Computer Graphics II: Rendering

CSE 168 [Spr 21], Lecture 1: Overview and Ray Tracing
Ravi Ramamoorthi
http://viscomp.ucsd.edu/classes/cse168/sp21/

Goals

- **Systems:** Write a modern 3D image synthesis program (path tracer with importance sampling)
- **Theory:** Mathematical aspects and algorithms underlying modern physically-based rendering
- **Topics:** Other modern topics like image-based, real-time, precomputed, volumetric rendering

This course is **not** about the specifics of 3D rendering software like PBRT, Mitsuba etc. New, we optionally encourage OptiX, a real-time raytracing API for NVIDIA GPUs.

Instructor

Ravi Ramamoorthi http://www.cs.ucsd.edu/~ravir

- PhD Stanford, 2002 [with Pat Hanrahan, 2020 Turing Award]
- "Spherical Harmonic Lighting" widely used in games (e.g. Halo series), movies (e.g. Avatar), etc. (Adobe, ...)
- At Columbia 2002-2008, UC Berkeley 2009-2014
- "Monte Carlo denoising" inspired raytracing offline, real-time
- At UCSD since Jul 2014: Director, Center for Visual Computing
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qpyCXqXGe7I
- Computer Graphics online MOOC (CSE 167x) finalist for two edX Prizes. Will use CSE 168 MOOC on UCSD Online as a feedback system, first full use of public MOOC in local class

Course Staff

- Ravi Ramamoorthi, ravir@cs.ucsd.edu
- Teaching Assistants:
  - Alex Kuznetsov (will also maintain feedback servers) [a1kuznet@eng.ucsd.edu]
  - Mohammad Shafiei [moshafie@eng.ucsd.edu]
- Please see piazza for their zoom ids

Rendering: 1960s (visibility)

- Roberts (1963), Appel (1967) - hidden-line algorithms
- Sutherland (1974) - visibility = sorting

Images from FvDFH, Pixar’s Shutterbug
Slide ideas for history of Rendering courtesy Marc Levoy.

Rendering: 1970s (lighting)

- Blinn (1974) - curved surfaces, texture
- Catmull (1974) - 2-buffer algorithm (2020 Turing Award)
Rendering (1980s, 90s: Global Illumination)

- early 1980s - global illumination
  - Whitted (1980) - ray tracing
  - Goral, Torrance et al. (1984) radiosity
  - Kajiya (1986) - the rendering equation, path tracing
  (this is what this course is about, modern rendering)

Why Study Computer Graphics Rendering?
- Applications (Movies, Games, Digital Advertising, Lighting Simulation, Digital Humans, Virtual Reality)
- Fundamental Intellectual Challenges
  - Create photorealistic virtual world
  - Understand physics and computation of light transport
  - Physically-based rendering has replaced ad-hoc approaches in industry (offline ~ 2011, real-time ~2018)
- Beautiful Imagery: Realistic Computer Graphics
  - 2020 Turing Award given for CGI in Filmmaking
- Assume taken CSE 167 or equivalent (+done well)
  - This is a challenging course, work starts immediately
  - (First 2 weeks on raytracing may be review for some)

Image Synthesis Examples

From UCB CS 294 a decade ago

CSE 168 Contest 2007: Butterfly

CSE 168 Spring 2020
This is a Modernized Course

- Teach Modern Physically-Based Rendering and Path Tracing, as used in industry (Prof. consulted with Pixar on change to physically-based shading, importance sampling in 2011, written many key papers; consults NVIDIA)
- Emphasis on step-by-step development, get it right (lots of subtle math, compare to reference solutions)
- Focus on offline but discuss real-time, image-based, PRT
- Homework starts right away, due in 2 weeks
- New developments: NVIDIA OptiX ray-tracing API like OpenGL, since 2018 RTX cards 10G rays/second
- Encourage (but optional) use of OptiX. If you use this, setup yourself but basic skeleton provided. Or really slow.

