

# THE LIGHT BULB PROBLEM

(Extended Abstract)

Ramamohan Paturi<sup>1</sup>  
Univ. of California, San Diego

Sanguthevar Rajasekaran<sup>2</sup>  
Univ. of Pennsylvania

John Reif<sup>2</sup>  
Duke University

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we consider the problem of correlational learning and present efficient algorithms to determine correlated objects.

## INTRODUCTION

Correlational learning, a subclass of unsupervised learning, aims to identify statistically correlated groups of attributes. In this paper, we consider the following correlational learning problem due to L. G. Valiant [7,8]: We have a sequence of  $n$  random light bulbs each of which is either on or off with equal probability at each time step. Further, we know that a certain pair of bulbs is positively correlated. The problem is to find efficient algorithms for recognizing the pair of light bulbs with the maximum correlation.

It is experimentally observed that humans can detect such a correlated pair *quickly* after being exposed to a 'small' number of samples. The motivation for the present problem is the desire to provide an algorithmic explanation for this phenomenon [6,7]. Some preliminary results are reported in Paturi [4].

In this paper, we consider a more general version of the basic light bulb problem. In the general version, we assume that the behavior of the bulbs is governed by some unknown probability distribution. Our goal would be to find the pair of bulbs with the largest pairwise correlation. We then consider the more general problem of  $k$ -way correlations.

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was done while the author was at Harvard University in 1985-86 and was supported by the NSF grant MCS-83-02385.

<sup>2</sup>Supported by NSF-DCR-85-03251 and ONR contract N00014-87-K-0310 and AFOSR-87-0386.

Mathematically, we can regard each light bulb  $l_i$  at time step  $t$  as a random variable  $X_i^t$  which takes the values  $\pm 1$ . We call  $(X_1^t, X_2^t, \dots, X_n^t)$  the  $t$ -th *sample*. We also assume that the behavior of the light bulbs is independent of their past behavior. In other words, the samples are independent of each other. We would like to find the desired object ( $k$ -tuples with the maximum correlation) *with high probability*. The complexity measures of interest are sample size and the number of operations to determine the desired object.

Before we proceed further, we introduce some definitions and facts from probability theory.

We define the correlation of a pair of light bulbs  $l_i$  and  $l_j$  as  $\mathbf{P}[X_i = X_j]$ . In general, for any  $k \geq 2$ , the correlation coefficient of the  $k$ -tuple  $(l_{i_1}, l_{i_2}, \dots, l_{i_k})$  of light bulbs is defined as  $\mathbf{P}[X_{i_1} = X_{i_2} = \dots = X_{i_k}]$ .

Let  $Y$  be a random event with probability of success  $p$ . Consider the probability distribution of the number of successes in  $k$  independent occurrences of the event  $Y$ . The following gives bounds for the probability of the tails of this distribution.

$$\mathbf{P}[\text{No. of Successes} < (1 - \delta)pk] \leq e^{-\delta^2 pk/2} \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{P}[\text{No. of Successes} > (1 + \delta)pk] \leq \left[ \frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{(1 + \delta)}} \right]^{pk} \quad (2)$$

where  $pk$  is the expected number of successes, and  $\delta > 0$ .

We say that a statement holds *with high probability*, if it holds with probability  $1 - n^{-\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

## A QUADRATIC-TIME ALGORITHM

We now present an algorithm called algorithm Q which samples each pair of bulbs for  $O(\ln n)$  time to determine the pair with the largest correlation.

Let  $S_{ij}^t = |\{1 \leq u \leq t \mid X_i^u = X_j^u\}|$ . In other words,  $S_{ij}^t$  is the number of times the bulbs  $l_i$  and  $l_j$  have identical output when  $t$  samples are considered. Let  $p_1$  be the largest pairwise correlation and  $p_2$  be the second largest correlation. Let  $p_2 = p_1(1 - 1/\gamma)$  where  $\gamma > 1$ . We characterize the performance of our algorithms in terms of the parameter  $\gamma$ . We can see that the value of  $S_{ij}^t$  tends to be larger if the pair  $(i, j)$  is more correlated. Hence, if we take a sufficiently large  $t$ , we can guarantee that the pair  $(i, j)$  with the largest  $S_{ij}^t$  has the maximum correlation provided  $p_1$  and

$p_2$  are sufficiently separated. It can easily be seen that the value of  $t$  depends on the correlation  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  which we may not know *a priori*. To overcome this problem, we check if the largest  $S_{ij}^t$  is greater than  $O(\gamma^2 \alpha \ln n)$  for any  $\alpha \geq 1$ . If the largest  $S_{ij}$  can be so separated, we declare  $(i, j)$  as the correlated pair. Otherwise, we look at the random variables  $X_i^{t+1}$  at step  $t+1$  and update  $S_{ij}^{t+1}$  and repeat the above computation until we succeed.

