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Hardware platform architecture
Memory hierarchy

- Want inexpensive, fast memory
- Main memory
  - Large, inexpensive, slow, stores all data
- Cache
  - Small, expensive, fast memory stores a copy of likely accessed parts
  - L1, L2
Memory

- Efficiency is a concern:
  - speed (latency and throughput);
  - predictable timing
  - energy efficiency
  - size
  - cost
  - other attributes (volatile vs. persistent, etc)
Access-times

[P. Machanik: Approaches to Addressing the Memory Wall, TR Nov. 2002, U. Brisbane]
Caches and CPUs - MPSoC

- Higher end systems – L1&L2 cache on chip
Cache

- Designed with SRAM, Usually on same chip as processor

Cache operation:
- Request for main memory access (read or write)
- First, check cache for copy
  - cache hit
  - cache miss

Design choices
- cache mapping
  - Direct - each memory location maps onto exactly one cache entry
  - Fully associative – anywhere in memory, never implemented
  - Set-associative - each memory location can go into one of n set
- write techniques
  - Write-through - write to main memory at each update
  - Write-back – write only when “dirty” block replaced
- replacement policies
  - Random
  - LRU: least-recently used
  - FIFO: first-in-first-out
Cache impact on system performance

- Most important parameters in terms of performance:
  - Total size of cache (data and control info – tags etc)
  - Degree of associativity
  - Data block size

- Larger caches -> lower miss rates, higher access cost
  - Average memory access time (h1=L1 hit rate, h2=L2 hit rate)
    \[ t_{av} = h_1 t_{L1} + (h_2-h_1) t_{L2} + (1- h_2-h_1) t_{main} \]
  - e.g., if miss cost = 20
    - 2 Kbyte: miss rate = 20%, hit cost = 2 cycles, access 5.6 cycles
    - 4 Kbyte: miss rate = 10%, hit cost = 3 cycles, access 4.7 cycles
    - 8 Kbyte: miss rate = 8%, hit cost = 4 cycles, access 4.8 cycles
Predictability

- Embedded systems are often real-time:
  - Have to guarantee meeting **timing constraints**.

- *Pre run-time scheduling* - *predictability*
  - Time-triggered, statically scheduled operating systems
  - Predictable cache design?
Scratch pad memories (SPM)

Hierarchy:
- main
- SPM
- processor

Example:
- ARM7TDMI cores, well-known for low power consumption

No tag memory
- scratch pad memory

Addresses:
- 0
- FFF..
Why not just use a cache?

Worst case execution time (WCET) may be large

[P. Marwedel et al., ASPDAC, 2004]
Why not just use a cache?

Energy for parallel access of sets, in comparators, muxes.

[R. Banakar, S. Steinke, B.-S. Lee, 2001]
Scratchpad vs. main memory currents

Example: Atmel ARM-Evaluation board

Current reduction: Factor of 3.02
Scratchpad vs. main memory energy

Example: Atmel ARM-Evaluation board

Energy
32 Bit-Load Instruction (Thumb)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>Energy (nJ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prog Off-Chip/ Data Off-Chip</td>
<td>115.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prog Off-Chip/ Data On-Chip</td>
<td>51.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prog On-Chip/ Data Off-Chip</td>
<td>76.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prog On-Chip/ Data On-Chip</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Main" memory access takes longer

- savings 86%
- energy reduction: factor of 7.06
- 100% predictable
Memory management units

- Memory management unit (MMU) translates addresses:

  - CPU
  - Logical address
  - Memory management unit
  - Physical address
  - Main memory
Memory Management Unit (MMU)

- Duties of MMU
  - Handles DRAM refresh, bus interface & arbitration
  - Takes care of memory sharing among multiple CPUs
  - Translates logic memory addresses from processor to physical memory addresses of DRAM

- Modern CPUs often come with MMU built-in

- Single-purpose processors can be used
Address translation

- Mapping logical to physical addresses.
- Two basic schemes:
  - **Segmented**
    - memory footprint can change dynamically
    - usually only a few segments per process; e.g. data and stack
  - **Paged**
    - size preassigned
  - can be combined (x86).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SEGMENTATION</th>
<th>PAGING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Involves programmer</td>
<td>Transparent to programmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate compiling</td>
<td>No separate compiling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate protection</td>
<td>No separate protection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared</td>
<td>No sharing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ARM memory management

- Memory region types:
  - section: 1 Mbyte block;
  - large page: 64 kbytes;
  - small page: 4 kbytes.

- An address is marked as section-mapped or page-mapped.

