Legal Notice

This lecture will be recorded and made available to registered students on Canvas.
Good news for all of you on the waitlist!

We’ve increased enrollment so you should all be able to enroll.

**But** we don’t have more TAs. So you all should be very very very nice to the TAs.
When is a program secure?

• Formal approach: When it does exactly what it should
  • Not more
  • Not less

• But how do we know what it is supposed to do?
When is a program secure?

• Formal approach: When it does exactly what it should
  • Not more
  • Not less

• But how do we know what it is supposed to do?
  • Somebody tells us? (Do we trust them?)
  • We write the code ourselves? (What fraction of the software you use have you written?)
When is a program secure?

- Pragmatic approach: When it doesn’t do bad things
- Often easier to specify a list of “bad” things:
  - Delete or corrupt important files
  - Crash my system
  - Send my password over the internet
  - Send threatening email to the professor
When is a program secure?

What if the program doesn’t do bad things, but could?

Is it secure?
Weird machines

• Complex systems contain unintended functionality

• Attackers can trigger this unintended functionality
  • i.e. they are exploiting vulnerabilities
What is a software vulnerability?

- A bug in a program that allows an unprivileged user to perform capabilities that should be denied to them.

There are many types of vulnerabilities:

- Today: bugs that violate "control / integrity"

Why? This lets an attacker run code on your computer!

Typically these involve violating assumptions of the programming language or its runtime.
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What is a software vulnerability?

- A bug in a program that allows an unprivileged user capabilities that should be denied to them

- There are many types of vulnerabilities

- Today: bugs that violate “control flow integrity”
  - Why? This lets an attacker run code on your computer!

- Typically these involve violating *assumptions* of the programming language or its runtime
Exploiting vulnerabilities (the start)

- Dive into low-level details of how exploits work
  - How can a remote attacker get a victim program to execute their code?

- Threat model: Victim code is handling input that comes from across a security boundary
  - What are some examples of this?

- Security policy: Want to protect integrity of execution and confidentiality of data from being compromised by malicious and highly skilled users of our system.
Today: Stack buffer overflows

Lecture objectives:

• Understand how buffer overflow vulns can be exploited
• Identify buffer overflows and assess their impact
• Avoid introducing buffer overflow vulnerabilities
• Correctly fix buffer overflow activities
Buffer overflows

• Definition: An anomaly that occurs when a program writes data beyond the boundary of a buffer

• Archetypal software vulnerability
  • Ubiquitous in system software (C/C++)
  • OSes, web servers, web browsers, etc.
  • If your program crashes with memory faults, you probably have a buffer overflow vulnerability
Why are they interesting?

- Core concept → broad range of possible attacks
  - Sometimes a single byte is all an attacker needs
- Ongoing arms race between defenders and attackers
  - Co-evolution of defenses and exploitation techniques
How are they introduced?
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- No automatic bounds checking in C/C++

- The problem is made more acute by the fact that many C stdlib functions make it easy to go past bounds

- String manipulation functions like `gets()`, `strcpy()`, and `strcat()` all write to the destination buffer until they encounter a terminating byte in the input
How are they introduced?

- No automatic bounds checking in C/C++
- The problem is made more acute by the fact that many C stdlib functions make it easy to go past bounds
- String manipulation functions like `gets()`, `strcpy()`, and `strcat()` all write to the destination buffer until they encounter a terminating byte in the input
- Whoever is providing the input (often from the other side of a security boundary) controls how much `gets` written
Let’s look at the finger daemon in BSD 4.3

```c
/*
 * Finger server.
 */
#include <sys/types.h>
#include <netinet/in.h>

#include <stdio.h>
#include <ctype.h>

main(argc, argv)
    char *argv[];
{
    register char *sp;
    char line[512];
    struct sockaddr_in sin;
    int i, p[2], pid, status;
    FILE *fp;
    char *av[4];

    i = sizeof (sin);
    if (getpeername(0, &sin, &i) < 0)
        fatal(argv[0], "getpeername");
    line[0] = '\0';
    gets(line);
    sp = line;
    av[0] = "finger";
    i = 1;
    while (1) {
        while (isspace(*sp))
            sp++;
        if (!*sp)
            break;
        if (*sp == '/' && (sp[1] == 'W' || sp[1] == 'w')) {
            sp += 2;
            av[i++] = "-l";
        }
        if (*sp && !isspace(*sp)) {
            av[i++] = sp;
            while (*sp && !isspace(*sp))
                sp++;
            *sp = '\0';
        }
    }
}
```
Morris worm

• This fingerd vuln was one of several exploited by the Morris worm in 1988
• Created by Robert Morris, graduate student at Cornell
• One of the first internet worms
• Devastating effect on the internet
• Took over thousands of computers and shut down large chunks of the internet
• First conviction under CFAA
That was over 30 years ago!

