem: Counting to Infinity



Why so High?



- Updates don't contain enough information
 - ◆ B accepts A 's path to C that is *implicitly* through B !
- Can't totally order "bad news" (a link has gone down) above "good news" (a link is available)
- Aside: this also causes delays in convergence even when it doesn't count to infinity



Mitigation Strategies



- **Hold downs**
 - ◆ As metric increases, delay propagating information
 - ◆ Limitation: Delays convergence
- **Loop avoidance**
 - ◆ Full path information in route advertisement
 - ◆ Explicit queries for loops
- **Split horizon**
 - ◆ Never advertise a destination **through its next hop**
 - » A doesn't advertise C to B
 - ◆ **Poison reverse**: Send **negative information** when advertising a destination through its next hop
 - » A advertises C to B with a metric of ∞
 - » Limitation: Only works for “loop”s of size 2

Poison Reverse Example




If Z routes through Y to get to X:

- Z tells Y its (Z's) distance to X is infinite (so Y won't route to X via Z)



Split Horizon Limitations



- A tells B & C that D is unreachable
- B computes new route through C
 - ◆ Tells C that D is unreachable (poison reverse)
 - ◆ Tells A it has path of cost 3 (split horizon doesn't apply)
- A computes new route through B
 - ◆ A tells C that D is now reachable
- Etc...

In practice



- RIP: Routing Information Protocol
 - ◆ DV protocol with hop count as metric
 - » Infinity value is 16 hops; limits network size
 - » Includes split horizon with poison reverse
 - ◆ Routers send vectors every 30 seconds
 - » With triggered updates for link failures
 - » Time-out in 180 seconds to detect failures
 - ◆ Rarely used today
- EIGRP: proprietary Cisco protocol
 - ◆ Ensures loop-freedom (DUAL algorithm)
 - ◆ Only communicates changes (no regular broadcast)
 - ◆ Combine multiple metrics into a single metric (BW, delay, reliability, load)

DV Summary



- Distance Vector shortest-path routing
 - ◆ Each node sends list of its shortest distance to each destination to its neighbors
 - ◆ Neighbors update their lists; iterate
- Weak at adapting to changes out of the box
 - ◆ Problems include loops and count to infinity

Routing so far...




- Shortest-path routing
 - ◆ Metric-based, using link weights
 - ◆ Routers share a common view of path “goodness”
- As such, commonly used *inside* an organization
 - ◆ EIGRP and OSPF are mostly used as *intradomain* protocols
- But the Internet is a “network of networks”
 - ◆ How to stitch the many networks together?
 - ◆ When networks may not have common goals
 - ◆ ... and may not want to share information

The Internet is Complicated



- Inter-domain versus intra-domain routing



A Brief History




- Original ARPAnet had single routing protocol
 - ◆ Dynamic DV scheme, replaced with static metric LS algorithm
- New networks came on the scene
 - ◆ NSFnet, CSnet, DDN, etc...
 - ◆ The total number of nodes was growing exponentially
 - ◆ With their own routing protocols (RIP, Hello, ISIS)
 - ◆ And their own rules (e.g. NSF AUP)
- New requirements
 - ◆ Huge scale: millions of routers
 - ◆ Varying routing metrics
 - ◆ Need to express business realities (policies)

Shortest Path Doesn't Work



- All nodes need common notion of link costs
- Incompatible with commercial relationships



A Technical Solution



- Separate routing inside a domain from routing between domains
 - ◆ Inside a domain use traditional interior gateway protocols (RIP, OSPF, etc)
 - » You've seen these already
 - ◆ Between domains use **Exterior Gateway Protocols** (EGPs)
 - » Only exchange reachability information (not specific metrics)
 - » Decide what to do based on local policy
- What properties do we need from a domain?




Autonomous Systems

- Internet is divided into **Autonomous Systems**
 - ◆ Distinct regions of administrative control
 - ◆ Routers/links managed by a single “institution”
 - ◆ Service provider, company, university, ...
- Hierarchy of Autonomous Systems
 - ◆ Large, “tier-1” provider with a nationwide backbone
 - ◆ Medium-sized regional provider with smaller backbone
 - ◆ Small network run by a single company or university
- Interaction between Autonomous Systems
 - ◆ Internal topology is not shared between ASes
 - ◆ ... but, neighboring ASes interact to coordinate routing



Inter-domain Routing

- **Border routers** summarize and advertise their routes to external neighbors and vice-versa
 - ◆ Border routers apply **policy**
- Internal routers can use notion of **default routes**
- Core is **default-free**; routers must have a route to all networks in the world
- But what routing protocol?





Issues with Link-state

- Topology information is flooded
 - ◆ High bandwidth and storage overhead
 - ◆ Forces nodes to divulge sensitive information
- Entire path computed locally per node
 - ◆ High processing overhead in a large network
- Minimizes some notion of total distance
 - ◆ Works only if policy is shared and uniform
- Typically used only inside an AS
 - ◆ E.g., OSPF and IS-IS

Distance Vector *almost there*




- Advantages
 - ◆ Hides details of the network topology
 - ◆ Nodes determine only “next hop” toward the destination
- Disadvantages
 - ◆ Minimizes some notion of total distance, which is difficult in an interdomain setting
 - ◆ Slow convergence due to the counting-to-infinity problem (“bad news travels slowly”)
- Idea: extend the notion of a distance vector
 - ◆ To make it easier to detect loops



Path-vector Routing

- Extension of distance-vector routing
 - ◆ Support flexible routing policies
 - ◆ Avoid count-to-infinity problem
- Key idea: advertise the entire path
 - ◆ Distance vector: send *distance metric* per destination
 - ◆ Path vector: send the *entire path* for each destination



For next time...



- Read Ch 4.1.2 in P&D
- Project 2 out Monday