

**Student IA Evaluation for Chen Chen
Department of Cognitive Science**COGS 188 - AI Algorithms (Gupta, Anjum)
Summer Session 2 2019**Number of Evaluations Submitted: 4
Number of Students Enrolled: 36**

1. The Instructional Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

1 (25.0%): Strongly Agree
3 (75.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

2. The Instructional Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

1 (25.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (50.0%): Agree
1 (25.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Instructional Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

2 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

4. The Instructional Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

2 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%): Agree
1 (25.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Instructional Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

2 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (25.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Instructional Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

2 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
2 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Instructional Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

2 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (25.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. The Instructional Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

2 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%): Agree
1 (25.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

9. The Instructional Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

3 (75.0%):	Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

10. The Instructional Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

2 (50.0%):	Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%):	Agree
0 (0.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
1 (25.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Instructional Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

4 (80.0%):	No issues
0 (0.0%):	Too quiet
0 (0.0%):	Too loud
0 (0.0%):	Too fast
0 (0.0%):	Too slow
0 (0.0%):	Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%):	Used filler words such as "um"
1 (20.0%):	Other (please describe)
	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• accent

12. I would recommend this Instructional Assistant to other students.

1 (25.0%):	Strongly Agree
1 (25.0%):	Agree
2 (50.0%):	Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Disagree
0 (0.0%):	Strongly Disagree

13. Please describe this person's greatest strengths as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- He is accessible outside class. And he sometimes explains materials better than the professor.
- One of his strengths was he had a good understanding of the material so he was able to provide a lot of help on assignments. He was also accessible outside of class which was helpful in getting help about the class topics/assignments.

14. Please describe this person's greatest weaknesses as a Instructional Assistant.
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

- He did not make assignments or exam questions clear. But this might be caused by him. It might happen just because the course overall was disorganized.
- One weakness he had was sometimes he would struggle to explain things. This seemed to be more of that the concept was just hard to explain in general because he did know the topics just had trouble in finding a way to make it easy to understand for everybody.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?
Please keep your comments constructive and professional, abiding by the Principles of Community

[No Responses]

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Cognitive Science, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.