

**MINIMAL  $\mathcal{S}$ -UNIVERSALITY CRITERIA  
MAY VARY IN SIZE**

NOAM D. ELKIES, DANIEL M. KANE, AND SCOTT DUKE KOMINERS

ABSTRACT. In this note, we give simple examples of sets  $\mathcal{S}$  of quadratic forms that have minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -universality criteria of multiple cardinalities. This answers a question of Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO05] in the negative.

A quadratic form  $Q$  *represents* another quadratic form  $L$  if there exists a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear, bilinear form-preserving injection  $L \rightarrow Q$ . In this note, we consider only positive-definite quadratic forms, and assume unless stated otherwise that every form is classically integral (equivalently: has a Gram matrix with integer entries). For a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of such forms, a quadratic form is called (*classically*)  $\mathcal{S}$ -*universal* if it represents all quadratic forms in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  the set  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  of natural numbers. In 1993, Conway and Schneeberger (see [Bha00, Con00]) proved the ‘‘Fifteen Theorem’’:  $\{ax^2 : a \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -universal forms can be exactly characterized as the set of forms which represent all of the forms in the finite set  $\{x^2, 2x^2, 3x^2, 5x^2, 6x^2, 7x^2, 10x^2, 14x^2, 15x^2\}$ . This set is thus said to be a ‘‘criterion set’’ for  $\{ax^2 : a \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . In general, for a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of quadratic forms of bounded rank, a form  $Q$  is said to be  $\mathcal{S}$ -*universal* if it represents every form in  $\mathcal{S}$ ; an  $\mathcal{S}$ -*criterion set* is a subset  $\mathcal{S}_* \subset \mathcal{S}$  such that every  $\mathcal{S}_*$ -universal form is  $\mathcal{S}$ -universal. Following the Fifteen Theorem, Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO05] proved that, surprisingly, finite  $\mathcal{S}$ -universality criteria exist in general.

**Theorem 1** (Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO05]). *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be any set of quadratic forms of bounded rank. Then, there exists a finite  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion set.*

Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO05] observed that there may be multiple  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets  $\mathcal{S}_* \subset \mathcal{S}$  which are *minimal* in the sense that for each  $L \in \mathcal{S}_*$  there exists a  $Q$  that is  $(\mathcal{S}_* \setminus \{L\})$ -universal but not  $\mathcal{S}$ -universal.<sup>1</sup> Given this observation, they asked (and speculated to be difficult) the following question:

**Question** (Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO05]; Kim [Kim04]). *Is it the case that for all sets  $\mathcal{S}$  of quadratic forms (of bounded rank), all minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets have the same cardinality? Formally, is*

$$|\mathcal{S}_*| = |\mathcal{S}'_*|$$

for all minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets  $\mathcal{S}_*$  and  $\mathcal{S}'_*$ ?

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11E20, 11E25.

*Key words and phrases.* universality criteria, quadratic forms.

<sup>1</sup>Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO05] gave a simple example of a set of quadratic forms  $\mathcal{S}$  with multiple minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets:

$$\mathcal{S} = \left\{ \langle 2^i \rangle \oplus \langle 2^j \rangle \oplus \langle 2^k \rangle : 0 \leq i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

which has  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets  $\{\langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle\}$  and  $\{\langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle, \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle\}$ .

In this brief note, we give simple examples that answer this question in the negative. In each case we choose some quadratic form  $A$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of quadratic forms represented by  $A$ , so that  $\mathcal{S}_* = \{A\}$  is a minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion set. We then exhibit one or more  $\mathcal{S}'_* \subset \mathcal{S}$  that are finite but of cardinality 2 or higher, and prove that  $\mathcal{S}'_*$  is also a minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion set.

We first give an example where  $A$  is diagonal of rank 3 and  $\mathcal{S}'_*$  consists of one diagonal form of rank 2 and one of rank 3. We then give even simpler examples of higher rank where each  $L \in \mathcal{S}'_*$  has rank smaller than that of  $A$ , often with  $A = \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{S}'_*} L$ .

It will at times be convenient to switch from the terminology of quadratic forms to the equivalent notions for lattices; we shall do this henceforth without further comment. For example we identify the form  $\langle 1 \rangle$  with the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### AN EXAMPLE OF RANK 3

Let  $A := \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle$ , be the quadratic form that is the orthogonal direct sum of two copies of the form  $\langle 1 \rangle$  and one copy of the form  $\langle 2 \rangle$ . Let  $B := \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle$  and  $C := \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of quadratic forms represented by  $A$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Both  $\{A\}$  and  $\{B, C\}$  are minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets.*

Theorem 2 provides an example of two minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets of different cardinalities.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Clearly,  $\{A\}$  is a minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion set. Moreover, it is clear that while  $B, C \in \mathcal{S}$ , neither  $\{B\}$  nor  $\{C\}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion set since neither  $B$  nor  $C$  can embed  $A$ . It therefore only remains to show that  $\{B, C\}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion set. To show this, it suffices to prove that any quadratic form  $Q$  that represents both  $B$  and  $C$  also represents  $A$ .

