

# CSE 291 Scribe Notes Lecture 16

Zeyu Chen

May 15, 2017

## Abstract

How to computational efficiently find an approximation of  $p$  using  $q \in \mathcal{C}$  that minimizes the  $A_k$  distance:  $|q - \hat{p}|_{A_k}$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is the family of distributions that are  $t$ -piecewise degree- $d$  polynomial( $t$ -piecewise degree- $d$  polynomial: the  $\mathbb{R}$  can be split into  $t$  pieces, and each piece the probability density function is a degree  $d$  polynomial)

## 1 Previous Lecture

We introduced the  $|q - p|_{A_k}$  distance between  $p$  and  $q$ , which is  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_I |q(I) - p(I)|$ . If those two distributions have only a few crossings, then  $A_k$  distance can be a good standing of  $d_{TV}$ (total variation distance).  $A_k$  distance can be measured in a small VC-dimension which means that we can have a better bound in the number of samples to measure the distance. If we can split the  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $O(d(t+1))$  pieces and measure the  $A_k$  distance by catching the sign changes between  $p$  and  $q$ , then  $A_k$  is the same as  $d_{TV}$ . If we cannot let  $p$  be exactly  $t$ -piecewise polynomial, we can  $\delta$ -approximate  $p$  in error of  $O(\delta + \epsilon)$  with time and sample complexity  $O(t(d+1)/\epsilon^2)$ .

## 2 Algorithm

1. Take Samples
2. Compute the empirical distribution  $\hat{p}$
3. Find  $q$   $t$ -piecewise degree- $d$  that minimizes  $|q - \hat{p}|_{A_k}$
4. If non-proper hypothesis  $q$ , return hypothesis not in  $\mathcal{C}$

The algorithm above could end up with the case of non-proper hypothesis, but we can round the non-proper result to a proper result with a doubled error; however, there is not known algorithm to do that efficiently.

This still leads to the question of how to perform step 3 efficiently.

## 3 Examples

### 3.1 Special Case: $t=1$

First we will look at the special case where  $t = 1$ . We want to find degree- $d$  polynomial  $q$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that for any partition of  $[0, 1]$  into intervals  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k$ ,  $\sum_I |q(I_i) - \hat{p}(I_j)| < \epsilon$ . We also assume that  $p$  is close to  $\hat{p}$  in  $A_k$  distance and there exists some  $p$  that is close to  $\hat{p}$  in  $A_k$  distance.

We can formulate this problem into a Linear Program. (Linear Program: A system of linear inequalities with some number of variables. Optimize the objective function with constraints like:  $v_i \cdot x \geq b_i$ , [Wiki\\_LP](#))

The good side of linear program is that we know: (Theorem) there exists a polynomial time algorithm to find the solution; however, there might be infinite number of intervals (inequalities) that

we need to consider for our LP, so we will end up with a horrible runtime.

It turns out that we do not actually need a list of equations for this algorithm to work. It is sufficient to have a separation oracle(a special version of LP *Lec\_SO*), which can be done in the following:

- Given  $\mathbf{X}$  return either
  - $\mathbf{X}$  is a solution
  - some constraint that  $\mathbf{X}$  violates

In order to compute the  $A_k$  distance  $|p - q|_{A_k}$  for some nice distribution  $p$  and  $q$ , we want to

1. partition intervals to break at where  $p(x) = q(x)$ [reduce to only finite number of end-points](There are  $d$  crossings in one interval, with  $t$  piece),
2. find the best intervals that minimize  $\sum_{j=1}^M |p(I_j) - q(I_j)|$  using Dynamic Programming, where  $I_m$  ends at  $x$ .