Innovation: Feedback Servers

- Feedback/Grading servers for homeworks 1-4
- Submit images, compare to original
  - Program generates difference images, report url
  - Can get feedback multiple times; submit final url
  - All run on edX edge
- "Feedback" not necessarily grading
  - Can run extra test cases, look at code, grade fairly
  - But use of feedback servers/edX edge is mandatory
  - Experimental for this course; unlike 167 results not deterministic, will give information re noise/variance
  - Can use any laptop/desktop, do it offline or in OptiX

Workload

- Lots of fun, rewarding but may involve significant work
  - We will do our best to be supportive under the circumstances
- 5 programming projects; almost all are time-consuming. Can be done in groups of two. START EARLY !!
- Graded entirely on programming, weights on website.
  - Ignore weighting on UCSD online; we weight as on CSE 168 site
- Prerequisites: CSE 167, did well, enjoyed it
- First homework last assignment in my CSE 167
  - Little bit of sink or swim to continue in course (but we will also provide OptiX embree references after assignment is due)
  - But not everyone has done a raytracer before, some additional requirements for those who have already done one
- Should be a difficult, but fun and rewarding course
Quick Inclusion Note

Since I do occasionally get asked this question:

- You are welcome to take this course if color-blind
  - Let me know if I create too many red-green metasers
  - Some of the best-known computer graphics
    researchers have been color-blind (ask me some stories)
- And for most other vision issues
  - We've even had computer graphics award winners who
    have been extremely nearsighted (legally blind)

CSE 168 is only a first step

- If you enjoy CSE 168 and do well:
  - In Spring: CSE 190 (VR course; Schulze)
  - Next winter: CSE 165 (3DUI), 169 (Animation)
  - Graduate: CSE 272, 274 (Topics), many 291s

To Do

- Make sure zoom works
- Look at website
- Various policies for course. E-mail if confused.
- Sign up for UCSD Online, Piazza, etc.
- Skim assignments if you want. All are ready
- Assignment 1, Due Apr 12 (see website).
- Any questions?

- Start now with raytracing lecture

Effects needed for Realism

- (Soft) Shadows
- Reflections (Mirrors and Glossy)
- Transparency (Water, Glass)
- Interreflections (Color Bleeding)
- Complex Illumination (Natural, Area Light)
- Realistic Materials (Velvet, Paints, Glass)
- And many more

Ray Tracing

- Different Approach to Image Synthesis as compared to Hardware pipeline (OpenGL)
- Pixel by Pixel instead of Object by Object
- Easy to compute shadows/transparency/etc
Outline

- **History**
  - Basic Ray Casting (instead of rasterization)
  - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
  - Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)
  - Optimizations
  - Current Research

Ray Tracing History

- Appel 68
- Whitted 80 [recursive ray tracing]
  - Landmark in computer graphics
- Lots of work on various geometric primitives
- Lots of work on accelerations
- Current Research
  - Real-Time raytracing (historically, slow technique)
  - Ray tracing architecture

Ray Tracing in Computer Graphics

Appel 1968 - Ray casting
1. Generate an image by sending one ray per pixel
2. Check for shadows by sending a ray to the light

Ray Tracing History

“An improved illumination model for shaded display,”
T. Whitted,
CACM 1980

Resolution: 512 x 512
Time: VAX 11/780 (1979) 74 min.

Spheres and Checkerboard, T. Whitted, 1979

From SIGGRAPH 18

Real Photo: Instructor and Turner Whitted at SIGGRAPH 18

Outline

- **History**
  - **Basic Ray Casting** (instead of rasterization)
    - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
  - Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)
  - Optimizations
  - Current Research
Ray Casting

Produce same images as with OpenGL
- Visibility per pixel instead of Z-buffer
- Find nearest object by shooting rays into scene
- Shade it as in standard OpenGL

Comparison to hardware scan-line
- Per-pixel evaluation, per-pixel rays (not scan-convert each object). On face of it, costly
  - But good for walkthroughs of extremely large models (amortize preprocessing, low complexity)
  - More complex shading, lighting effects possible

Finding Ray Direction
- Goal is to find ray direction for given pixel i and j
  - Many ways to approach problem
    - Objects in world coord, find dim of each ray (we do this)
    - Camera in canonical frame, transform objects (OpenGL)
  - Basic idea
    - Ray has origin (camera center) and direction
    - Find direction given camera params and i and j
  - Camera params as in gluLookAt
    - Lookfrom[3], LookAt[3], up[3], fov

Outline in Code

Image Raytrace (Camera cam, Scene scene, int width, int height)
{
  Image image = new Image (width, height) ;
  for (int i = 0 ; i < height ; i++)
    for (int j = 0 ; j < width ; j++) {
      Ray ray = RayThruPixel (cam, i, j) ;
      Intersection hit = Intersect (ray, scene) ;
      image[i][j] = FindColor (hit) ;
    }
  return image ;
}

Similar to gluLookAt derivation
- gluLookAt(eyex, eyey, eyez, centerx, centery, centerz, upx, upy, upz)
  - Camera at eye, looking at center, with up direction being up
  - From 167 lecture on deriving gluLookAt
Constructing a coordinate frame?

