Tips for Mass Grading

[Last updated May 2004]

The grading part of the teaching assistant job is the most time consuming and the least rewarding of all. If you are a new teaching assistant, you will find yourself spending incredible amounts of time grading. While you grade, you will discover that your grading scheme is imperfect, you will feel that you are removing too many points here, not enough there, and you will go back and forth several times through the endless stack of homeworks.

This document is in the works. For some other good advice on grading, see Michael's cs154 document

This note gives a number of advices on how to grade efficiently. It mostly comes from experience TA'ing undergrad theory classes in computer science, and might not be applicable to all.

Fast grading methods

Choosing a grading scale (read 10 hws and put comments, select only two or three possible "base" grades (perfect, ok, bad))

Standard comments (put a code for the standard problems, so that you only have to write the code if the problem is identified)

Inconsistencies are not harmful — show computations of how likely it is that a small inconsistency will affect the grade.

Miscellaneous

1. Be forgiving to minor mistakes
   If the student showed a good understanding of the problem and his answer is right apart from some small mistakes, don't remove points just because your grading scheme tells you to do so.

2. Don't give points by weight
   If a student writes mostly wrong things or useless handwaving sentences, don't give free points by weight, even if there are a few things right in the answer. Handwaving should be discouraged at all cost.

3. Don't write standard comments
   When an answer is completely off, it is tempting to write "See solutions". You should not do that: it takes too much time just to write this. A red line crossing through the page will be much faster to draw, and will be as good an incentive to go and check the solutions.

4. Avoid day dreaming
   As with any boring job that is not done under close supervision, the most significant waste of time comes from day dreaming. Avoid day dreaming either by working short shifts. Alternatively, you can set an alarm to ring every ten minutes, and verify that you keep a steady grading pace.

5. Don't worry about small inconsistencies in your grading scheme
   The scrupulous TA will often want to have a perfect grading scheme, such that if he were given the same homework to grade twice, he would give exactly the same grade. Achieving this goal is both very time consuming, of statistically small value, and has undesirable side effect (we expand on this later in the text). Instead, for a given question, you can define three focal grades.

6. Don't hesitate to remove points for lack of clarity
   Decide at the beginning of the quarter (or ask the instructor) if the students should learn to express themselves clearly. If so, reserve a significant fraction of the points for each question to "clarify". Remove these points anytime the solution is confused.

7. Don't fear regrading
   Whether grading is performed fast or slowly, you are bound to make some mistakes of two types: (1) giving credit for a wrong solution, and (2) not giving credit to a good solution. The first ones, you will never hear any complaints about, so it is important to try to avoid them as much as possible. The second one will happen, and you should be ready to recognize your mistake. However, if, after a student comes to you and explains to you his solution, you still find it wrong, don't give any extra credit.

8. Use codes for standard comments

9. Don't reward complaints
   Some students come to complaint about the grading scheme, and to ask for more partial credit. You should not give extra points unless you are sure you oversaw something when you graded the first time, as giving free extra points for complaining would encourage students to do so more and distort the (relative) fairness of your grading.

   If a student is too insistent, tell him either (1) to go and see the professor, or (2) to fill in a written complaint that will be examined by the professor.