CSE 127: Introduction to Security

Lecture 11: Network Attacks

Nadia Heninger

UCSD

Winter 2021

Material from Deian Stefan, Stefan Savage, David Wagner, and Nick Weaver
In security news this week

Someone tried to poison Oldsmar’s water supply during hack, sheriff says

Pinellas Sheriff Bob Gualtieri said the attacker tried to raise levels of sodium hydroxide, also known as lye, by a factor of more than 100.

Though some cities obtain water through Pinellas County, Oldsmar provides water directly to its businesses and roughly 15,000 residents, Gualtieri said. The computer system at the water treatment plant was set up to allow authorized users to remotely access it for troubleshooting.

A plant operator was monitoring the system at about 8 a.m. Friday and noticed that someone briefly accessed it. He didn’t find this unusual, Gualtieri said, because his supervisor remotely accessed the system regularly.

But at about 1:30 p.m. the same day, Gualtieri said, someone accessed the system again. This time, he said, the operator watched as someone took control of the mouse, directed it to the software that controls water treatment, worked inside it for three to five minutes and increased the amount of sodium hydroxide from 100 parts per million to 11,100 parts per million.

The attacker left the system, Gualtieri said, and the operator immediately changed the concentration back to 100 parts per million.
Threat modeling for network attacks

Basic security goals:

- **Confidentiality**: No one should be able to read our data/communications unless we want them to.

- **Integrity**: No one can manipulate our data/communications unless we want them to.

- **Availability**: We can access our data/communication capabilities when we want to.
Threat modeling for network attacks

Attacker capabilities:

- **Physical access**: Attacker has physical access to the network infrastructure.

- **In path/Man in the middle**: Attacker can see, add, and block packets.

- **On path/Man on the side**: Attacker can see and add packets, but cannot block packets.

- **Passive**: Attacker can see victim’s network traffic, but cannot add or modify packets.

- **Off path**: Attacker cannot see network traffic of the victim.
Different attacks at different layers

- **Application**
  - DNS, HTTP, HTTPS

- **Transport**
  - TCP, UDP

- **Network**
  - IP, BGP

- **Data Link**
  - Ethernet, WiFi, ARP

- **Physical**
  - Physical wires, photons, RF modulation
Physical/link layer threats

**Eavesdropping:** Violates confidentiality.

Who can see the packets you send?

- Network (routers, switches, access points) see all traffic passing by.
Physical/link layer threats

**Eavesdropping:** Violates confidentiality.

Who can see the packets you send?

- Network (routers, switches, access points) see all traffic passing by.
- Unprotected WiFi network:
- WPA2 Personal (PSK):
- Non-switched Ethernet:
- Switched Ethernet: maybe everyone on the same network
Network eavesdropping

Tools like tcpdump and Wireshark let you capture local network traffic

$ sudo tcpdump -v -n -i eno1

tcpdump: listening on eno1, link-type EN10MB (Ethernet), capture size 262144 bytes