Surely buffer overflows are no longer a problem...
MMS Exploit Part 1: Introduction to the Samsung Qmage Codec and Remote Attack Surface

Posted by Mateusz Jurczyk, Project Zero

This post is the first of a multi-part series capturing my journey from discovering a vulnerable little-known Samsung image codec, to completing a remote zero-click MMS attack that worked on the latest Samsung flagship devices. New posts will be published as they are completed and will be linked here when complete.

- [this post]
- MMS Exploit Part 2: Effective Fuzzing of the Qmage Codec
- MMS Exploit Part 3: Constructing the Memory Corruption Primitives
- MMS Exploit Part 4: MMS Primer, Completing the ASLR Oracle
- MMS Exploit Part 5: Defeating Android ASLR, Getting RCE

Introduction

In January 2020, I reported a large volume of crashes in a custom Samsung codec called "Qmage", present in all Samsung phones since late 2014 (Android version 4.4.4+). This codec is written in C/C++ code, and is baked deeply into the Skia graphics library, which is in turn the underlying engine used for nearly all graphics operations in the Android OS. In other words, in addition to the well-known formats such as JPEG and PNG, modern Samsung phones also natively support a proprietary Qmage format, typically denoted by the .qmg file extension. It is automatically enabled for all apps which display images, making it a prime target for remote attacks, as sending pictures is the core functionality of some of the most popular mobile apps.
How does a buffer overflow let you take over a machine?

- Your program manipulates data
- Data manipulates your program
What we need to know

- How C arrays work
- How memory is laid out
- How the stack and function calls work
- How to turn an array overflow into an exploit
How do C arrays work?

• What does \texttt{a[idx]} get compiled to?
  
  • \texttt{*(a+idx)}

• The spec says that these are identical. No concept of bounds.
Linux process memory layout

- Stack
- Heap
- Data segment
  - Binary instructions
- Text segment
  - Binary instructions

Diagram:

- `%esp`
- `brk`

Memory address ranges:

- `kernel`: 0xFFFFFFFF
- `user stack`: 0xC0000000
- `shared libs`: 0x40000000
- `runtime heap`: 0x08048000
- `static data segment`: 0x00000000
The Stack

• Stack divided into frames
  • Frame stores locals and args to called functions

• Stack pointer points to top of stack
  • x86: Stack grows down (from high to low addresses)
  • x86: Stored in %esp register

• Frame pointer points to caller’s stack frame
  • Also called base pointer
  • x86: Stored in %ebp register
Brief review of x86 assembly

- Two syntaxes
  - Intel syntax: `op dst, src`
  - ATT/gasm syntax: `op src, dst ←`

- `%register $literal offset(memory-reference)`
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• Two syntaxes
  • Intel syntax: op dst, src
  • ATT/gasm syntax: op src, dst←

• %register $literal offset(memory-reference)

• Examples:
  movl %eax, %edx → edx = eax
  movl $0x123, %edx → edx = 0x123
  movl (%ebx), %edx → edx = *((int32_t*) ebx)
Brief review of x86 assembly

• Two syntaxes
  • Intel syntax: `op dst, src`
  • ATT/gasm syntax: `op src, dst ←`

• `%register $literal offset(memory-reference)`

• Examples:
  
  movl %eax, %edx → edx = eax
  movl $0x123, %edx → edx = 0x123
  movl (%ebx), %edx → edx = *((int32_t)*) ebx)
  movl 4(%ebx), %edx →
Brief review of x86 assembly

• Two syntaxes
  • Intel syntax: op dst, src
  • ATT/gasm syntax: op src, dst

• %register $literal offset(memory-reference)

