



**Student ASE Evaluation for Manish Gupta
Department of Computer Science and Engineering**

CSE 12 - Basic Data Struct & OO Design (Alvarado, Christine J.)
Spring 2015

**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 56
Number of Students Enrolled: 175**

1. The Teaching Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

26 (46.4%): Strongly Agree
22 (39.3%): Agree
5 (8.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (3.6%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (1.8%): Not Applicable

2. The Teaching Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

32 (57.1%): Strongly Agree
22 (39.3%): Agree
2 (3.6%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Teaching Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

22 (39.3%): Strongly Agree
23 (41.1%): Agree
10 (17.9%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (1.8%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Teaching Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

18 (32.1%): Strongly Agree
24 (42.9%): Agree
12 (21.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (3.6%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Teaching Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

19 (34.5%): Strongly Agree
17 (30.9%): Agree
8 (14.5%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (3.6%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
9 (16.4%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

6. The Teaching Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

22 (40.0%): Strongly Agree
22 (40.0%): Agree
9 (16.4%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (3.6%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

7. The Teaching Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

21 (38.2%): Strongly Agree
25 (45.5%): Agree
7 (12.7%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
2 (3.6%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
1: [No Response]

8. The Teaching Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

25 (46.3%):	Strongly Agree
18 (33.3%):	Agree
11 (20.4%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
2:	[No Response]

9. The Teaching Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

20 (36.4%):	Strongly Agree
16 (29.1%):	Agree
6 (10.9%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (1.8%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
12 (21.8%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

10. The Teaching Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

26 (47.3%):	Strongly Agree
23 (41.8%):	Agree
6 (10.9%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable
1:	[No Response]

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Teaching Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

- 34 (61.8%): No issues
- 8 (14.5%): Too quiet
- 0 (0.0%): Too loud
- 3 (5.5%): Too fast
- 0 (0.0%): Too slow
- 3 (5.5%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills
- 2 (3.6%): Used filler words such as "um"
- 5 (9.1%): Other (please describe)
 - Super funny TA! Teaches material really well.
 - writes really smalllll
 - Accent was sometimes hard to understand
 - accented English
 - wrote too small on the board

12. I would recommend this Teaching Assistant to other students.

- 22 (40.0%): Strongly Agree
- 22 (40.0%): Agree
- 10 (18.2%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
- 1 (1.8%): Disagree
- 0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
- 1: [No Response]

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Teaching Assistant.

- Able to break down difficult concepts.
- Cares about students and loves the material. I like going to his discussions because of the jokes and random questions on programming
- Clear and covers all the material in the review quizzes.
- Excellent at discussing issues with the code, particularly with homework assignments. Also helpful during class discussions to further our discussion.
- Explaining each question asked as thoroughly as possible.
- He answers the questions that students ask clearly.
- He genuinely wants to help his students and I appreciate that.
- He is a good TA, very funny and knows his stuff
- He is funny and not too serious!

- He is really good at explaining things
- He is very intelligent
- he presents material very clearly and provides pseudocodes to develop our thinking skill, which i love. really great TA, would definitely recommend to everyone. he's also very funny!
- He was really good about going over reading quizzes and explaining in depth why an answer was correct or incorrect
- He was really helpful during a programming assignment review once. I was struggling with an assignment and when I went to discussion, he offered the class pseudocode on how to figure it out. I am really grateful for that!
- He's usually very nice, approachable, and understanding.
- Helpful
- Helps the people in class who maybe don't want to talk to anyone else. Helps understanding. Very nice
- His understanding of the material
- Incredibly knowledgeable
- knew a lot about the materials presented in lecture
- Manish answers questions well with follow-up questions that can get you to talk about your program more. He's super nice and approachable to talk to.
- Manish is great. Funny, approachable, knowledgable. Made going to discussion less painful.
- Manish really cares about his students and their success. He's super caring and smart and is able to help students get a better understanding of the topics of 12 without simply handing them answers. High recommend!
- Manish was very knowledgable and knew what he was talking about. He provided great insight into what we were supposed to be doing.
- This person's strengths is knowing the material and finding an easy way to make it clearer.

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Teaching Assistant.

- HE AINT AROUND ENUFF. NUFF SAID.
- He has difficulty communication what he wishes to convey to his students. Therefore, it was difficult for me to understand what he's trying to help us with.
- He sometimes mumbles
- He's a liiiittle quiet, and counts down the clicker questions quickly.
- I sometimes found him hard to understand but to me it was excusable.

I wished he would type on the computer his notes instead of the chalkboard. It's hard for people to read his cursive sometimes and when there are so many people in his class his writing can be difficult to see if you are not sitting nearby

- Manish always wrote very small and at times, was difficult to understand while he was lecturing.
- None as of yet.
- Not applicable
- Not enough experience.
- Not really anything bad
- nothing
- Small handwriting on chalkboard - often have to sit in front row to see what he is writing.
- Some times harder to see his writing.
- Sometimes hard to hear.
- sometimes mumbles/monotonic.
- This person's weakness is sometimes not being prepared for discussion.
- too quiet
- When he writes on the blackboard, it is hard to see what he is writing.
- Writes on the board but is very small and hard to read in the large lecture hall
- writes too small on the board, sometimes hard to see.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please provide any additional constructive comments

- He is very good and very nice. I recommend him!
- Hes really nice
- I think he should type out or prepare more slides ahead of time, instead of writing of the chalkboard, so people in the class can see what he is writing.
- I've spoken to him personally, he seems like a nice guy. I hope to see him in the future
- Overall an excellent TA, would highly recommend for other classes as well.

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Computer Science and Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.