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Announcements

• PeerWise questions due tomorrow.
• Project 2 is due on Friday.
  – Milestone on Tuesday night. Tonight.
• Homework 3 is due next Monday.
PeerWise

• A base register contains:
  – The first available physical memory address for the system
  – The beginning physical memory address of a process’s address space
  – The base (i.e. base 2 for binary, etc) that is used for determining page sizes
  – The minimum size of physical memory that will be assigned to each process
• If the base register holds 640000 and the limit register is 200000, then what range of addresses can the program legally access?
  – 440000 through 640000
  – 200000 through 640000
  – 0 through 200000
  – 640000 through 840000
Goals for Today

• Understand Paging
  – Address translation
  – Page Tables

• Understand Segmentation
  – How it combines features of other techniques
Recap: Virtual Memory

- Memory Management Unit (MMU)
  - Hardware unit that translates a virtual address to a physical address
  - Each memory reference is passed through the MMU
  - Translate a virtual address to a physical address
- Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB)
  - Essentially a cache for the MMU’s virtual-to-physical translations table
  - Not needed for correctness but source of significant performance gain

![Diagram of CPU, MMU, TLB, Translation Table, and Memory flows](image-url)
Recap: Paging

- Paging solves the external fragmentation problem by using fixed sized units in both physical and virtual memory.
Memory Management in Nachos
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Memory Management in Nachos

- What if code section isn’t a multiple of page size?
- What if $0x\ldots$ is larger than physical memory?
- What if $0x\ldots$ is smaller than physical memory?
Memory Management in Nachos

• How do we know how large a program is?
  – A lot is done for you in userprog/UserProcess.java
    • Your applications will be written in “C”
    • Your “C” programs compile into .coff files
    • Coff.java and CoffSection.java are provided to partition the coff file
  – If not enough physical memory, exec() returns error
    • Nachos exec() is different from Unix exec()!!!!!

• Virtual Memory maps exactly to Physical Memory?
  – Who needs a page table, TLB or MMU?
  – What are the implications for this in terms of multiprogramming?
Project 2: Multiprogramming

• Need to support for multiprogramming
  – Programs coexist on physical memory
  – How do we do this?

Would Fixed Partitions work?

Would Variable Partitions work?
Paging
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**MMU and TLB**

- **Memory Management Unit (MMU)**
  - Hardware unit that translates a virtual address to a physical address
  - Each memory reference is passed through the MMU
  - Translate a virtual address to a physical address

- **Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB)**
  - Essentially a cache for the MMU’s virtual-to-physical translations table
  - Not needed for correctness but source of *significant* performance gain

![Diagram of MMU and TLB](image-url)
Paging: Translations

- Translating addresses
  - Virtual address has two parts: virtual page number and offset
  - Virtual page number (VPN) is an index into a page table
  - Page table determines page frame number (PFN)
  - Physical address is PFN::offset

\[ 0x\text{BAADF00D} = 0x\text{BAADF} \rightarrow \text{Translation Table} \rightarrow 0x\text{900DF} \]

- \(0x\text{BAADF00D}\) is the virtual address.
- \(0x\text{BAADF}\) is the virtual page number.
- \(0x\text{00D}\) is the offset.
- \(0x\text{900DF}\) is the physical page number (page frame number).
Page Table Entries (PTEs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>Prot</th>
<th>Page Frame Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Page table entries control mapping**
  - The Modify bit says whether or not the page has been written
    - It is set when a write to the page occurs
  - The Reference bit says whether the page has been accessed
    - It is set when a read or write to the page occurs
  - The Valid bit says whether or not the PTE can be used
    - It is checked each time the virtual address is used
  - The Protection bits say what operations are allowed on page
    - Read, write, execute
  - The page frame number (PFN) determines physical page

- **Note:** when you do exercises in exams or hw, we’ll often tell you to ignore counting M, R, V, Prot bits when calculating size of structures
Paging Example Revisited
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Example

• Assume we are using Paging and:
  – Memory access = 5us
  – TLB search = 500ns

• What is the avg. memory access time without the TLB?
• What is the avg. memory access time with 50% TLB hit rate?
• What is the avg. memory access time with 90% TLB hit rate?
Paging Advantages

• Easy to allocate memory
  – Memory comes from a free list of fixed-size chunks
  – Allocating a page is just removing it from the list
  – External fragmentation is not a problem

• Easy to swap out chunks of a program
  – All chunks are the same size
  – Pages are a convenient multiple of the disk block size
  – How do we know if a page is in memory or not?
Paging Limitations

• Can still have internal fragmentation
  – Process may not use memory in multiples of pages

