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Confidential Data

- Limited to people with need
- Destroyed at end of life
YOU...

have confidential data on your computer right now!
CORPORATIONS...

must protect their own data as well as client’s data.

Top Risks Patients Face When Their Data Is Breached

Public Exposure/Embarrassment 61%
Financial Identity Theft 56%
Medical Identity Theft 45%

ID Experts, Benchmark Study on Patient Privacy and Data Security, November 2011, what harms do patients actually suffer if their records are lost or stolen?
GOVERNMENTS...

must protect information to protect the state and lives of its citizens
Confidential Data

Sensitive information which...

- Limited to people with need
- Destroyed at end of life

How?
What we know comes from years of research on hard drives.
Solid State Disks (SSDs) next generation storage...

- Flash-based
- No moving parts
- Uses a complex controller (Flash Translation Layer)
SSDs are becoming quite popular…
You might have left confidential data and not even realized it.
Why is it hard to erase SSDs?

Current sanitization tools are designed for hard drives. But SSDs are very different!
SSD Differences

- Recovery process is cheap
- Wide space of manufacturers for poor implementation
- Easy Disassembly / Reassembly

Let’s see what’s on this SSD...

- Low cost compared to hard drives
- Someone could steal your data overnight!
Overview

- Motivation
- Sanitization Background
- Validating Sanitization and Results
- Single-File Sanitization Enhancement
Sanitization

Erasing data so that it is difficult or impossible to recover
For this talk, we’ll talk about the chip level.

- There’s leftover data
  - It’s cheap
- The next level is much more complex
Writing Data

Operating System's View

```
<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

Hard Drive

```
<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

Operating System's View

```
<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

Solid State Disk