Each step of this computation takes  $O(n^2)$  operations. The following theorem gives us number of the iterations required.

**Theorem 1** *Algorithm Q terminates when  $t = O(\gamma^2 \alpha \ln n / p_1)$  and finds the pair with the maximum correlation with probability  $1 - O(n^{-\alpha})$ .*

It follows that the above algorithm takes  $O(n^2 \ln n)$ , where  $n^2$  is the number of pairs considered. More generally, given  $m$  pairs of random variables, the above algorithm finds the pair with the largest correlation in time  $O(m \ln n)$ . Can we do better? We now present two different algorithms which take only *subquadratic* time.

## ALGORITHM A

For each  $i$  and  $t$ , we will consider the string  $s_i^t = X_i^1 X_i^2 \dots X_i^t$  as an element of the hypercube  $\{-1, 1\}^t$ . Consider the sphere of radius  $\varepsilon t$  with center  $s_i^t$ . The volume  $V(\varepsilon t)$  of this sphere is at most  $\varepsilon t e^{h(\varepsilon)t}$  where  $h(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \ln(1/\varepsilon) + (1 - \varepsilon) \ln(1/(1 - \varepsilon))$  is the entropy function. If  $\varepsilon$  and  $t$  are selected appropriately, it is unlikely that the spheres corresponding to two different  $i$  and  $j$  would intersect if the light bulbs  $l_i$  and  $l_j$  do not have the maximum correlation. On the other hand, the spheres corresponding to the light bulbs with maximum correlation would intersect with high probability. This idea can be implemented as an algorithm with  $O(nV(\varepsilon t))$  operations.

We consider the random variables  $X_i^1, X_i^2, \dots, X_i^t$  for each  $i$ . We find a pair with the shortest distance in the  $t$ -dimensional hypercube by exploring the sphere around each  $X_i^1 X_i^2 \dots X_i^t$ . Let  $(i, j)$  be such a pair. We check if  $S_{ij}^t > O(\ln n)$ . If so, we declare  $(i, j)$  as the pair with the maximum correlation. Otherwise, we consider the  $t+1$ -dimensional hypercube and repeat.

If  $p_2 = 1/2$  and  $p_1 > 0.89$ , we can select  $\varepsilon$  to give a subquadratic time algorithm. The following theorem gives the precise condition under which we can get a subquadratic time algorithm.

**Theorem 2** *Let  $p_2 = 1/2$ . For any  $p_1 > 0.89$ , there exists an  $\alpha > 0$  such that, with probability  $1 - O(n^{-\alpha})$ , Algorithm A finds the maximally correlated pair in time  $O(n^{1+\mu} \ln n)$  for some  $\mu < 1$ .*

Algorithm A gives a subquadratic algorithm by looking at  $O(\ln n)$  samples, only if the maximum correlation is sufficiently high. We now give an algorithm which does not suffer from this disadvantage but uses  $O(n^\varepsilon)$  ( $\varepsilon < 1$ ) samples. We later use bootstrap technique to reduce the number of samples to  $O(\ln n)$ .

### ALGORITHM B

To understand this algorithm, consider the special case with  $p_1 = 1$ . In this case, the problem is reduced to sorting. We want to determine the pair that produced identical outputs. This can be done by sorting the strings  $s_i^t = X_i^1 X_i^2 \dots X_i^t$ . With  $t = O(\ln n)$ , we can identify the desired pair with high probability. The constant involved depends on  $p_2$ . The total number of operations in this special case is  $O(n \ln n)$ .

Even in the more general case, we can use the above idea to reduce the number of pairs to be considered. We classify the random variables based on their  $s_i^t$ . We say that two bulbs  $i$  and  $j$  fall into the same bucket if  $s_i^t = s_j^t$ . We consider all the pairs  $(i, j)$  for  $i$  and  $j$  in the same bucket. We select  $t$  such that no more than  $c$  variables  $X_i$  have the same  $s_i^t$  for some large enough constant  $c$ . This ensures that number of pairs to be considered is  $O(n)$ . On the other hand, if  $t$  is not too large, maximally correlated pair falls into same bucket with a sufficiently large probability. If this is repeated for a sufficient number of times, we can find the maximally correlated pair with high probability. In the following, we give the algorithm and a sketch of its analysis.

#### Algorithm B:

Let *PAIRS* be the empty list  $\emptyset$ ;  
 for  $i = 1$  to  $O(n^{\frac{\ln p_1}{\ln p_2}} \ln n)$  do

(**step1**) Take  $t = c \ln n$  (for some constant  $c$  to be determined later) and obtain the sample vectors  $(X_1^j, X_2^j, \dots, X_n^j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .

