



**Student ASE Evaluation for Manish Gupta  
Department of Computer Science and Engineering**

CSE 12 - Basic Data Struct & OO Design (Alvarado, Christine J.)  
Spring 2015

**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 48  
Number of Students Enrolled: 182**

1. The Teaching Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

15 (31.3%): Strongly Agree  
27 (56.3%): Agree  
4 (8.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Teaching Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

21 (43.8%): Strongly Agree  
24 (50.0%): Agree  
1 (2.1%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Teaching Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

15 (31.3%): Strongly Agree  
25 (52.1%): Agree  
2 (4.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
5 (10.4%): Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Teaching Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

12 (25.0%):	Strongly Agree
21 (43.8%):	Agree
8 (16.7%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
5 (10.4%):	Disagree
1 (2.1%):	Strongly Disagree
1 (2.1%):	Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Teaching Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

9 (19.1%):	Strongly Agree
19 (40.4%):	Agree
8 (17.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (4.3%):	Disagree
1 (2.1%):	Strongly Disagree
8 (17.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

6. The Teaching Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

12 (25.0%):	Strongly Agree
24 (50.0%):	Agree
6 (12.5%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
5 (10.4%):	Disagree
1 (2.1%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

7. The Teaching Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

13 (27.7%):	Strongly Agree
23 (48.9%):	Agree
5 (10.6%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
5 (10.6%):	Disagree
1 (2.1%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

8. The Teaching Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

17 (35.4%): Strongly Agree  
24 (50.0%): Agree  
3 (6.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
3 (6.3%): Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

9. The Teaching Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

13 (27.1%): Strongly Agree  
21 (43.8%): Agree  
6 (12.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
2 (4.2%): Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Strongly Disagree  
5 (10.4%): Not Applicable

10. The Teaching Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

15 (31.3%): Strongly Agree  
28 (58.3%): Agree  
3 (6.3%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Strongly Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Teaching Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

34 (63.0%): No issues  
4 (7.4%): Too quiet  
0 (0.0%): Too loud  
4 (7.4%): Too fast  
0 (0.0%): Too slow  
5 (9.3%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills  
5 (9.3%): Used filler words such as "um"  
2 (3.7%): Other (please describe)

- Sometimes is monotone
- Speaking level is good. But sometimes the accent makes it hard to hold students attentions.

12. I would recommend this Teaching Assistant to other students.

11 (23.4%): Strongly Agree  
21 (44.7%): Agree  
14 (29.8%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree  
0 (0.0%): Disagree  
1 (2.1%): Strongly Disagree  
1: [No Response]

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Teaching Assistant.

- Answered questions well.
- Funny, engaging, and smart
- good
- Good TA, knows his material.
- He cares about the material and seems to enjoy teaching
- He covers concepts efficiently.
- He had a very strong grasp of the subject matter.
- He is very vocal
- He seemed knowledgeable on the parts that he was sure of.
- He was really easy going and I felt that some of the stuff that was brought up in discussion was really interesting
- Humor
- Manish was always cheerful and well prepared for section. He was also able to answer any questions or concerns to help make the material clear
- motivation
- Not bad
- Really connects to the students
- really good at explaining concepts
- Still tries his hardest to explain
- The TA had a good array of knowledge, and knows how to answer the questions that students ask him.
- Very muscular, would love to chat about bench press tips. Also very nice and holds attention really well during discussion hours. I like the quirky clicker questions as well!
- willingness to make sure a student understands a concept before moving on

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Teaching Assistant.

- A lot of the times we would ask him something and he wouldn't know it and just skip our question or make up something really fast.
- A lot of people would walk out in the middle of section and you could tell it flustered him.
- Didn't use clickers enough.
- Explaining concepts are sometimes unclear. Maybe the diagrams on the board help, but the writing can be improved so that it is more understandable.
- Explanations often don't answer the question asked
- good
- He seems monotone in regards to public speaking.
- His discussions are slow paced
- I feel that the discussions were a little unorganized and I wished that he was more organized in that regard.
- I sometimes can't understand what he is saying
- Illegible handwriting.
- None that I can think of
- Not bad
- poor accent, barely understandable
- should ask students if they have questions more often
- Was sort of unclear when explaining material
- Write bigger and more clearly on the board, or write code on the computer. It is hard to see the board and what you write because you write very lightly and small.
- Writing isn't the neatest  
Could write on powerpoint instead
- Writing way too small on the board during section. The writing is also too light to see.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

*Please provide any additional constructive comments*

- Awesome TA
- good
- He covers concepts efficiently, but he needs more enthusiasm and charisma.
- nah
- Not bad

- Overall a very good TA
- You were a really good TA. Sometimes it was hard to understand your accent, but it helped that you were willing to repeat yourself when necessary. Don't write in cursive, though. Overall great TA!

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Computer Science and Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.