- Two-level translation scheme.
ARM address translation

Translation table base register

Descriptor
1st level table

1st index 2nd index offset
concatenate

Descriptor
2nd level table

concatenate

concatenate

Physical address
Memory: basic concepts

- Stores large number of bits
  - \( m \times n \): \( m \) words of \( n \) bits each
  - \( k = \log_2(m) \) address input signals
  - or \( m = 2^k \) words
  - e.g., 4,096 x 8 memory:
    - 32,768 bits
    - 12 address input signals
    - 8 input/output data signals

- Memory access
  - \( r/w \): selects read or write
  - enable: read or write only when asserted
  - multiport: multiple accesses to different locations simultaneously
Write ability/ storage permanence

- Traditional ROM/RAM
  - ROM
    - read only, bits stored without power
  - RAM
    - read and write, lose stored bits without power

- Distinctions blurred
  - Advanced ROMs can be written to
    - e.g., EEPROM
  - Advanced RAMs can hold bits without power
    - e.g., NVRAM

Write ability and storage permanence of memories, showing relative degrees along each axis (not to scale).
ROM Types

- **Erasable Programmable ROM (EPROM)**
  - Uses “floating-gate transistor” in each cell
  - Programmer uses higher-than-normal voltage so electrons *tunnel* into the gate
    - Electrons become trapped in the gate
    - Only done for cells that should store 0
    - Other cells will be 1
  - To erase, shine ultraviolet light onto chip
    - Gives trapped electrons energy to escape
    - Requires chip package to have window

- **Electronically-Erasable Programmable ROM (EEPROM)**
  - Programming similar to EPROM
  - Erasing one word at a time *electronically*

- **Flash memory**
  - Like EEPROM, but large blocks of words can be erased *simultaneously*

- **EEPROM & FLASH are in-system programmable**
EEPROM: Electrically erasable programmable ROM

- Programmed and erased electronically
  - higher than normal voltage
  - can program and erase individual words
  - Can be erased and programmed 1000s of times

- Better write ability
  - can be in-system programmable with built-in circuit to provide higher than normal voltage
  - writes very slow due to erasing and programming

- Similar storage permanence to EPROM (about 10 years)

- Far more convenient than EPROMs, but more expensive
Flash Memory

- Extension of EEPROM
  - Same floating gate principle
  - Same write ability and storage permanence

- Fast erase
  - Large blocks of memory erased at once, rather than one word at a time
  - Blocks typically several thousand bytes large

- Writes to single words may be slower
  - Entire block must be read, word updated, then entire block written back

- Used with embedded systems storing large data items in nonvolatile memory
RAM: “Random-access” memory

- Volatile, read/write at run time
- Internal structure more complex
  - a word consists of several memory cells, each storing 1 bit
  - each input and output data line connects to each cell in its column
  - rd/wr connected to every cell

- SRAM: Static RAM
  - Memory cell uses flip-flop to store bit
  - Requires 6 transistors
  - Holds data as long as power supplied

- DRAM: Dynamic RAM
  - Memory cell uses MOS transistor and capacitor to store bit
  - More compact than SRAM
  - “Refresh” required due to capacitor leak
    - word’s cells refreshed when read
  - Typical refresh rate 15.625 microsec.
  - Slower to access than SRAM
Ram variations

- **PSRAM: Pseudo-static RAM**
  - DRAM with built-in memory refresh controller
  - Popular low-cost high-density alternative to SRAM

- **NVRAM: Nonvolatile RAM**
  - Holds data after external power removed
  - Battery-backed RAM
    - SRAM with own permanently connected battery
    - writes as fast as reads
    - no limit on number of writes unlike nonvolatile ROM-based memory
  - SRAM with EEPROM or flash
    - stores complete RAM contents on EEPROM or flash before power turned off
Extended data out DRAM

- Improvement of FPM (full page mode) DRAM
- Extra latch before output buffer
  - allows strobing of cas before data read operation completed
- Reduces read/write latency by additional cycle

Speedup through overlap
(S)ynchronous and Enhanced Synchronous (ES) DRAM

- SDRAM latches data on active edge of clock
- Eliminates time to detect \textit{ras/cas} and \textit{rd/wr} signals
- A counter is initialized to column address then incremented on active edge of clock to access consecutive memory locations
- ESDRAM improves SDRAM
  - added buffers enable overlapping of column addressing
  - faster clocking and lower read/write latency possible
Rambus DRAM (RDRAM)

- More of a bus interface architecture than DRAM architecture
- Data is latched on both rising and falling edge of clock
- Broken into 4 banks each with own row decoder
  - can have 4 pages open at a time
- Capable of very high throughput
DRAM integration problem