(**step2**) Sort the  $n$  strings (of length  $c \ln n$ )  $s_i^t = X_i^1 X_i^2 \dots X_i^t$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

(**step3**) For each bucket of bulbs obtained in step 2, consider all possible pairs of bulbs from the bucket. (A bucket is a group of all bulbs with equal value in all the  $t$  sample steps). Add any new pairs to the list *PAIRS*.

Using the algorithm Q that checks for correlation of each of the pairs, output the pair with the maximum correlation from among the pairs in *PAIRS*.

The following theorem gives the time and sample complexity of algorithm B. Let  $p_2 = (1 - 1/\gamma)p_1$ .

**Theorem 3** *Algorithm B finds the pair with the largest correlation in expected time  $O(c'n^{1+\frac{\ln p_1}{\ln p_2}} \ln^2 n)$  with probability  $1 - O(n^{-\alpha})$ .*

**Proof:** For any  $i$ , the probability that  $s_i^t = s_j^t$  is at most  $p_2^{(c \ln n)}$  if  $(i, j)$  is not the pair with the maximum correlation. Hence, if we select  $c = 1/\ln(1/p_2)$ , the expected number of bulbs falling into any one bucket is  $O(1)$ . In each iteration of the outer loop, at most  $O(n)$  pairs will be added to the list PAIRS.

With this value of  $c$ , the probability that the maximum correlated pair falls into the same bucket is  $(p_1)^{\ln n / \ln(1/p_2)} = n^{\ln p_1 / \ln(1/p_2)}$ . Therefore, if the outer loop of the algorithm B is executed  $c'n^{\ln p_1 / \ln p_2} \ln n$  times, then the maximum correlated pair will be included in the list PAIRS with probability  $1 - O(n^{-\alpha})$ . The constant  $c'$  depends on  $\alpha$ .

Since the expected length of list PAIRS is  $O(n^{1+\ln p_1 / \ln p_2} \ln n)$ , we find the correct pair with high probability in expected time  $O(\gamma^2 \alpha n^{1+\ln p_1 / \ln p_2} \ln^2 n / p_1)$  using the algorithm Q.

## BOOTSTRAP TECHNIQUE

Algorithm B uses a large number ( $O(n^{\ln p_1 / \ln p_2})$ ) of samples. We can reduce the sample size to  $O(\log n)$  using the Bootstrap technique [1,2,3].

Assume that we are given a data set (i.e., a random sample of size  $d$ )  $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$  from an unknown distribution, and we want to estimate some statistic, say  $\theta$ . The idea of bootstrap is to generate a large number of new data sets from  $D$  and estimate  $\theta$  on each one of the generated data sets to obtain a better estimate of  $\theta$ . A data set is generated by drawing samples independently with replacement from  $D$  with each element in  $D$  being equally likely.

We can use this idea of bootstrap in algorithm B. We first make  $d \log n$  observations (for some constant  $d \geq \gamma$ , where  $p_2 = p_1(1 - 1/\gamma)$ ). This sample is then used to generate data sets for step 1 of the algorithm.

The reason why bootstrap works in our case can be explained as follows. The separation between the maximum correlated pair and the second largest correlated pair is preserved in the sample data (with high probability). More precisely, using Chernoff bounds, we can show that the **sample** correlation of the pair with the largest correlation is at least  $(1 - \epsilon)p_1$  (for any  $\epsilon > \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{d p_1}}$ ) with

probability  $\geq (1 - n^{-\alpha})$  (for any  $\alpha > 1$ ). Also the sample correlation of the pair with the second largest correlation is at the most  $(1 + \delta)p_2$  (for any  $\delta > \sqrt{\frac{(2+\alpha)}{dp_2}}$ ) with probability  $\geq (1 - n^{-\alpha})$ . Therefore the expected run time of the modified algorithm is  $O(n^{1 + \frac{\log((1-\epsilon)p_1)}{\log((1+\delta)p_2)}} \ln^2 n)$ . The constant will depend on  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$ .

### $k$ -WAY CORRELATION

We can modify the algorithm B to detect a  $k$ -tuple with the largest  $k$ -way correlation. The run time of the algorithm would then be  $O(n^{k \frac{\log p_1}{\log p_2} + 1} \ln^2 n)$  where  $p_1$  is the largest  $k$ -way correlation and  $p_2$  is the second largest  $k$ -way correlation.

Let  $t = c \log n$ . Algorithm  $B_k$  is the same as Algorithm B except that  $c$  has to be chosen to equal  $k/\ln(1/p_2)$ . Also, instead of obtaining pairs in step3, we obtain  $k$ -tuples here.