We want to associate \( w \) with \( a \), and \( v \) with \( b \).
- But \( a \) and \( b \) are neither orthogonal nor unit norm.
- And we also need to find \( u \).

\[
\begin{align*}
W &= \frac{a}{|a|} \\
U &= \frac{b \times W}{|b \times W|} \\
V &= W \times U
\end{align*}
\]

Camera coordinate frame

\[
\begin{align*}
w &= \frac{a}{|a|} & u &= \frac{b \times w}{|b \times w|} & v &= W \times U
\end{align*}
\]
- We want to position camera at origin, looking down \(-Z\) dim.
- Hence, vector \( a \) is given by \( \text{eye} - \text{center} \).
- The vector \( b \) is simply the \( \text{up} \) vector.

Canonical viewing geometry

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha &= \tan\left(\frac{\text{fovx}}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{j - (\text{width} / 2)}{\text{width} / 2}\right) \\
\beta &= \tan\left(\frac{\text{fovy}}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{\text{height} / 2 - i}{\text{height} / 2}\right)
\end{align*}
\]

Outline in Code

```c
#define Image Raytrace(Camera cam, Scene scene, int width, int height) {
    Image image = new Image (width, height) ;
    for (int i = 0 ; i < height ; i++)
        for (int j = 0 ; j < width ; j++) {
            Ray ray = RayThruPixel (cam, i, j) ;
            Intersection hit = Intersect (ray, scene) ;
            image[i][j] = FindColor (hit) ;
        }
    return image ;
}
```

Ray/Object Intersections

- Heart of Ray Tracer
  - One of the main initial research areas
  - Optimized routines for wide variety of primitives
- Various types of info
  - Shadow rays: Intersection/No Intersection
  - Primary rays: Point of intersection, material, normals
  - Texture coordinates
- Work out examples
  - Triangle, sphere, polygon, general implicit surface

Ray-Sphere Intersection

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ray} &= \hat{P} = \hat{P}_0 + \hat{P}t \\
\text{sphere} &= (\hat{P} - \hat{C}) \times (\hat{P} - \hat{C}) - r^2 = 0
\end{align*}
\]
Ray-Sphere Intersection

**ray** ≡ \( \overrightarrow{P} = \overrightarrow{P}_0 + \overrightarrow{P}_t \)

**sphere** ≡ \( (\overrightarrow{P} - \overrightarrow{C}) \cdot (\overrightarrow{P} - \overrightarrow{C}) - r^2 = 0 \)

Substitute

**ray** ≡ \( \overrightarrow{P} = \overrightarrow{P}_0 + \overrightarrow{P}_t \)

**sphere** ≡ \( (\overrightarrow{P}_0 + \overrightarrow{P}_t - \overrightarrow{C}) \cdot (\overrightarrow{P}_0 + \overrightarrow{P}_t - \overrightarrow{C}) - r^2 = 0 \)

Simplify

\[ t^2(\overrightarrow{P}_t \cdot \overrightarrow{P}_t) + 2t \overrightarrow{P}_t \cdot (\overrightarrow{P}_0 - \overrightarrow{C}) + (\overrightarrow{P}_0 - \overrightarrow{C}) \cdot (\overrightarrow{P}_0 - \overrightarrow{C}) - r^2 = 0 \]

Solve quadratic equations for \( t \)

- 2 real positive roots: pick smaller root
- Both roots same: tangent to sphere
- One positive, one negative root: ray origin inside sphere (pick + root)
- Complex roots: no intersection (check discriminant of equation first)

Ray-Sphere Intersection

**Intersection point:**

**Normal** (for sphere, this is same as coordinates in sphere frame of reference, useful other tasks)

\[ \text{normal} = \frac{\overrightarrow{P} - \overrightarrow{C}}{|\overrightarrow{P} - \overrightarrow{C}|} \]

Ray-Triangle Intersection

One approach: Ray-Plane intersection, then check if inside triangle

**Plane equation:**

\[ \text{plane} = \overrightarrow{P} \cdot \overrightarrow{n} - \overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{n} = 0 \]

