Instructions
- This is a 40 point homework.
- Homeworks will be graded based on content and clarity. Please show your work clearly for full credit.
- For Problem 3, you are free to use any programming language that you wish. Please submit a printout of your code along with your homework.

Problem 1 (14 points)
In class, we mentioned that for some applications, it may make sense to do $k$-nearest neighbors with respect to a distance other than the usual Euclidean distance. In this problem, we will look at the $k$-nearest neighbor problem when the distance between the points is the following modified form of the Euclidean distance. Given two vectors $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $z = (z_1, z_2)$, the modified distance measure $d_M(x, z)$ is defined as:

$$d_M(x, z) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} (x_1 - z_1)^2 + (x_2 - z_2)^2}$$

1. Consider the following labelled training dataset:
   $$((0, 0), 1), ((2, 2), 2), ((4, 0), 3)$$
   First, consider the 1-nearest neighbor classifier on these points with respect to the usual Euclidean distance, and draw the decision boundary for this classifier. Write down the equations for the different sections (or segments) of the decision boundary. Clearly mark each region in your drawing with the label assigned by the classifier to a test example in this region.

2. Now, consider the 1-nearest neighbor classifier on the points in part (1) with respect to the modified Euclidean distance $d_M$, and in a separate figure, draw the decision boundary for this classifier. Again, write down the equations for the different segments of the decision boundary, and clearly mark each region in your drawing with the label assigned by the classifier to a test example in this region.

3. Repeat parts (1) and (2) (namely, drawing the decision boundary with respect to the Euclidean distance and the modified Euclidean distance $d_M$) for the following labelled training dataset:
   $$((0, 0), 1), ((1, 1), 1), ((-1, 1), 2)$$

Solutions
1. Finding the decision boundaries is essentially figuring out which test points have each training point as their nearest neighbor. Taking the training point $(0, 0)$ as an example. The test points with $(1, 1)$ as the nearest neighbor are exactly the intersection of solutions for the inequalities: $d(x, (0, 0)) \leq d(x, (2, 2))$ and $d(x, (0, 0)) \leq d(x, (4, 0))$.

   Using the regular Euclidean distance, these inequalities can be simplified to linear inequalities. $d(x, (0, 0)) \leq d(x, (2, 2))$ gives

   $$(x_1 - 0)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 \leq (x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2$$
   $$0.5x_1 + 0.5x_2 - 1 \leq 0$$
The solutions to this linear inequality form a half-plane, with the boundary line given by $0.5x_1 + 0.5x_2 - 1 = 0$. This is the equidistance line between $(0,0)$ and $(2,2)$.

Similarly, $d(x, (0,0)) \leq d(x, (4,0))$ gives the half-plane
\[
(x_1 - 0)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 \leq (x_1 - 4)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 \\
0.5x_1 - 1 \leq 0.
\]

The intersection of these two half-planes is the region for which $(0,0)$ is the nearest neighbor.

Similarly, $d(x, (2,2)) \leq d(x, (4,0))$ gives the half-plane
\[
(x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 \leq (x_1 - 4)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 \\
0.5x_1 - 0.5x_2 - 1 \leq 0.
\]

The intersection of these two half-planes is the region for which $(2,2)$ is the nearest neighbor.

To do this efficiently for all training points, we simply solve each of $d(x, (0,0)) = d(x, (2,2))$, $d(x, (0,0)) = d(x, (4,0))$ and $d(x, (2,2)) = d(x, (4,0))$. This gives the boundary lines, and thus the half-planes. The intersection of the proper half-planes gives us the "cell" corresponding to each training point, and also the decision boundaries.

The decision boundaries are shown in Figure 1.

![Figure 1: Decision boundary using the regular Euclidean distance. Green, white and red spaces show the area for which (0,0), (2,2) and (4,0) are respectively the nearest neighbours.](image)

2. Repeat the procedure above with the modified Euclidean distance. For example, $d_M(x, (0,0)) = d_M(x, (2,2))$ gives the boundary line,
\[
\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 0)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 \\
x_1 + 2x_2 - 3 = 0
\]

$d_M(x, (0,0)) = d_M(x, (4,0))$ gives the boundary line,
\[
\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 0)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 4)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 \\
x_1 - 2 = 0
\]
\[ d_M(x, (2, 2)) = d_M(x, (4, 0)) \] gives the boundary line,
\[ \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 2)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 4)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 \]
\[ x_1 - 2x_2 - 1 = 0 \]

After all boundary lines are computed, the region associated with each training point and the decision boundaries can be obtained.