17:29:41.757880 IP (tos 0x10, ttl 64, id 38565, offset 0, flags [DF], proto TCP (6), length 176)
132.239.15.243.4258 > 66.10.100.54.62681: Flags [P.], cksum 0x3bc5 (incorrect -> 0xe822), seq 1687097161, ack 1053268124
17:29:41.770734 IP (tos 0x0, ttl 50, id 0, offset 0, flags [DF], proto TCP (6), length 52)
66.10.100.54.62681 > 132.239.15.243.4258: Flags [], cksum 0xe8e71 (correct), ack 124, win 11736, options [nop,nop,TS val 549765434 ecr 2998329273]
17:29:41.936864 IP (tos 0x0, ttl 1, id 20121, offset 0, flags [DF], proto UDP (17), length 202)
132.239.15.210.65021 > 239.255.255.250.1900: UDP, length 174
17:29:42.419390 IP (tos 0x0, ttl 57, id 0, offset 0, flags [DF], proto UDP (17), length 48)
172.217.4.138.443 > 132.239.15.243.40288: UDP, length 23
17:29:42.443102 ARP, Ethernet (len 6), IPv4 (len 4), Request who-has 132.239.15.34 tell 132.239.15.1, length 46
17:29:43.752250 IP (tos 0x0, ttl 64, id 61970, offset 0, flags [DF], proto TCP (6), length 109)
132.239.15.243.55866 > 52.37.243.173.443: Flags [P.], cksum 0xbd14 (incorrect -> 0xcfbd), seq 3280138789, ack 4021452186
17:29:43.788285 IP (tos 0x0, ttl 38, id 43082, offset 0, flags [DF], proto TCP (6), length 109)
52.37.243.173.443 > 132.239.15.243.55866: Flags [P.], cksum 0x65eb (correct), seq 1, ack 58, win 8, options [nop,nop,TS val 22857003 ecr 2815205148]
17:29:43.788311 IP (tos 0x0, ttl 64, id 61971, offset 0, flags [DF], proto TCP (6), length 52)
132.239.15.243.55866 > 52.37.243.173.443: Flags [], cksum 0xbcdb (incorrect -> 0xab20), ack 58, win 501
17:29:43.905367 IP (tos 0x0, ttl 128, id 19913, offset 0, flags [none], proto UDP (17), length 414)
132.239.15.14.17500 > 255.255.255.255.17500: UDP, length 386
Advanced threats: Physical cables can be tapped
Splitter to SG3 LGX Connectivity

The Tables in this section give the splitter to SG3 LGX connectivity as shown with in the bounds of this box.
FAA702 Operations
Two Types of Collection

Upstream
- Collection of communications on fiber cables and infrastructure as data flows past.
  (FAIRVIEW, STORMBREW, BLARNEY, OAKSTAR)

PRISM
- Collection directly from the servers of these U.S. Service Providers: Microsoft, Yahoo, Google, Facebook, PalTalk, AOL, Skype, YouTube, Apple.

You Should Use Both
Optic Nerve

“Optic Nerve was based on collecting information from GCHQ’s huge network of internet cable taps, which was then processed and fed into systems provided by the NSA. Webcam information was fed into NSA’s XKeyscore search tool, and NSA research was used to build the tool which identified Yahoo’s webcam traffic.”

– The Guardian 2/27/14
Optic Nerve

“Optic Nerve was based on collecting information from GCHQ’s huge network of internet cable taps, which was then processed and fed into systems provided by the NSA. Webcam information was fed into NSA’s XKeyscore search tool, and NSA research was used to build the tool which identified Yahoo’s webcam traffic.”

– The Guardian 2/27/14

27. Unfortunately, there are issues with undesirable images within the data. It would appear that a surprising number of people use webcam conversations to show intimate parts of their body to the other person. Also, the fact that the Yahoo software allows more than one person to view a webcam stream without necessarily sending a reciprocal stream means that it appears sometimes to be used for broadcasting pornography.

28. A survey was conducted, taking a single image from each of 323 user ids. 23 (7.1%) of those images contained undesirable nudity. From this we can infer that the true proportion of undesirable images in Yahoo webcam is 7.1% ± 3.7% with confidence 95%.
Advanced threats: Physical cables can be tapped

Trevor Paglen, NSA-Tapped Undersea Cables, North Pacific Ocean, 2016
Physical/link layer threats

**Injection**: Violates integrity.

- Ethernet packets are unauthenticated: attacker who can inject traffic can create a frame with any addresses they like.
Packet injection: ARP spoofing

- Recall: ARP used to map IP addresses to MAC addresses on local network
  
  $ sudo tcpdump -v -n -i en01
  tcpdump: listening on en01, link-type EN10MB (Ethernet), capture size 262144 bytes
  17:29:47.455929 ARP, Ethernet (len 6), IPv4 (len 4), Request who-has 172.16.15.1
  tell 172.16.15.151, length 46

- ARP requests broadcast to local subnetwork

- Anyone can send an ARP response

- Attacker on local network can impersonate any other host.
Physical/link layer threats

**Jamming:** Violates availability.

- Physical signals can be overwhelmed or disrupted.
- Radio transmission depends on power and distance.
Radio jamming: P25 law enforcement radios

Figure 1: Motorola XTS5000 Handheld P25 Radio

By careful synchronization, a jammer that attacks only the NID subfield of voice traffic can reduce its overall energy output so that it effectively has more than 14dB of average power advantage over the legitimate transmitter.
Radio jamming: P25 law enforcement radios

Figure 1: Motorola XTS5000 Handheld P25 Radio

By careful synchronization, a jammer that attacks only the NID subfield of voice traffic can reduce its overall energy output so that it effectively has more than 14dB of average power advantage over the legitimate transmitter.