Algorithm  $B_k$ :

$TUPLES = \emptyset$ ;

for  $i = 1$  to  $O(n^{k \log p_1 / \log p_2} \ln n)$  do

(step1) Observe the light bulbs for  $t = c \log n$  time and obtain the sample vectors  $(X_1^j, X_2^j, \dots, X_n^j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .

(step2) Sort the  $n$  numbers ( $c \log n$  bits each)  
 $(X_1^1 X_1^2 \dots X_1^t), (X_2^1 X_2^2 \dots X_2^t), \dots, (X_n^1 X_n^2 \dots X_n^t)$   
 using radix sort.

(step3) Obtain all possible  $k$ -tuples from out of the bulbs in each bucket of step2. Add these  $k$ -tuples to  $TUPLES$ .

Find the  $k$ -tuple with the maximum correlation using a variant of Algorithm Q.

The analysis of this algorithm is similar to that of Algorithm B. For any  $\alpha > 0$ , we get that Algorithm  $B_k$  finds the  $k$ -tuple with the largest correlation in  $O(n^{k \frac{\log p_1}{\log p_2} + 1} \ln^2 n)$  expected time with probability  $\geq 1 - n^{-\alpha}$ . The constant will depend on  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$ .

### $k$ -WAY CORRELATION FOR ARBITRARY $k$

The algorithm in the previous section has a run time exponential in  $k$ . Is there a polynomial time algorithm for  $k$ -WAY CORRELATION for arbitrary  $k$ ? The answer is yes if  $O(\ln n)$  sample size suffices to solve the problem. This implies that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  should be separated by a constant.

Given that  $O(\log n)$  sample size suffices, the problem can be restated as follows. We define the observation matrix  $M$  of size  $n$  by  $t$  to be  $M_{ij} = X_i^j$ . A row corresponds to a light bulb and a column corresponds to a sample time step. The correlation of any  $k$  rows ( $k$ -tuple) is defined to be the number of identical columns in  $M$  when restricted to these  $k$  rows. The problem is to find the  $k$  rows with the maximum correlation.

The maximum correlated  $k$ -tuple will have  $i$  identical columns and no other  $k$ -tuple will have greater than  $i$  identical columns for some  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . The algorithm for  $k$ -WAY CORRELATION for an arbitrary  $k$  would exhaustively check if there is a  $k$ -tuple with  $j$  identical columns for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$ .

Algorithm ARBITRARY  $k$ -WAY;

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$  do

for each possible choice of  $j$  columns (there are  $\binom{t}{j}$  choices in all)  
do

Find if there is a  $k$ -tuple with identical entries in these  $j$  columns. This can be done using radix sort of the  $n$  ( $j$ -bit) integers (one corresponding to each row).

If there is a bucket with  $\geq k$  bulbs then it means there are  $k$  rows with  $j$  identical columns. If so, set  $MAXCORR = j$  and register the corresponding bucket in  $BUCKET$ .

Output  $MAXCORR$  and a  $k$ -tuple from  $BUCKET$

If  $t = c \log n$ , clearly, the innerloop will be executed  $\sum_{j=1}^{c \log n} \binom{c \log n}{j} = n^c - 1$  times and each execution takes  $O(n)$  time. Observe the total run time is independent of  $k$ . If  $t$  were polynomial in  $n$ , then this algorithm runs in exponential time.

The problem of  $k$ -WAY CORRELATION becomes harder for large observation lengths. In fact, given the observation matrix the problem of finding the maximum correlated  $k$  rows is NP-Hard. We prove this by reducing the clique problem to this problem. Details will appear in the full paper.

OPEN PROBLEM: Can we find an  $O(n \ln n)$  algorithm for determining the pair with the maximum correlation?

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to thank Prof. Les Valiant for suggesting this problem and for listening to our solutions.

## References

- [1] P. Diaconis, and B. Efron. Computer-Intensive Methods in Statistics. Annals of Statistics (1980).
- [2] B. Efron. Bootstrap Methods: Another Look at the Jackknife. Annals of Statistics 7 (1979).
- [3] B. Efron. The Jackknife, the Bootstrap and Other Resampling Plans. SIAM, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (1982).
- [4] R. Paturi. The Light Bulb Problem. Technical Report CS88-129, University of California, San Diego (1988).
- [5] L.G. Valiant. A Theory of the Learnable. CACM 27(11) (1984).
- [6] L.G. Valiant. Learning Disjunctions of Conjunctions. Proc. 9th IJCAI, Los Angeles, CA, (1985).
- [7] L.G. Valiant. Private Communication (1985).
- [8] L. G. Valiant. Functionality in Neural Nets. First Work shop on COLT (1988).