First, we note that any vector  $v$  of norm 2 in an integer-matrix quadratic form  $Q$  which is not a sum of two orthogonal  $Q$ -vectors of norm 1 must be orthogonal to all  $Q$ -vectors of norm 1. Indeed, if  $v, w \in Q$ ,  $(v, v) = 2$ ,  $(w, w) = 1$ , and  $(v, w) \neq 0$ , then we may assume that  $(v, w) = 1$  (by Cauchy-Schwarz,  $(v, w)$  is either 1 or  $-1$ , and in the latter case we may replace  $w$  by  $-w$ ). Then  $v = w + (v - w)$ , where  $w$  and  $v - w$  are orthogonal vectors of norm 1.

Suppose for sake of contradiction that  $Q$  is a quadratic form that represents  $B$  and  $C$  but not  $A$ . Since  $Q$  represents  $B$  but not  $A$ , there is no norm-2 vector of  $Q$  orthogonal to all norm-1 vectors of  $Q$ . Since  $Q$  represents  $C$ , it must contain three orthogonal norm-2 vectors,  $u, v$ , and  $w$ . By the above observation, we may write  $u$  as a sum of norm-1 vectors, say  $u = x + y$  for some orthogonal norm-1 vectors  $x, y \in Q$ .

Now, each of  $v$  and  $w$  is orthogonal to  $u$  but not orthogonal to both  $x$  and  $y$  (since otherwise we could embed  $A$  as the span of  $\{x, y, v\}$  or  $\{x, y, w\}$ ). We claim that this implies that both  $v$  and  $w$  are of the form  $\pm(x - y)$ : Since  $v$  is not orthogonal to both  $x$  and  $y$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $v$  is not orthogonal to  $x$ . Perhaps replacing  $v$  with  $-v$ , we may assume that  $(v, x) = 1$ . We then have  $v = x + z$  for some unit vector  $z$  orthogonal to  $x$ . We have

$$0 = (u, v) = (x + y, x + z) = (x, x) + (x, z) + (y, x) + (y, z) = 1 + (y, z),$$

hence  $(y, z) = -1$ . Since both  $y$  and  $z$  are unit vectors, this implies that  $z = -y$ , hence  $v = x - y$ . An analogous argument shows that  $w$  is of the form  $\pm(x - y)$ .

Finally, if both  $v$  and  $w$  are of the form  $\pm(x - y)$ , then  $(v, w) \in \{2, -2\}$ , contradicting the fact that  $v$  and  $w$  are orthogonal.  $\square$

## EXAMPLES OF HIGHER RANK

We begin with a simple example in rank 9. We give two proofs of the correctness of this example, each of which suggests a different generalization.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $A = E_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of quadratic forms represented by  $A$ . Then both  $\{A\}$  and  $\{E_8, \mathbb{Z}\}$  are minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets.*

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 2, we need only prove that any quadratic form  $Q$  that represents both  $E_8$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  also represents  $E_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .

*First argument.* Fix a copy of  $E_8$  in  $Q$ . Choose any copy of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $Q$ , that is, any vector  $v \in Q$  with  $(v, v) = 1$ . Let  $\pi : Q \rightarrow E_8 \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  be orthogonal projection. Then,  $(\pi(v), w) = (v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $w \in E_8$ , so  $\pi(v) \in E_8^*$ . But  $E_8$  is self-dual, and has minimal norm 2. Since  $(\pi(v), \pi(v)) \leq (v, v)$ , it follows that  $\pi(v) = 0$ , that is,  $v$  is orthogonal to  $E_8$ . Hence  $Q$  contains  $E_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  as claimed.