Figure 7: Girltech IMME, with modified firmware

While any CC1110 board for the correct frequency range is sufficient, we used the GirlTech IMME, a commercial toy intended for pre-teen children to text message one another without cellular service. Presently priced at $30 USD, the package includes a handheld unit and a USB adapter, either of which may be used with our P25 client (for an aggregate price of $15 per jammer).

Network layer threats

**Spoofing:** Set arbitrary source address.

- IP packets offer no authentication.
- Source address in IP set by sender.
- Off-path attacker who spoofs a source address may not be able to see response sent to that address. (Sometimes that’s okay.)
Example: DHCP response spoofing

• Recall: DHCP used to configure hosts on network.
Example: DHCP response spoofing

- Recall: DHCP used to configure hosts on network.
- DHCP requests broadcast to local network.
- Local attacker can race real server for response, set victim’s network gateway and DNS server to attacker-controlled values.
- Allows attacker to act as invisible man-in-the-middle and relay victim’s traffic.
Network layer threats

Set arbitrary destination address: No authentication of traffic sender at network layer

Applications:

- **Network scanning:**
  - Example tools: nmap, zmap, shodan
  - IPv4 has $2^{32}$ possible addresses, possible to enumerate all of them.
  - Send traffic to a port on some protocol, if you get a response then there is a live service.

- **Unwanted traffic:**
  - Denial of service attacks: overwhelm recipient with traffic
Network Layer Threats

**Misdirection**: BGP hijacking.

- Recall: BGP protocol manages IP routing information between networks on the internet.
- Each BGP node maintains connections to a set of trusted neighbors.
- Neighbors share routing information.
- Routes are not authenticated: malicious or malfunctioning nodes may provide incorrect routing information that redirects IP traffic.
NWFP-33-16 (BW)/06/PTA

February, 2008

Subject: **Blocking of Offensive Website**

Reference: *This office letter of even number dated 22.02.2008.*

I am directed to request all ISPs to immediately block access to the following website:

**URL:**  [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o3s8jtvvg0](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=o3s8jtvvg0)

**IPs:** 208.65.153.238, 208.65.153.253, 208.65.153.251

Compliance report should reach this office through return fax or at email *peshawar@pta.gov.pk* today please.

**Deputy Director**  
(Enforcement)

**To:**
1. M/s Comsats, Peshawar.  
2. M/s GOL Internet Services, Peshawar.  
3. M/s Cyber Internet, Peshawar.  
Traceroute Path 2: from Denver, CO to Denver, CO via Iceland

LEGEND
- NORMAL
- HIJACKED

Source: Renesys Path Measurements
On Saturday March 15th 2014 at 17:23 UTC we detected a Origin AS Change event for your prefix (8.8.8.0/24 Google DNS) 
The detected prefix: 8.8.8.8/32, was announced by AS7908 (BT LATAM Venezuela, S.A.)

Alert description: Origin AS Change   
Detected Prefix: 8.8.8.0/24    
Detected Origin AS: 7908    
Expected Origin AS: 15169
TCP threats

Recall:

- TCP session identified by (source address, source port, destination address, destination port)
- TCP packets identified by sequence number that determines where in stream they are placed.