- SRAM easily integrated with CPU
- DRAM more difficult
  - Different chip making process between DRAM and conventional logic
  - Goal of conventional logic (IC) designers:
    - minimize parasitic capacitance to reduce signal propagation delays and power consumption
  - Goal of DRAM designers:
    - create capacitor cells to retain stored information
SRAM vs. DRAM

**SRAM:**
- Faster.
- Easier to integrate with logic.
- Higher active power consumption per bit.

**DRAM:**
- Denser.
- Must be refreshed.
Comparing RAM

- **Register file**
  - Fastest
  - But biggest size

- **SRAM**
  - Fast (e.g. 10ns)
  - More compact than register file

- **DRAM**
  - Slowest (e.g. 20ns)
    - And refreshing takes time
  - But very compact
  - Different technology for large caps.
ROM Types

- **Mask-programmed ROM**
  - Programmed at manufacturing time

- **Fuse-Based Programmable ROM**
  - Programming blows fuses
  - **One-Time Programmable ROM**

- **EPROM**
  - Erase with ultraviolet light

- **EEPROM**
  - Erasing one word at a time *electronically*

- **Flash**
  - Erase large blocks of words *simultaneously*
Memory power trends

- DRAM: 2X size / chip / 3 year
  - Growth rate lower than the requirement for system performance growth
- Numerically, more memory chips will be needed in a system to match the requirement for growth in system performance
- Memory chip power is unlikely to decrease
  - $P = \text{active power} + \text{leakage power} + \text{refresh power}$
  - DRAM can be put in standby mode, i.e., no active power, but refresh and leakage power are still present at reduced levels
Definition of Storage Class Memory

- A new class of data storage/memory devices
  - many technologies compete to be the ‘best’ SCM

SCM features:
- Non-volatile (~ 10 years)
- Fast Access times (~ DRAM like)
- Low cost per bit more (DISK like – by 2015)
- Solid state, no moving parts

SCM *blurs the distinction* between
- MEMORY (*fast, expensive, volatile*) and
- STORAGE (*slow, cheap, non-volatile*)
Phase-change RAM

Access device (transistor, diode)

PCRAM “programmable resistor”

Word-line

Bit-line

Potential headache:
- High power/current → affects scaling!
- If crystallization is slow → affects performance!
STT-RAM (MRAM)

- Number of variations:
  - Electric field organizes the electrons in a magnetic tunnel so 1 bit of information can be stored in a space slightly larger than the size of an electron
  - Spin-polarized current switches magnetic bits, which consumes less power and enhances scalability

- Non-volatile, as fast as SRAM, endurance of DRAM
Memory/Storage Stack Latency Problem
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Storage

Get data from TAPE (40s)

Access DISK (5ms)

Access FLASH (20 us)

Access PCM (100 – 1000 ns)

Memory

Get data from DRAM or PCM (60ns)

Get data from L2 cache (10ns)

CPU operations (1ns)

Human Scale
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If you could have SCM, why would you need anything else?
SCM in a large System

1980

- Logic: CPU
- Memory: RAM
- Active Storage: DISK

2008

- Logic: CPU
- Memory: RAM → FLASH SSD → DISK
- Active Storage: TAPE

2015

- Logic: CPU
- Memory: RAM → SCM
- Active Storage: DISK → TAPE
Active vs passive power

- The blue area marks active power in the power equations
- The red area marks passive power in the power equations
  - Passive power is unproductive. It just causes heat
  - For memories it is leakage and refresh power, which is typically smaller than maximum active power
  - For disks it is keeping the motor spinning and the standby power of the electronics, which is typically larger than the maximum active power
  - For PCM is is the leakage and small standby power and is typically much smaller than the maximum active power.

\[ P_M = V_{dd} I_{\text{leak}} + V_{dd} I_{\text{refresh}} + \]
\[ P_D = \kappa d^{4.6} r^{-2.8} + I_{i&c} V + \]
\[ P_{PCM} = I_{\text{standby}} V_{dd} + \]

\( \alpha \) is the portion of time that the device is active and productive
\( \kappa \) is the normalized power of the disk motor
Focus on memory/storage stack

Goal: eliminate passive power and make active power more efficient

Issues
- Redesign of DRAM and Disks to eliminate passive power
  - Possible but not probable
- DRAM has fast turn off and turn on times, but it is volatile
  - Turning off DRAM when not active causes data loss
- Disks are nonvolatile and turn on/off in ~ 20-30 seconds
  - On/off time too long for practical active storage systems
  - Storage systems that manage power in this manner are called MAID system.
  - So far, only used for archive systems

