

CSE 101 Homework 2
Due Oct. 22
Divide-and-Conquer
100 points total = 10 %

Short answers: p.496-501 of text 24 b, 25 f (5 points each)

Order: 10 points Show that for any constants $a, b > 1$, $\log_a n \in \Theta(\log_b n)$.
Does it follow that for any $a, b > 1$, $2^{\log_a n} \in \Theta(2^{\log_b n})$?

Divide and Conquer Recurrence: 10 points Give and solve up to order a recurrence relation for the time taken by the following divide-and-conquer algorithm.

We are given an array of real numbers $V[1..n]$. We wish to find a subset of array positions, $S \subseteq [1..n]$ that maximizes $\sum_{i \in S} V[i]$ subject to no two consecutive array positions being in S . For example, say $V = [10, 14, 12, 6, 13, 4]$, the best solution is to take elements 1, 3, 5 to get a total of $10 + 12 + 13 = 35$. If instead, we try to take the 14 in position 2, we must exclude the 10 and 12 in positions 1 and 3, leaving us with the second best choice 2, 5 giving a total of $14 + 13 = 27$. The recursive procedure is based on a case analysis, do we pick position $n/2$ or not? The algorithm just finds the best sum, not the set of positions, but it would be easy to modify. RMNCS stands for Recursive Maximum Non-consecutive Sum.

RMNCS[V[1..n]: array of positive reals]: real number;

1. IF $n = 0$ return 0;
2. IF $n = 1$ return $V[1]$;
3. IF $n = 2$ return $\max(V[1], V[2])$;
4. IF $n = 3$ return $\max(V[2], V[1] + V[3])$;
5. $k \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$;
6. $Sum1 \leftarrow RMNCS[V[1..k-2]] + V[k] + RMNCS[V[k+2..n]]$ {Case 1: If we include $V[k]$, we cannot include $V[k-1]$ or $V[k+1]$.}
7. $Sum2 \leftarrow RMNCS[V[1..k-1]] + RMNCS[V[k+1..n]]$ {Case 2: If we do not include $V[k]$, we can include any other positions.}
8. Return $\max(Sum1, Sum2)$.

Binary Conversion: 20 points . On the calibration homework, we found an $O(n^2)$ algorithm for converting a decimal integer to binary, where the basic operations involved single digits. Present and analyze a divide-and-conquer algorithm that does better. You will probably need to use the faster integer multiplication algorithm, *prod2*, discussed in pages 75-78.

Polynomial multiplication-15 points. Describe and analyze a divide-and-conquer algorithm for polynomial multiplication: Given $p(x) = a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + a_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \dots + a_0$ and $q(x) = b_{n-1}x^{n-1} + b_{n-2}x^{n-2} + \dots + b_0$, compute $p(x)q(x)$. (Assume p and q are given as arrays $a[0..n-1]$, $b[0..n-1]$ of their coefficients, and output $p(x)q(x)$ as an array $c[0..2n-2]$ of coefficients.) Can you use the ideas for integer multiplication, as in *prod2* in the text, to get a faster algorithm?

Depths in a tree (20 points) Consider the following recursive algorithm: We are given a tree T , each node having a parent $p[x]$ pointer and a list of children $Children(x)$. We wish to find the depth of each node in the tree, where depth is defined to be the number of intermediate nodes between it and the root. Don't assume T is a binary tree or that it is balanced.

The algorithm to do so is as follows:

$DepthNumber(root) = Depths(root, 0)$ where:

1. $Depths(x, I)$ {compute all depths in the sub-tree rooted at x , assuming $depth(x) = I$.}
2. begin;
3. $D[x] := I$;
4. For each $y \in Children(x)$, $Depths(y, I + 1)$;
5. end; {else}

Give a (probably, inductive) proof to show that this algorithm is time $O(n)$ for any tree.

Implementing Multiplication - 15 points Implement both a naive integer multiplication algorithm and *prod2* from the text. Compare their performance on integers of length powers of 2, say between 2^6 and 2^{12} . Plot the performance on a log-log scale. Which is better for the lengths in your range? What is the slope of the curve for both methods? Where do you expect *prod2* to be better, if anywhere?