Combine with ray equation:

\[ \text{ray} = \overrightarrow{P} = \overrightarrow{P}_0 + \overrightarrow{P}_t \]

\[ (\overrightarrow{P}_0 + \overrightarrow{P}_t) \cdot \overrightarrow{n} = \overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{n} \]

\[ t = \frac{\overrightarrow{A} \cdot \overrightarrow{n} - \overrightarrow{P}_0 \cdot \overrightarrow{n}}{\overrightarrow{P}_t \cdot \overrightarrow{n}} \]

Ray inside Triangle

Once intersect with plane, still need to find if in triangle

Many possibilities for triangles, general polygons (point in polygon tests)

We find parametrically [barycentric coordinates]. Also useful for other applications (texture mapping)
Ray inside Triangle

\[ P = \alpha A + \beta B + \gamma C \]
\[ \alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0 \]
\[ \alpha + \beta + \gamma = 1 \]

\[ \mathbf{P} = \alpha \mathbf{A} + \beta \mathbf{B} + \gamma \mathbf{C} \]
\[ 0 \leq \beta \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \]
\[ \beta + \gamma \leq 1 \]

Other primitives

- Much early work in ray tracing focused on ray-primitive intersection tests
- Cones, cylinders, ellipsoids
- Boxes (especially useful for bounding boxes)
- General planar polygons
- Many more
- Consult chapter in Glassner (handed out) for more details and possible extra credit

Ray Scene Intersection

```c
Intersection FindIntersection(Ray ray, Scene scene)
{
    min_t = infinity
    min_primitive = NULL
    For each primitive in scene
        t = Intersect(ray, primitive);
        if (t > 0 && t < min_t) then
            min_primitive = primitive
            min_t = t
    return Intersection(min_t, min_primitive)
}
```

Transformed Objects

- E.g. transform sphere into ellipsoid
- Could develop routine to trace ellipsoid (compute parameters after transformation)
- May be useful for triangles, since triangle after transformation is still a triangle in any case
- But can also use original optimized routines

Ray-Tracing Transformed Objects

We have an optimized ray-sphere test
- But we want to ray trace an ellipsoid...

Solution: Ellipsoid transforms sphere
- Apply inverse transform to ray, use ray-sphere
- Allows for instancing (traffic jam of cars)
- Same idea for other primitives

Transformed Objects

- Consider a general 4x4 transform M
  - Will need to implement matrix stacks like in OpenGL
- Apply inverse transform \(M^{-1}\) to ray
  - Locations stored and transform in homogeneous coordinates
  - Vectors (ray directions) have homogeneous coordinate set to 0 [so there is no action because of translations]
- Do standard ray-surface intersection as modified
- Transform intersection back to actual coordinates
  - Intersection point \(p\) transforms as \(M^{-1}\p\.
  - Distance to intersection if used may need recalculation
  - Normals \(n\) transform as \(M^{-1}\n\). Do all this before lighting.
Outline

- History
- Basic Ray Casting (instead of rasterization)
  - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
- Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)
- Optimizations
- Current Research

Shadows: Numerical Issues

- Numerical inaccuracy may cause intersection to be below surface (effect exaggerated in figure)
- Causing surface to incorrectly shadow itself
- Move a little towards light before shooting shadow ray

Outline in Code

Image Raytrace (Camera cam, Scene scene, int width, int height)
{
  Image image = new Image (width, height) ;
  for (int i = 0 ; i < height ; i++)
    for (int j = 0 ; j < width ; j++) {
      Ray ray = RayThruPixel (cam, i, j) ;
      Intersection hit = Intersect (ray, scene) ;
      image[i][j] = FindColor (hit) ;
    }
  return image ;
}

Lighting Model

- Similar to OpenGL
- Lighting model parameters (global)
  - Ambient r g b
  - Attenuation const linear quadratic
    \[ L = \frac{L_0 \text{const} + \text{lin} \cdot d + \text{quad} \cdot d^2}{d^2} \]
- Per light model parameters
  - Directional light (direction, RGB parameters)
  - Point light (location, RGB parameters)

Material Model

- Diffuse reflectance (r g b)
- Specular reflectance (r g b)
- Shininess s
- Emission (r g b)
- All as in OpenGL
**Shading Model**

\[ I = K_a + K_e + \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i (K_d \max (I_i \cdot n, 0) + K_s \max (h_i \cdot n, 0))^s \]

- Global ambient term, emission from material
- For each light, diffuse specular terms
- Note visibility/shadowing for each light (not in OpenGL)
- Evaluated per pixel per light (not per vertex)

**Mirror Reflections/Refractions**

Virtual Viewpoint

Virtual Screen

Objects

Generate reflected ray in mirror direction, Get reflections and refractions of objects

