Student ASE Evaluation for Tianyin Xu
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 120 - Princ/Computer Operating Systm (Zhou, Yuan-Yuan)
Spring 2014

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 16
Number of Students Enrolled: 78

1. The Teaching Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The Teaching Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. The Teaching Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Count</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neither Agree Nor Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. The Teaching Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

11 (68.8%): Strongly Agree
3 (18.8%): Agree
1 (6.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.3%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Teaching Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

9 (56.3%): Strongly Agree
4 (25.0%): Agree
1 (6.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.3%): Strongly Disagree
1 (6.3%): Not Applicable

6. The Teaching Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

11 (68.8%): Strongly Agree
3 (18.8%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.3%): Strongly Disagree
1 (6.3%): Not Applicable

7. The Teaching Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

11 (73.3%): Strongly Agree
2 (13.3%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.7%): Strongly Disagree
1 (6.7%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]
8. The Teaching Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

10 (62.5%): Strongly Agree
4 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.3%): Strongly Disagree
1 (6.3%): Not Applicable

9. The Teaching Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

12 (75.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (12.5%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.3%): Strongly Disagree
1 (6.3%): Not Applicable

10. The Teaching Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

11 (68.8%): Strongly Agree
3 (18.8%): Agree
1 (6.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.3%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Teaching Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

10 (66.7%): No issues
0 (0.0%): Too quiet
0 (0.0%): Too loud
0 (0.0%): Too fast
0 (0.0%): Too slow
3 (20.0%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"
2 (13.3%): Other (please describe)
  • A little bit fast and not a big deal
  • Has a fairly thick accent, but the majority of the time it is not a problem
12. I would recommend this Teaching Assistant to other students.

10 (62.5%): Strongly Agree
4 (25.0%): Agree
1 (6.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
1 (6.3%): Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Teaching Assistant.

- Arrive Discussion place 45 mins early and he make things easy to understand. He come during the weekend too if you need his help.
- Clear discussion slides
- Held extra discussion section at request of students
- Insightful
- Knows his stuff, well-prepared, keeps your attention cause he's pretty funny.
- Not afraid to tell you he's not sure if he doesn't actually know something

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Teaching Assistant.

- Accent is very heavy and interferes with understanding
- Cares too much
- I dunno
- What weaknesses?

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

*Please provide any additional constructive comments*

- This course is project based. This TA is not helpful at all making this course extremely hard. Tianyin didn't answer questions a lot during the project and he doesn't know project very well and points us to the wrong direction. To prove this point, whoever saw this comment can go to Piazza and check out most posts, Tianyin never answer questions. And he never done the project so that every time we went to his office hour, he can't help. Very bad TA, should never teaching this course or be TA again.

- You were hilarious, Tianyin, and a pretty boss T.A.
  Thanks for holding those last minute office hours before the final. I'd say good luck with everything, but alpha males don't need luck haha I'll see you around campus.

-Kacy
Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Computer Science and Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.