Student ASE Evaluation for Ashish Venkat
Department of Computer Science and Engineering

CSE 141 - Intro/Computer Architecture (Tullsen, Dean Michael)
Spring 2016

Number of Evaluations Submitted: 6
Number of Students Enrolled: 158

1. The Teaching Assistant was well organized and prepared for class.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
3 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1 (16.7%): Not Applicable

2. The Teaching Assistant consistently arrived at lecture, section/lab, office hours and exams on time.

3 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
3 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

3. The Teaching Assistant presented course material clearly and answered questions accurately in class.

3 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
3 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
4. The Teaching Assistant helped develop my thinking skills on the subject.

3 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
3 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

5. Feedback from the Teaching Assistant on assignments, exams and/or papers was helpful and constructive.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
4 (66.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

6. The Teaching Assistant's explanations were appropriate, being neither too complicated nor too simple.

3 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
3 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

7. The Teaching Assistant answered questions clearly and effectively, helping students to make connections among the course readings, assignments, and lectures.

3 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
3 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

8. The Teaching Assistant was genuinely interested in and enthusiastic about teaching.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
4 (66.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable
9. The Teaching Assistant was accessible to students outside of class (office hours, e-mail, etc.).

3 (50.0%): Strongly Agree
3 (50.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

10. The Teaching Assistant effectively connected the section/lab exercises with the material covered in lecture.

2 (33.3%): Strongly Agree
4 (66.7%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
0 (0.0%): Not Applicable

11. In terms of communication skills, did the Teaching Assistant demonstrate any of the following? (check all that apply)

4 (100.0%): No issues
0 (0.0%): Too quiet
0 (0.0%): Too loud
0 (0.0%): Too fast
0 (0.0%): Too slow
0 (0.0%): Poor grammar and/or English language skills
0 (0.0%): Used filler words such as "um"
0 (0.0%): Other (please describe)

12. I would recommend this Teaching Assistant to other students.

4 (80.0%): Strongly Agree
1 (20.0%): Agree
0 (0.0%): Neither Agree Nor Disagree
0 (0.0%): Disagree
0 (0.0%): Strongly Disagree
1: [No Response]

13. Please describe this person’s greatest strengths as a Teaching Assistant.

- I could trust that Ashish would give the correct answers.
• Very helpful during office hours. walkthroughs on some lecture points that were not really explained in lecture!

14. Please describe this person’s greatest weaknesses as a Teaching Assistant.

• .
• I feel like occasionally Ashish was trying too hard not to give away the answers and as a result wasn't as helpful when explaining how to do some problems.

15. Do you have any other comments to add to your evaluation?

Please provide any additional constructive comments

• .

Please note that any responses or comments submitted by evaluators do not necessarily reflect the opinions of instructors, Computer Science and Engineering, Academic Affairs, or UC San Diego. Responses and comments are made available without auditing or editing, and they may not be modified or deleted, to ensure that each evaluator has an opportunity to express his or her opinion.