**Recursive Ray Tracing**

For each pixel
- Trace Primary Eye Ray, find intersection
- Trace Secondary Shadow Ray(s) to all light(s)
  - Color = Visible ? Illumination Model : 0 :
- Trace Reflected Ray
  - Color += reflectivity \( \times \) Color of reflected ray

**Recursive Shading Model**

\[ I = K_a + K_e + \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i (K_d \max (I_i \cdot n, 0) + K_s \max (h_i \cdot n, 0))^s \]

- Highlighted terms are recursive specularities [mirror reflections] and transmission (latter is extra credit)
- Trace secondary rays for mirror reflections and refractions, include contribution in lighting model
- GetColor calls RayTrace recursively (the \( I \) values in equation above of secondary rays are obtained by recursive calls)

**Problems with Recursion**

- Reflection rays may be traced forever
- Generally, set maximum recursion depth
- Same for transmitted rays (take refraction into account)

Turner Whitted 1980
Effects needed for Realism

- (Soft) Shadows
- Reflections (Mirrors and Glossy)
- Transparency (Water, Glass)
- Interreflections (Color Bleeding)
- Complex Illumination (Natural, Area Light)
- Realistic Materials (Velvet, Paints, Glass)

Discussed in this lecture
Not discussed but possible with distribution ray tracing
Hard (but not impossible) with ray tracing: radiosity methods
All are possible with path tracing developed in this course

Some basic add ons

- Area light sources and soft shadows: break into grid of $n \times n$ point lights
  - Use jittering: Randomize direction of shadow ray within small box for given light source direction
  - Jittering also useful for antialiasing shadows when shooting primary rays
- More complex reflectance models
  - Simply update shading model
  - But at present, we can handle only mirror global illumination calculations
- Some of these required for those who have already done a raytracer (167 with Chern or me)

Outline

- History
- Basic Ray Casting (instead of rasterization)
  - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
- Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)
  - Optimizations
- Current Research

Acceleration

Testing each object for each ray is slow
- Fewer Rays
  - Adaptive sampling, depth control
  - Generalized Rays
    - Beam tracing, cone tracing, pencil tracing etc.
- Faster Intersections
  - Optimized Ray-Object Intersections
  - Fewer Intersections

Acceleration Structures

Bounding boxes (possibly hierarchical)
  - If no intersection bounding box, needn’t check objects

Spatial Hierarchies (Oct-trees, kd trees, BSP trees)

Ray Tracing Acceleration Structures

- Bounding Volume Hierarchies (BVH)
- Uniform Spatial Subdivision (Grids)
- Binary Space Partitioning (BSP Trees)
  - Axis-aligned often for ray tracing: kd-trees
- Conceptually simple, implementation a bit tricky
  - Lecture relatively high level: Start early
  - Remember that acceleration a small part of grade
  - But will struggle in future if developing in software
Bounding Volume Hierarchies 1

- Build hierarchy of bounding volumes
  - Bounding volume of interior node contains all children

Bounding Volume Hierarchies 2

- Use hierarchy to accelerate ray intersections
  - Intersect node contents only if hit bounding volume

Bounding Volume Hierarchies 3

- Sort hits & detect early termination

Acceleration Structures: Grids

Acceleration and Regular Grids

- Simplest acceleration, for example 5x5x5 grid
- For each grid cell, store overlapping triangles
- March ray along grid (need to be careful with this), test against each triangle in grid cell
- More sophisticated: kd-tree, oct-tree bsp-tree
- Or use (hierarchical) bounding boxes
- Try to implement some acceleration in HW

Note on Optix, Code Reuse

- No Copying Code previous students, solutions, or any online resources
- No posting code online including to github
- Some students felt skeleton only for OptiX unfair
  - And in spring 20 tried copying to compensate. Bad!!
- Optix skeleton only Optix setup, no raytracing
  - Because writing from scratch in new language is hard
  - Acceleration structures are built-in, can use
  - Still likely harder option, because of learning curve (but great performance for course)
**Uniform Grid: Problems**

- Potential problem:
  - How choose suitable grid resolution?