An alternative method can be found by writing the modified Euclidean distance as,
\[ d_M(x, z) = \sqrt{\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{z_1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2 + (x_2 - z_2)^2}. \]

This is the usual Euclidean distance on a space with the x-axis scaled by \(1/\sqrt{2}\). Therefore a procedure to find the decision boundaries using this distance function is:

Step 1: converting a point \(x = (x_1, x_2)\) to \(x' = \left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{2}}, x_2\right)\), i.e. scaling the x-axis by \(1/\sqrt{2}\),

Step 2: drawing the decision boundaries on this x-scaled space, using the usual Euclidean distance,

Step 3: scaling the x-axis back to the original scale.

3. **Note that there are only two labels in this question! (not three, like previous problem)**

The decision boundaries using the regular Euclidean distance are shown in Figure 3.

\[ d_M(x, (0, 0)) = d_M(x, (1, 1)) \] gives the boundary line,
\[ (x_1 - 0)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \]
\[ x_1 + x_2 - 1 = 0 \]

\[ d_M(x, (0, 0)) = d_M(x, (-1, 1)) \] gives the boundary line,
\[ (x_1 - 0)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 = (x_1 + 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \]
\[ x_1 - x_2 + 1 = 0 \]
\[ d_M(x, (1, 1)) = d_M(x, (-1, 1)) \] gives the boundary line,
\[
(x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 = (x_1 + 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \\
x_1 = 0
\]

Figure 3: Decision boundary using the regular Euclidean distance. Red and green spaces show the area for which the labels are 1 and 2 respectively. The lines show the NN-boundary between the three points.

The decision boundaries using the modified Euclidean distance are shown in Figure 4.
\[ d_M(x, (0, 0)) = d_M(x, (1, 1)) \] gives the boundary line,
\[
\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 0)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \\
x_1 + 2x_2 - 1.5 = 0
\]
\[ d_M(x, (0, 0)) = d_M(x, (-1, 1)) \] gives the boundary line,
\[
\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 0)^2 + (x_2 - 0)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \\
x_1 - 2x_2 + 1.5 = 0
\]
\[ d_M(x, (1, 1)) = d_M(x, (-1, 1)) \] gives the boundary line,
\[
\frac{1}{2}(x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 \\
x_1 = 0
\]

Problem 2 (6 points)

In class, we talked about how \(k\)-nearest neighbor classifiers are robust to errors or noise in the data when \(k > 1\). In this problem, we will look more closely at how exactly this error correction happens.

Suppose that we have two labels 0 and 1. Suppose we are given any \(k\), and any test point \(x\); let \(z_1, \ldots, z_k\) be the \(k\) closest neighbors of \(x\) in the training data. For the rest of the question, we make the assumption that for all \(i = 1, \ldots, k\), the probability that the label of \(z_i\) is not equal to the label of \(x\) is \(p = 0.2\); moreover, for \(i \neq j\), the events that the label of \(z_i\) is not equal to the label of \(x\) and the label of \(z_j\) is not equal to the label of \(x\) are independent. In reality of course, this assumption will not hold for very large \(k\), but for small \(k\), this is a fairly reasonable assumption to make.
Figure 4: Decision boundary using the modified Euclidean distance. Red and green spaces show the area for which the labels are 1 and 2 respectively. The lines show the NN-boundary between the three points.

1. What is the probability that the 1-nearest neighbor classifier makes a mistake on $x$?
2. Now calculate the probability that 3-nearest neighbor classifier and the 5-nearest neighbor classifier make a mistake on $x$. What can you conclude from these calculations about the robustness of these classifiers?

**Solutions**

For a test point $x$, a $k$-nearest neighbor classifier will give the incorrect label if and only if the majority (formally, at least $\lceil k/2 \rceil$, where $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ means the smallest integer larger than $k/2$) of $x$’s $k$ closest neighbors, $z_1, \cdots, z_k$, have the incorrect label. Since each of these $k$ neighbors has the same probability $p = 0.2$ of having an incorrect label, the probability that exactly $n$ of the $k$ neighbors have the incorrect label, $P\{\text{exactly } n \text{ neighbors incorrect}\} = \binom{k}{n} p^n (1 - p)^{k-n}$.