• Examples:
  
movl %eax, %edx → edx = eax
  movl $0x123, %edx → edx = 0x123
  movl (%ebx), %edx → edx = *((int32_t)*) ebx)
  movl 4(%ebx), %edx → edx = *((int32_t)*) ebx+4)
Brief review of stack instructions

pushl %eax → subl $4, %esp
           movl %eax, (%esp)
Brief review of stack instructions

pushl %eax  →  subl $4, %esp
             movl %eax, (%esp)

popl %eax  →  movl (%esp), %eax
             addl $4, %esp
Brief review of stack instructions

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{pushl } \%eax & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{subl } \$4, \%esp \\
& \quad \quad \text{movl } \%eax, (\%esp) \\
\text{popl } \%eax & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{movl } (\%esp), \%eax \\
& \quad \quad \text{addl } \$4, \%esp \\
\text{call } 0x12345 & \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{pushl } \%eip \\
& \quad \quad \text{movl } 0x12345, \%eip
\end{align*}
\]
Brief review of stack instructions

pushl %eax \rightarrow subl $4, %esp
  movl %eax, (%esp)

popl %eax \rightarrow movl (%esp), %eax
  addl $4, %esp

call $0x12345 \rightarrow pushl %eip
  movl $0x12345, %eip

ret \rightarrow popl %eip
Brief review of stack instructions

pushl %eax → subl $4, %esp
                movl %eax, (%esp)

popl %eax → movl (%esp), %eax
                addl $4, %esp

call $0x12345 → pushl %eip
                movl $0x12345, %eip

ret → popl %eip

leave → movl %ebp, %esp
        pop %ebp
Example 1

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int main(int argc, char**argv) {
    char nice[] = "is nice."
    char name[8];
    gets(name);
    printf("%s %s\n", name, nice);
    return 0;
}
```

What happens if we read a long name?
If not null terminated, can read more of the stack.
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```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

int main(int argc, char**argv) {
    char nice[] = "is nice."
    char name[8];
    gets(name);
    printf("%s %s\n", name, nice);
    return 0;
}
```

What happens if we read a long name? If not null terminated, can read more of the stack.
Example 2

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

void foo() {
    printf("hello all!!\n");
    exit(0);
}

void func(int a, int b, char *str) {
    int c = 0xdeadbeef;
    char buf[4];
    strcpy(buf,str);
}

int main(int argc, char**argv) {
    func(0xaaaaaaaa,0xbbbbbbbbbb,argv[1]);
    return 0;
}
```
If program argument is long...
If program argument is long...
Stack buffer overflow

- If source string of `strcpy` controlled by attacker and destination on the stack:
  - Attacker gets to control where the function returns by overwriting the return address
  - Attacker gets to transfer control to anywhere

- Where do you jump?
Can jump to existing functions
Overwrite saved ret with &foo.

```c
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>

void foo() {
    printf("hello all!!\n");
    exit(0);
}

void func(int a, int b, char *str) {
    int c = 0xdeadbeef;
    char buf[4];
    strcpy(buf,str);
}

int main(int argc, char**argv) {
    func(0xaaaaaaaa,0xbbbbbbbb,argv[1])
    return 0;
}
```
Jump to existing functions
Jump to attacker-supplied code

- Put code in string
- Jump to start of string
Shellcode

- Shellcode: Small code fragment that receives initial control in a control flow hijack exploit

- Control flow hijack: taking control of instruction pointer

- Earliest attacks used shellcode to exec a shell

- Target a setuid root program, gets you root shell
Shellcode

```c
int main(void) {
    char* name[1];
    name[0] = \"/bin/sh\";
    name[1] = NULL;
    execve(name[0], name, NULL);
    return 0;
}

Can we just take output from gcc/clang?
```
Shellcode

- Shellcode cannot contain null characters `\0`
  - Why?

- If payload is via `gets()` must also avoid line breaks
  - Why?
Payload is not always robust

- Exact address of shellcode start not always easy to guess.

- A miss will result in a segfault.

- Fix: NOP sled. Fill space with NOP instructions to allow error in stack locations.
Payload is not always robust

- Exact address of shellcode start not always easy to guess.
  - A miss will result in a segfault.

Fix: NOP sled. Fill space with NOP instructions to allow error in stack locations.
Payload is not always robust

- Exact address of shellcode start not always easy to guess.
  - A miss will result in a segfault.
  - Fix: NOP sled. Fill space with NOP instructions to allow error in stack locations.
Next up: Defenses and more advanced attacks.