**Octree**

- Construct adaptive grid over scene
  - Recursively subdivide box-shaped cells into 8 octants
  - Index primitives by overlaps with cells

**Octree traversal**

- Trace rays through neighbor cells
  - Fewer cells
  - More complex neighbor finding

**Math of 2D Bounding Box Test**

- Can you find a $t$ in range
  - $t > 0$
  - $t_{x\min} \leq t \leq t_{x\max}$
  - $t_{y\min} \leq t \leq t_{y\max}$

  - If $t_{x\min} > t_{x\max}$ OR $t_{y\min} > t_{y\max}$
    - return false;
  - else
    - return true;

  - No intersection if x and y ranges don’t overlap

**Bounding Box Test**

- Ray-Intersection is simple coordinate check
- Intricacies with test, see Shirley book
- Hierarchical Bounding Boxes

**Hierarchical Bounding Box Test**

- If ray hits root box
  - Intersect left subtree
  - Intersect right subtree
  - Merge intersections (find closest one)

- Standard hierarchical traversal
  - But caveat, since bounding boxes may overlap
- At leaf nodes, must intersect objects
Creating Bounding Volume Hierarchy

function bvh-node::create (object array A, int AXIS)  
N = A.length();  
if (N == 1) {left = A[0]; right = NULL; bbox = bound(A[0]);}  
else if (N == 2) {  
  left = A[0]; right = A[1];  
  bbox = combine(bound(A[0]),bound(A[1]));  
} else  
  Find midpoint m of bounding box of A along AXIS  
  Partition A into lists of size k and N-k around m  
  left = new bvh-node(A[0...k],(AXIS+1) mod 3);  
  right = new bvh-node(A[k+1...N-1],(AXIS+1) mod 3);  
  bbox = combine (left -> bbox, right -> bbox);  

From page 305 of Shirley book

Area Heuristics

- Instead of mid-point of bounding box, alternating axes, pick the axis and the location to split carefully
- The algorithm can test several splitting planes (at least 9 recommended) across x,y,z and chooses best one
- Area Heuristic: min \(a_1 \cdot a_2\) considering areas of each child box and number of primitives contained in each
- Longer for construction but better balanced
- Ideally speeds up raytracing (in Optix BVH built in)
- (Optional, but if interested read up on Surface Area Heuristic [SAH] and similar methods. Also see fast updates for animations, dynamic scenes)

Uniform Spatial Subdivision

- Different idea: Divide space rather than objects
- In BVH, each object is in one of two sibling nodes
  - A point in space may be inside both nodes
- In spatial subdivision, each space point in one node
  - But object may lie in multiple spatial nodes
- Simplest is uniform grid (have seen this already)
- Challenge is keeping all objects within cell
- And in traversing the grid

Traversal of Grid High Level

- Next Intersect Pt?
- Irreg. samp. pattern?
- But regular in planes
- Fast algo. possible
- (more on board)

BSP Trees

- Used for visibility and ray tracing
  - Book considers only axis-aligned splits for ray tracing
  - Sometimes called kd-tree for axis aligned
- Split space (binary space partition) along planes
- Fast queries and back-to-front (painter’s) traversal
- Construction is conceptually simple
  - Select a plane as root of the sub-tree
  - Split into two children along this root
  - Random polygon for splitting plane (may need to split polygons that intersect it)

BSP slides courtesy Prof. O’Brien

Initial State
First Split

Second Split

Third Split

Fourth Split

Final BSP Tree

BSP Trees Cont’d

- Continue splitting until leaf nodes
- Visibility traversal in order
  - Child one
  - Root
  - Child two
- Child one chosen based on viewpoint
  - Same side of sub-tree as viewpoint
- BSP tree built once, used for all viewpoints
Other Accelerations

- Screen space coherence
  - Check last hit first
  - Beam tracing
  - Pencil tracing
  - Cone tracing
- Memory coherence
  - Large scenes
- Parallelism
  - Ray casting is "embarrassingly parallelizable"
- etc.

Outline

- History
- Basic Ray Casting (instead of rasterization)
  - Comparison to hardware scan conversion
- Shadows / Reflections (core algorithm)
- Optimizations
- Current Research

Interactive Raytracing

- Ray tracing historically slow
- Now viable alternative for complex scenes
  - Key is sublinear complexity with acceleration; need not process all triangles in scene
- Allows many effects hard in hardware
- Today graphics hardware and software (NVIDIA Optix 6, RTX chips 10G+ rays per second)

Raytracing on Graphics Hardware

- Modern Programmable Hardware general streaming architecture
- Can map various elements of ray tracing
- Kernels like eye rays, intersect etc.
- In vertex or fragment programs
- Convergence between hardware, ray tracing

[Purcell et al. 2002, 2003]
http://graphics.stanford.edu/papers/photongfx