```
<p>| | | | | | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
```

▪ Stale Data
Writing more data...
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• Stale Data
Lots of stale data can be left over on the drive...
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- Motivation
- Sanitization Background
- Validating Sanitization and Results
- Single-File Sanitization Enhancement
We now want to measure the stale data left over.
First, we constructed a “fingerprint” that was easily identifiable.

Special Identifiers
Unique Patterns
Checksum
Second, we needed a way to see more than what the operating system sees.
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Stale Data
Second, we needed a way to see more than what the operating system sees.

Operating System's View

Stale Data

Solid State Disk (Flash Chips)
We built a custom hardware platform to extract data off the chips.
The drive is successfully sanitized if no stale data is left over.
Whole-disk sanitization

Erase the whole disk so that no old data remains.

• **Built-in Commands**
  • ATA Security “Erase Unit” (ATA-3), 1995
  • Cryptographic techniques

• **Software Overwrite**
  • Various Standards
Built-in commands

- ATA Security “Erase Unit”
ATA Security Erase Unit (1995)

- Normal: Replace the contents of LBA 0 to MAX LBA with binary zeroes or ones.
- Enhanced: All previously written user data shall be overwritten.

Predates SSDs: doesn’t distinguish overwritten from erase.
### ATA Security Erase Enhanced

Some drives tested supported and passed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSD Name</th>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>SECURITY ERASE UNIT (ATA-3)</th>
<th>SECURITY ERASE UNIT ENHANCED (ATA-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No (Reports yes)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partial (Bugged)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Partial (Bugged)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Crypto Scrambles</td>
<td>Crypto Scrambles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vendor Dependent**

- **ATA SECURITY ERASE UNIT ENHANCED**
- **ATA SECURITY ERASE UNIT**
- **Software Overwrite**
ATA Security Erase Unit
One drive reported success, even though all data remained.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSD Name</th>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>SECURITY ERASE UNIT (ATA-3)</th>
<th>SECURITY ERASE UNIT ENHANCED (ATA-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No (Reports yes)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partial (Bugged)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Partial (Bugged)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Crypto Scrambles</td>
<td>Crypto Scrambles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATA Security Erase Unit

• Others only worked after the drive was reset

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSD Name</th>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>SECURITY ERASE UNIT (ATA-3)</th>
<th>SECURITY ERASE UNIT ENHANCED (ATA-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No (Reports yes)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partial (Bugged)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Partial (Bugged)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Crypto Scrambles</td>
<td>Crypto Scrambles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ATA Security Erase Unit

- Some drives crypto-scrambled, so we could not verify them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSD Name</th>
<th>Controller</th>
<th>SECURITY ERASE UNIT (ATA-3)</th>
<th>SECURITY ERASE UNIT ENHANCED (ATA-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No (Reports yes)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partial (Bugged)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Partial (Bugged)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Crypto Scrambles</td>
<td>Crypto Scrambles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ATA SECURITY ERASE UNIT ENHANCED
- Vendor Dependent

ATA SECURITY ERASE UNIT
- Software Overwrite

Software Overwrite
Crypto-Scramble

Works by deleting key

• Fast, but...

• Encrypted data remains

• Data isn’t erased

• Crypto scramble makes drives unverifiable
Hardware Commands

• Wide variation in results
  – Not supported
  – Success
  – Crypto-scramble
  – Buggy implementation (works sometimes)
  – Failure (all data leftover)

• Result is implementation-dependent

• Will not know what happens until it is tested
SAFE: Scramble and Finally Erase

- Cryptography is desirable
- However, it is hard to verify
- A sanitized disk is easy to verify
- Why not crypto-scramble AND erase?
SAFE: Scramble and Finally Erase

- Traditional Sanitization Process
  - Sanitize and Initialize in a single step
  - Drive is INITIALIZED after a sanitize
SAFE: Scramble and Finally Erase

- Crypto-Erase “Sanitization” Process
  - Delete keys
  - Drive is *INITIALIZED* after a sanitize
SAFE: Scramble and Finally Erase

SAFE breaks this up and adds two new states: **KEYLESS** and **VERIFIABLE**
SAFE: Scramble and Finally Erase

Scramble: Drive is actively being encrypted
- On sanitize, delete the keys (**KEYLESS**)  
- This step takes milliseconds
SAFE: Scramble and Finally Erase

- Encrypted, In Use (ACTIVE)
- Sanitize Disk
- Delete Keys (KEYLESS)
- Block Erase (VERIFIABLE)
- Write Metadata (INITIALIZED)

Erase: Perform a block erase after scramble
- We can easily verify the drive (VERIFIABLE)
- This step takes minutes
SAFE: Scramble and Finally Erase

- We can now **verify** if the drive is erased
  - Via pulling off the chips
  - Possibly via hardware commands that don’t exist yet
  - External connector

- Best of both worlds
  - Fast cryptographic scramble
  - Slower, more secure erase
Myth: Flash takes a long time to erase

- 13 seconds to erase 4 Gbit
- 2.1 minutes to program 4 Gbit
- Can work on multiple chips in parallel
- \#of channels scales with drive size (in general)

- Average disk (250GB) may take ~20s to fully erase

- With simple optimizations, a very fast erase is possible
SAFE: Scramble and Finally Erase

• *Problem*: We still have to trust the firmware designer to do it right!

• Challenge: How do we avoid the need to trust the firmware?
Software overwrite

• Various Government Standards
• According to NIST 800-88 (2006) “Studies today have shown that most of today’s media can be effectively cleared by one overwrite.”
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Software overwrite
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Software overwrite
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Solid State Disk (Flash Chips)

?
How many times?

Our experiments show 2 passes are *typically* necessary

**But** even on the same drive, the number of required passes varied between 2 to more than 20.

Unreliable - hardware commands are best, if they are correctly implemented.
Single-File Sanitization

Erasing single files while leaving other parts of the drive intact
We want to sanitize only part of the disk.
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Solid State Disk (Flash Chips)
Let’s try overwriting it...
And again...

![Operating System’s View](image)

- Document
- Other Data
- Stale Data

Hard Drive

Solid State Disk (Flash Chips)
We tested with a 1000MB file, and got pretty bad results...
We tried to augment the existing procedures to do better...

- Wipe the free space
- Defragment and wipe

...but that didn’t help at all.
We’d like a hardware command that would tell the controller to delete stale data
Overview

• Motivation
• Sanitization Background
• Validating Sanitization and Results
• Single-File Sanitization Enhancement
Scrubbing

An enhancement to the FTL to sanitize single files
Unfortunately, it’s not that easy.
First, flash is arranged into areas we can write to called **pages**.
And pages are arranged into larger sections we can erase called blocks.
Erasing one piece of data would erase everything else in that block
One method to get around the limitation is to copy. But that’s slow!
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We can overwrite individual pages
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- Stale Data

Overwrite

Solid State Disk (Flash Chips)
We can overwrite individual pages
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[Diagram showing storage and overwrite process]

Solid State Disk (Flash Chips)
We can overwrite individual pages
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Solid State Disk (Flash Chips)
We can overwrite individual pages
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☐ Stale Data

Overwrite

Solid State Disk (Flash Chips)
The datasheet says we have to program pages in order though...
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Solid State Disk (Flash Chips)
Our research has shown that it’s okay, with specific restrictions.
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We call this a “scrub”.
Low density, high reliability SLC memory: No caveat.

MLC:
High Density MLC: We are limited by a “scrub budget”

Typical “Safe” BER
Sanitizing single files with scrub

- When do we do it?
  - *Immediate*: Right away
  - *Background*: When we’re free
  - *Scan*: When we’re told to

![Operating System’s View](image)

**Without Scrubbing**

**With Scrubbing**
Immediate & Background

• Automatically scrubs stale data from SSD
• Immediate
  – Maximum Security
  – Writes don’t complete until scrub is done
• Background
  – Good Security
  – Better performance, writes finish immediately
Harm. Mean of Financial, Software Devel., Patch, OLTP, Berkeley–DB, BTreeSwap
Harm. Mean of Financial, Software Devel., Patch, OLTP, Berkeley–DB, BTreeSwap
Harm. Mean of Financial, Software Devel., Patch, OLTP, Berkeley–DB, BTreeSwap
Scan is what we wanted earlier: A built-in command to sanitize individual files.
In MLC, we still have to manage the scrub budget with copies.
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Scan Latency

Benchmark

- patch-multi
- oltp-regular
- postmark
- bdb-BTree
- Financial1
- build-multi
- trace2
- SwapSpace
- Average

Relative Latency (s)

- SLC
- MLC 0
- MLC 16
- MLC 32
- MLC 64
- MLC 128
Scrubbing

• The solution for single-file sanitation
• Sanitization level is selectable
• On-demand with scan mode
Conclusion

• Sanitizing storage media is essential for data security
• Need to **verify** sanitization effectiveness
  – Built-in mechanisms are reliable when implemented correctly
  – Hard-drive techniques don’t necessarily work
  – SAFE allows us to verify encrypted drives
• Sanitizing single files (in place) is difficult
  – Software overwrite cannot reliably sanitize
  – Scrubbing allows us to sanitize files by modifying the FTL