On-path injection

- Connection hijacking: If an on-path attacker knows ports and sequence numbers, can inject data into the TCP connection.
- RST injection: Attacker can inject RST into connection to immediately stop it, will be accepted if sequence number is within acceptable window.
  - Example: China’s Great Firewall does RST injection to block traffic
1. A target requests connection to www.facebook.com
2. The target initiates frequent, periodic data push requests from the Facebook server.
3. Passive collection site detects data push requests and tips TURBINE.
4. TURBINE redirects the target to a TAO server by mimicking the Facebook server response. Response window can be as long as 55 seconds.
5. TAO server attempts to implant the target.
Great Firewall of China

• China does extensive monitoring of all cross-border network traffic and blocks many international services and sites

• Collection of network techniques and policies called the "Great Firewall"

• Most famously: RST injection based on IP/host blocking and deep packet inspection for blacklisted keywords

• Multi-decade arms race on censorship circumvention

• Circumvention techniques: HTTPS, VPNs, proxies, traffic obfuscation, domain fronting, refraction networking
WE ARE UNDER ATTACK
Submitted by charlie on Thu, Mar 19, 2015

We are under attack and we need help.

Likely in response to a recent story in the Wall Street Journal (WSJ), we’ve experienced our first ever distributed denial of service (DDoS) attack. This tactic is used to bring down web pages by flooding them with lots of requests – at the time of writing they number 2.6 billion requests per hour. Websites are not equipped to handle that kind of volume so they usually "break" and go offline.

This kind of attack is aggressive and is an exhibition of censorship by brute force. Attackers resort to tactics like this when they are left with no other options.

We are not equipped to handle a DDoS attack of this magnitude and we need help. Some background:
TCP threats

**Blind spoofing:** Can an off-path attacker convince a victim to open a TCP connection with a spoofed host?

- Attacker forges the initial TCP handshake SYN message from an arbitrary source.
- The attacker cannot see the SYN-ACK response so does not learn the responder’s sequence number.
TCP threats

**Blind spoofing:** Can an off-path attacker convince a victim to open a TCP connection with a spoofed host?

- Attacker forges the initial TCP handshake SYN message from an arbitrary source.
- The attacker cannot see the SYN-ACK response so does not learn the responder’s sequence number.
- Initial TCP spec: initial sequence number based on local clock: easy to brute force
- Mitigation: use random ISN: $2^{-32}$ chance of guessing correctly.
Application layer threats: DNS spoofing

Recall:
• DNS maps between domain names and IP addresses.
• Responses cached to avoid query times.

DNS Threat Models:
• **Malicious DNS server:** Any DNS server in query chain can lie about responses.
Application layer threats: DNS spoofing

Recall:
- DNS maps between domain names and IP addresses.
- Responses cached to avoid query times.

DNS Threat Models:
- **Malicious DNS server**: Any DNS server in query chain can lie about responses.
- **Local/on-path attacker**: Can impersonate DNS server and send a fake response.
Application layer threats: DNS spoofing

Recall:

- DNS maps between domain names and IP addresses.
- Responses cached to avoid query times.

DNS Threat Models:

- **Malicious DNS server:** Any DNS server in query chain can lie about responses.
- **Local/on-path attacker:** Can impersonate DNS server and send a fake response.
- **Off-path attacker:** Can try to forge response: needs to match 16-bit query ID.
  - Original spec: query ID increments with each request.
  - Now: Random query ID.
DNS spoofing: 2008 Kaminsky attack
DNS spoofing: 2008 Kaminsky attack

- Victim machine visits attacker’s web site, downloads Javascript
- Query: a.bank.com to local DNS resolver
- a.bank.com with QID = x₁ to .com

- 256 responses: Random QID y₁, y₂, ...
- NS bank.com = ns.bank.com
- A ns.bank.com = attackerIP
- Attacker wins if ∃ j: x₁ = yⱼ
- Response is cached and attacker owns bank.com

- Birthday bound: attacker expects to succeed after \(2^8 = 256\) lookups
- Mitigation: randomize source port
(U) New Hotness

- (TS//SI//REL) QUANTUMBISCUIT
  - Redirection based on keyword
  - Mostly HTML Cookie Values

- (TS//SI//REL) QUANTUMDNS
  - DNS Hijacking
  - Caching Nameservers

- (TS//SI//REL) QUANTUMBOT2
  - Combination of Q-BOT/Q-BISCUIT for web based Command and controlled botnets
Conclusion:

• Internet built from protocols that assumed trustworthy network operators.

• Next lecture: How to add security after the fact.