*Second argument.* Since  $E_8$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  are unimodular, they are direct summands of  $Q$  (again because  $\pi(v) \in E_8$  for all  $v \in Q$ , and likewise for the projection to  $\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ ). But  $E_8$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$  are indecomposable, and any positive-definite lattice is uniquely the direct sum of indecomposable summands. Hence  $Q = \bigoplus_k Q_k$  for some indecomposable  $Q_k \subset Q$ , which include  $E_8$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so again we conclude that  $Q$  represents  $E_8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ .  $\square$

The first argument for Proposition 3 generalizes as follows.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $A = L \oplus L'$ , where  $L'$  is generated by vectors  $v_i$  of norms  $(v_i, v_i)$  less than the minimal norm of vectors in the dual lattice<sup>2</sup>  $L^*$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the set of quadratic forms represented by  $A$ . Then, both  $\{A\}$  and  $\{L, L'\}$  are minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets.*

*Proof.* As before, it is enough to show that if  $Q$  represents both  $L$  and  $L'$  then it represents  $L \oplus L'$ . Let  $\pi$  be the orthogonal projection to  $L \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\pi(v_i) \in L^*$  for each  $i$ , whence  $\pi(v_i) = 0$  because

$$(\pi(v_i), \pi(v_i)) \leq (v_i, v_i) < \min_{\substack{v \in L^* \\ v \neq 0}} (v, v).$$

Thus, the copy of  $L'$  generated by the  $v_i$  is orthogonal to  $L$ . This gives the desired representation of  $L \oplus L'$  by  $Q$ .  $\square$

*Examples.* We may take  $L' = \mathbb{Z}^n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $L \in \{E_6, E_7, E_8\}$ ; choosing  $L = E_6$  and  $n = 1$  gives an example of rank 7, the smallest we have found with this technique. We may also take  $L$  to be the Leech lattice; then  $L'$  can be any lattice generated by its vectors of norms 1, 2, and 3. There are even examples with neither  $L$  nor  $L'$  unimodular. Indeed, such examples may have arbitrarily large discriminants. For instance, let  $\Lambda_{23}$  be the laminated lattice of rank 23 (the intersection of the Leech lattice with the orthogonal complement of one of its minimal vectors); this is a lattice of discriminant 4 and minimal dual norm 3. So we can take  $L = \Lambda_{23}^n$  for arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and choose any root lattice for  $L'$ .

The second argument for Proposition 3 generalizes in a different direction. We use the following notations. For a collection  $\Pi$  of sets, let  $U(\Pi)$  be their union  $\bigcup_{\mathcal{P} \in \Pi} \mathcal{P}$ ; and for a finite set  $\mathcal{P}$  of lattices, let  $P(\mathcal{P})$  be the direct sum  $\bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{P}} L$ . Say that two lattices  $L, L'$  are *coprime* if they have no indecomposable summands in common.

<sup>2</sup> This dual lattice is the only lattice we consider that might fail to be classically integral.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $A = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{P})$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a finite set of pairwise coprime, unimodular lattices; and let  $\Pi$  be a family of subsets of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $U(\Pi) = \mathcal{P}$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}'_* := \{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{R}) : \mathcal{R} \in \Pi\}$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion set for the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of quadratic forms represented by  $A$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{S}'_*$  is a minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion set if and only if  $U(\Pi \setminus \{\mathcal{R}\})$  is smaller than  $\mathcal{P}$  for each  $\mathcal{R} \in \Pi$ .*

*Proof.* We repeatedly apply the observation that if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a set of pairwise coprime lattices, each of which is a direct summand of a lattice  $Q$ , then  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{P})$  is also a direct summand of  $Q$ . Since any unimodular sublattice of an integer-matrix lattice is a direct summand, it follows that  $Q$  represents  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{R})$  for each  $\mathcal{R} \in \Pi \iff Q$  represents each lattice in  $U(\Pi) = \mathcal{P} \iff Q$  represents  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{P}) = A$ . That is,  $\mathcal{S}'_*$  is a criterion set for  $A$ . Moreover, replacing  $\Pi$  by any subset  $\Pi' = \Pi \setminus \mathcal{R}$  shows that  $\{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{R}) : \mathcal{R} \in \Pi'\}$  is a criterion set for  $\mathbf{P}(U(\Pi'))$ . Thus  $\mathcal{S}'_*$  is minimal if and only if  $U(\Pi \setminus \mathcal{R}) \subsetneq \mathcal{P}$  for each  $\mathcal{R} \in \Pi$ .  $\square$

*Examples.* We may take for  $\Pi$  any partition of  $\mathcal{P}$ , and then  $A = \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{S}'_*) = \bigoplus_{L \in \mathcal{S}'_*} L$ . Proposition 3 is the special case  $\mathcal{P} = \{E_8, \mathbb{Z}\}$ ,  $\Pi = \{\{E_8\}, \{\mathbb{Z}\}\}$ . (The similar case  $\mathcal{P} = \{E_8, \mathbb{Z}^8\}$ ,  $\Pi = \{\{E_8\}, \{\mathbb{Z}^8\}\}$  was in effect used already by Oh [Oh00, Theorem 3.1] and the third author [Kom08a] in the study of 8-universality criteria.) Since  $|\mathcal{P}|$  can be any natural number  $n$ , Proposition 5 produces for each  $n$  a lattice  $A$  for which  $\mathcal{S}$  has minimal criterion sets of (at least)  $n$  distinct cardinalities.