The probability that at least $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ neighbors have the incorrect label, or in other words, the probability that a $k$-NN classifier makes a mistake is,

$$P\{k\text{-NN mistake}\} = \sum_{n=\lceil k/2 \rceil}^{k} P\{\text{exactly } n \text{ neighbors incorrect}\} = \sum_{n=\lceil k/2 \rceil}^{k} \binom{k}{n} p^n (1 - p)^{k-n}.$$  

1. $P\{1\text{-NN mistake}\} = P\{\text{exactly 1 neighbors incorrect}\} = 0.2$  
2. $P\{3\text{-NN mistake}\} = P\{\text{exactly 3 neighbors incorrect}\} + P\{\text{exactly 2 neighbors incorrect}\}$  
   $= 0.2^3 + \binom{3}{2} 0.2^2 \times 0.8 = 0.104$  

$P\{5\text{-NN mistake}\} = P\{\text{exactly 5 neighbors incorrect}\} + P\{\text{exactly 4 neighbors incorrect}\} + P\{\text{exactly 3 neighbors incorrect}\}$
   $= 0.2^5 + \binom{5}{4} 0.2^4 \times 0.8 + \binom{3}{3} 0.2^3 \times 0.8^2 = 0.05792$

Therefore, 5-NN classifier is more robust than both 1-NN and 3-NN classifiers.
Problem 3 (20 points)

In this problem, we look at the task of classifying images of digits using \( k \)-nearest neighbor classification. Download the files `hw2train.txt`, `hw2validate.txt` and `hw2test.txt` from the class website. These files contain your training, validation and test data sets respectively.

For your benefit, we have already converted the images into vectors of pixel colors. The data files are in ASCII text format, and each line of the files contains a feature vector of size 784, followed by its label. The coordinates of the feature vector are separated by spaces.

1. For \( k = 1, 5, 9 \) and 15, build \( k \)-nearest neighbor classifiers from the training data. For each of these values of \( k \), write down a table of training errors (error on the training data) and the validation errors (error on the validation data). Which of these classifiers performs the best on validation data? What is the test error of this classifier?

   [Hint: As a check for your code, the training error for \( k = 3 \) should be about .09.]

2. In the first few lectures, we talked about preprocessing data with projections. In this part of the assignment, we will look at how using a projection as a pre-processing step affects the accuracy and running-time of nearest neighbor classification.

   Download the file `projection.txt` from the class website. This file represents a projection matrix \( P \) with 784 rows and 20 columns. Each column is a 784-dimensional unit vector, and the columns are orthogonal to each other.

   Project the training, validation and test data onto the column space of this matrix, and repeat part (1) of the problem. For \( k = 1, 5, 9, 15 \) write down a table of the training and validation errors, as well as the test error of the classifier which performs best on the validation data.

   [Hint: As a check for your code, the training error for \( k = 3 \) after projection should be about 0.26.]

   How is the classification accuracy affected by projection? How does the running time of your program change when you run it on projected data?

Solutions

1. The error values were:

   \[
   \begin{array}{c|cc}
   k & \text{Training Error} & \text{Validation Error} \\
   
   1 & 0 & 0.082 \\
   5 & 0.0555 & 0.100 \\
   9 & 0.0695 & 0.106 \\
   15 & 0.0915 & 0.104 \\
   \end{array}
   \]

   Based on the validation error, the best classifier is the 1-NN classifier. Its error computed on the test data is 0.094.

   (Your computed errors may be different).

2. The error values for the projected data are:

   \[
   \begin{array}{c|cc}
   k & \text{Training Error} & \text{Validation Error} \\
   
   1 & 0 & 0.320 \\
   5 & 0.1945 & 0.296 \\
   9 & 0.2305 & 0.290 \\
   15 & 0.2550 & 0.292 \\
   \end{array}
   \]
Based on the validation error, the best classifier is the 9-NN classifier. Its error computed on the projected test data is 0.291.

(Your results may differ.)

The classification accuracy is significantly reduced when using only the projected data. With the full 784 dimensional data, the best validation error rate was 0.082. However, using the 20 dimensional projections of the data, the best validation error was 0.29.

The running time was significantly improved when using the projection. Using the full data took about 8 seconds to perform 3-NN classification of the validation set, while the projected data only took 2 seconds to perform the 3-NN classification of the validation set.