Then, we can get some optimal partitions of  $(I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m)$  through Dynamic Programming by comparing on what is the best discrepancy between merging  $I_j$  and  $I_{j+1}$  and just  $I_j$ , then we can get the set of intervals that minimize the discrepancy. This dynamic programming also gives us a Separation Oracle (if the partition at  $X$  will give you some  $A_k < \epsilon$ ) and we can apply Linear Program to see if there is such a  $q$  that  $A_k \text{distance} < \epsilon$  and minimizes  $A_k$

### 3.2 A more general case $t > 1$

How to approximate (no exact solution to the minimum  $A_k \text{distance}$ )some distribution  $p$  where we assume  $p =$  some  $t$ -piecewise degree- $d$  polynomial There is no algorithm to minimize  $A_k$  distance but only to find good enough  $A_k$  distance approximation of  $p$  since we can always have a finer partition (larger  $t$ ) to minimize the  $A_k$  distance. We also need  $O(N \log(\frac{k}{\epsilon}))$  samples as we need to take the union bound over  $\frac{k}{\epsilon}$  terms.

As above we split  $\mathbb{R}$  into intervals  $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_m$  where  $P(J_i) \approx \frac{\epsilon}{k}$ , where  $k = 2t(d + 1)$ . We can do this if we have access to  $p$  or partition it approximate if we can access  $\hat{p}$

But we need to know how good this approximation is Let  $J_{a-b} = J_a \cup J_{a+1} \cup J_{a+2} \dots \cup J_b$ . Suppose we have  $N$  samples, and our empirical distribution  $\hat{p}$  has an error between  $\hat{p}(J_{a-b}) = p(J_{a-b}) \pm \sqrt{\frac{\frac{\epsilon}{k}(b-a+1)}{N}}$ . Let  $\sqrt{\frac{\frac{\epsilon}{k}(b-a+1)}{N}} = \sqrt{b-a+1}\delta$ .

For  $q \geq 0$   $|q(J_{a-b}) - \hat{p}(J_{a-b})| < \delta\sqrt{(b-a+1)} \forall a \leq b$  where  $a-b$  does not cross a boundary for  $q$ .  $|q(J_{a-b}) - p(J_{a-b})| < 2\delta\sqrt{(b-a+1)}$  (fix divider for the partition).

But how do we compute the  $|q - p|_{A_k}$  We partition the domain into  $k$  intervals and round the interval  $I_j$ 's endpoints to some  $J_i$ 's and this introduces  $O(\epsilon)$  error.



Figure 1: round the interval's endpoints where  $p > q$  to intervals where  $p < q$  so that we can end up with introducing  $2(p(I_{err}) - q(I_{err}))$ , where  $p(I_{err}) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{k}$ , so for  $k$  intervals, we at introduce  $O(\epsilon)$  error in total

After round all the endpoints, let  $I_1 = J_{1-a_1}, I_2 = J_{a_1+1-a_2}, \dots, |p-q|_{A_k} \leq O(\epsilon) + \sum 2\delta\sqrt{a_{j+1} - a_j} \leq O(\epsilon) + O(\delta)\sqrt{\sum a_{j+1} - a_j}\sqrt{k}$ (Cauchy-Schwartz). Thus  $|p - q|_{A_k} \leq O(\epsilon + \frac{\delta k}{\sqrt{\epsilon}})$ .

Since  $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{kN}}$  and we need to get  $\frac{\delta k}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} = \epsilon \rightarrow \delta = \frac{\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}}{k}$ . By the equation  $\frac{\epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}}{k} = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon}{kN}}$ , we get  $N = O(\frac{k}{\epsilon^2})$ .

With the fixed dividers, we need to find where  $q$  needs to break at those dividers. Assume interval boundaries are at the boundaries at the  $J$ 's.

1. We can find if there is a single degree- $d$  polynomial  $q$  works on some  $J_{a-b}$  using Linear Program.
2. For each  $m, 0 < m \leq t$ , what is the largest interval  $J_{1-x}$ , such that can be done with  $m$ -piecewise polynomial  $q$  (how big could the piece be for some piece  $m$ ).