#### REMARKS

The examples presented here show that minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets may vary in size. Further examples can be obtained by mixing the techniques of Theorem 2 and Propositions 4 and 5; for instance,  $\{\langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle \oplus E_8 \oplus \Lambda_{23}\}$  and  $\{\langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle, \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle \oplus E_8, \Lambda_{23}\}$  are both minimal criterion sets for the set of lattices represented by  $\langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 1 \rangle \oplus \langle 2 \rangle \oplus E_8 \oplus \Lambda_{23}$ . However, it is unclear (and appears difficult to characterize in general) for which  $\mathcal{S}$  this phenomenon occurs.

For the sets  $\mathcal{S}_n$  of rank- $n$  quadratic forms, criterion sets are known only in the cases  $n = 1, 2, 8$  (see [Bha00, Con00], [KKO99], and [Oh00], respectively). Few criterion sets beyond those for  $\mathcal{S}_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, 8$ ) have been explicitly computed.

Meanwhile, in the cases  $n = 1, 2, 8$ , the minimal  $\mathcal{S}_n$ -criterion sets are known to be *unique* (see [Kim04], [Kom08b], and [Kom08a]), in which case the answer to the question we examine is (trivially) affirmative. But there is not yet a general characterization of the  $\mathcal{S}$  that have unique minimal  $\mathcal{S}$ -criterion sets (see [Kim04]). It seems likely that such a result would be essential in making progress towards a general answer to the question of Kim, Kim, and Oh [KKO05] that we studied here.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

While working on this paper, Elkies was supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0501029, and Kane and Kominers were supported in part by NSF Graduate Research Fellowships.

#### REFERENCES

- [Bha00] M. Bhargava, *On the Conway-Schneeberger fifteen theorem*, Quadratic forms and their applications: Proceedings of the Conference on Quadratic Forms and Their Applications, July 5–9, 1999, University College Dublin, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 272, American Mathematical Society, 2000, pp. 27–37.

- [Con00] J. H. Conway, *Universal quadratic forms and the fifteen theorem*, Quadratic forms and their applications: Proceedings of the Conference on Quadratic Forms and Their Applications, July 5–9, 1999, University College Dublin, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 272, American Mathematical Society, 2000, pp. 23–26.
- [Kim04] M.-H. Kim, *Recent developments on universal forms*, Algebraic and Arithmetic Theory of Quadratic Forms, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 344, American Mathematical Society, 2004, pp. 215–228.
- [KKO99] B. M. Kim, M.-H. Kim, and B.-K. Oh, *2-universal positive definite integral quinary quadratic forms*, Integral quadratic forms and lattices: Proceedings of the International Conference on Integral Quadratic Forms and Lattices, June 15–19, 1998, Seoul National University, Korea, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 249, American Mathematical Society, 1999, pp. 51–62.
- [KKO05] ———, *A finiteness theorem for representability of quadratic forms by forms*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **581** (2005), 23–30.
- [Kom08a] S. D. Kominers, *The 8-universality criterion is unique*, preprint, [arXiv:0807.2099](https://arxiv.org/abs/0807.2099), 2008.
- [Kom08b] ———, *Uniqueness of the 2-universality criterion*, Note di Matematica **28** (2008), no. 2, 203–206.
- [Oh00] B.-K. Oh, *Universal  $\mathbb{Z}$ -lattices of minimal rank*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **128** (2000), 683–689.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY  
ONE OXFORD STREET  
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138  
*E-mail address:* [elkies@math.harvard.edu](mailto:elkies@math.harvard.edu)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY  
ONE OXFORD STREET  
CAMBRIDGE, MA 02138  
*E-mail address:* [dankane@math.harvard.edu](mailto:dankane@math.harvard.edu), [aladkeenin@gmail.com](mailto:aladkeenin@gmail.com)

DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, AND HARVARD BUSINESS SCHOOL  
WYSS HALL, HARVARD BUSINESS SCHOOL  
SOLDIERS FIELD, BOSTON, MA 02163  
*E-mail address:* [kominers@fas.harvard.edu](mailto:kominers@fas.harvard.edu), [skominers@gmail.com](mailto:skominers@gmail.com)