Opportunities
- How can PCM be used to virtually eliminate passive power?
  - Active power is much greater than passive power
  - Turn on/off time ~50us
- How can data be laid out to minimize active power?
  - Memory/storage pools
  - Hierarchy
- How can active power be used more efficiently?
  - Device design
  - System architecture
  - Exploit virtualization (management challenge)
  - Exploit accelerators
PDRAM: combining PCM and DRAM
DRAM vs. PRAM
(Phase Change RAM)

- Storing data: Altering the material state
  - Crystalline
  - Amorphous

Comparison with DRAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PRAM</th>
<th>DRAM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-volatile?</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling</td>
<td>😊</td>
<td>😞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read speed</td>
<td>😊 Moderately Slower than DRAM</td>
<td>😊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write speed</td>
<td>😞 Slower than DRAM</td>
<td>😊</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>😊 Standby&lt;DRAM 😊 Read &lt; DRAM 😞 Write &gt;DRAM</td>
<td>😊 Standby 😊 Read 😊 Write</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write endurance</td>
<td>😊10⁹</td>
<td>Very High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
System Design

- Use both PRAM & DRAM
  - Low standby & read power of PRAM
  - High write endurance and low write power of DRAM

- Challenges
  - Hide drawbacks of PRAM
    - Write endurance (\(10^9\) write cycles)

- Design goals:
  - Uniformly distribute writes across PRAM (wear leveling)
  - Direct writes to DRAM
  - Hybrid hardware/software solution
    - Hardware: PDRAM Memory Controller
    - Software: PRAM Memory Manager
PDRAM Memory Controller

- Aware of memory partition
  - Routes requests based on address

- Low Cost write accounting:
  - Access Map
  - Access Map Cache

- Interrupts:
  - Page Swap
  - Bad Page

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Page Addr</th>
<th>Write Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0x1001</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0x10ae</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PRAM Memory Manager

- Objective: Uniformly distribute writes across PRAM pages

- **Page Swapper**
  - Handle page-swap/bad page page interrupts
  - Bad page interrupt: mark page as invalid (writes > $10^9$)
  - Page-swap interrupt (writes > swap threshold):
    - Replace old page with a new page
    - Put the old page in threshold free list

- **Memory Allocator**
  - **Free list**: free pages (not yet allocated)
  - **Threshold free list**: pages freed by page swapper
  - **Used free list**: pages freed by applications

- Swap free and **threshold-free** list pointers when free list becomes empty

Which new page?
- Policy decision
New Page Policies

- **Next PRAM page policy** *(Uniform policy)*
  - Allocate new page from the PRAM free list
    - Can be used in PRAM only configuration
    - Exploits wear leveling
    - Does not benefit from heterogeneity

- **Next DRAM page policy** *(Hybrid policy)*
  - Allocate new page from the DRAM free list
    - High probability of that page being write intensive
  - Advantages of moving write intensive pages to DRAM:
    - Reduces page swap interrupts
    - Reduces number of writes to PRAM
      - Good for reliability and power
Endurance Analysis

- Assume application that writes to two addresses:
  - Map to different rows in the memory bank
- Every write results in a writeback
  - Assume no wear leveling
  - Write Latency ($T_w$) = 150ns
    - Assume the application writes every $T_w$ period for worst case
  - Max write cycles ($N_w$) = $10^9$
  - # of writes for $N_w$ writebacks = $2N_w$
  - Time = $T_w \times 2N_w = 300s!$
Evaluation

- **Baseline System:** 4GB of DDR3 SDRAM
- **Two experimental systems:**
  - **Hybrid System:** 1GB SDRAM + 3GB PRAM
  - **Uniform System:** 4GB PRAM
- **Workloads**
  - Simulate first 5 billion instructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>rpi (%)</th>
<th>wpi (%)</th>
<th># of pages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>applu</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>24435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bzip2</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>24600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>facerec</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gcc</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2781</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sixtrack</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>7601</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Overhead

- Average overhead of < 6% for the hybrid system
- Average overhead of 30% for the uniform system
  - Slower access times of PRAM
- Includes overhead of access map and page swap
Energy Savings

- Average Energy Savings of 30%
- Includes energy consumption for access map & page swaps

![Energy Savings Chart]

Legend:
- **Uniform**
- **Hybrid**
Access map cache (hit rate)

- Average hit rate of 90%
- Infrequent access map updates
Summary

- Memory hierarchy
  - Needs: speed, low power, predictable
- Cache design
  - Mapping, replacement & write policies
- Memory types
  - ROM vs RAM, types of ROM/RAM
- Storage class memories
  - DRAM/PCM combo, SRAM/MRAM
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