• Memory reference overhead
  – 2 references per address lookup (page table, then memory)
  – Solution – use a hardware cache of lookups (TLB)

• Memory required to hold page table can be significant
  – Need one PTE per page
  – 32-bit address space w/4KB pages = up to ____ PTEs
  – 4 bytes/PTE = ______ MB page table
  – 25 processes = ______ MB just for page tables!
  – Solution: page the page tables (more later)
Paging: Linear Address Space

- Stack
- Heap
- Data Segment
- Text Segment

0x00...... (Starting Address)
0xFFF...... (Ending Address)
Segmentation: Multiple Address Spaces

- Heap
- Text
- Stack
- Data
Segmentation

Virtual Address:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment #</th>
<th>Offset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Segment Table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>limit</th>
<th>base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Physical Memory:

Yes?  No?  Protection Fault
Segmentation

• Segmentation is a technique that partitions memory into logically related data units
  – Module, procedure, stack, data, file, etc.
  – Virtual addresses become <segment #, offset>
  – Units of memory from user’s perspective
• Natural extension of variable-sized partitions
  – Variable-sized partitions = 1 segment/process
  – Segmentation = many segments/process
• Hardware support
  – Multiple base/limit pairs, one per segment (segment table)
  – Segments named by #, used to index into table
Segment Table

• Extensions
  – Can have one segment table per process
    • Segment #s are then process-relative (why do this?)
  – Can easily share memory
    • Put same translation into base/limit pair
    • Can share with different protections (same base/limit, diff prot)
    • Why is this different from pure paging?
  – Can define protection by segment

• Problems
  – Cross-segment addresses
    • Segments need to have same #s for pointers to them to be shared among processes
  – Large segment tables
    • Keep in main memory, use hardware cache for speed
### Segmentation Example

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment 0</th>
<th>(4K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Segment 1</td>
<td>(8K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 2</td>
<td>(5K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 3</td>
<td>(8K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment 4</td>
<td>(7K)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**(a) External Fragmentation in Segmentation**

*Note: Image courtesy of Tanenbaum, MOS 3/e*
# Paging vs Segmentation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consideration</th>
<th>Paging</th>
<th>Segmentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need the programmer be aware that this technique is being used?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>How many linear address spaces are there?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can the total address space exceed the size of physical memory?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Can procedures and data be distinguished and separately protected?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is sharing of procedures between users facilitated?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Image adapted from Tanenbaum, MOS 3/e
Segmentation and Paging

• Can combine segmentation and paging
  – The x86 supports segments and paging
• Use segments to manage logically related units
  – Module, procedure, stack, file, data, etc.
  – Segments vary in size, but usually large (multiple pages)
• Use pages to partition segments into fixed sized chunks
  – Makes segments easier to manage within physical memory
    • Segments become “pageable” – rather than moving segments into and out of memory, just move page portions of segment
  – Need to allocate page table entries only for those pieces of the segments that have themselves been allocated
• Tends to be complex...
Virtual Memory Summary

• Virtual memory
  – Processes use virtual addresses
  – OS + hardware translates virtual addresses into physical addresses

• Various techniques
  – Fixed partitions – easy to use, but internal fragmentation
  – Variable partitions – more efficient, but external fragmentation
  – Paging – use small, fixed size chunks, efficient for OS
  – Segmentation – manage in chunks from user’s perspective
  – Combine paging and segmentation to get benefits of both
Managing Page Tables

• We computed the size of the page table for a 32-bit address space with 4K pages to be ___ MB
  – This is far too much overhead for each process

• How can we reduce this overhead?
  – Observation: Only need to map the portion of the address space actually being used (tiny fraction of entire address space)

• How do we only map what is being used?
  – Can dynamically extend page table...
  – Does not work if address space is sparse (internal fragmentation)

• Use another level of indirection: multi-level page tables
Managing Page Tables

• We computed the size of the page table for a 32-bit address space with 4K pages to be 100 MB
  – This is far too much overhead for each process

• How can we reduce this overhead?
  – Observation: Only need to map the portion of the address space actually being used (tiny fraction of entire address space)

• How do we only map what is being used?
  – Can dynamically extend page table...
  – Does not work if address space is sparse (internal fragmentation)

• Use another level of indirection: multi-level page tables
One-Level Page Table

Virtual Address

Page number | Offset

Physical Address

Page frame | Offset

Page Table

Page frame (PTE)

Physical Memory

0x00000000

Page 1

Page 2

Page 3

...

Page N

0xFFFFFFFF

0xFFFFFFFFFFFF
Two-Level Page Table

Virtual Address

Master | Secondary | Offset

Page table entry

Master Page Table

Secondary Page Table(s)

Physical Address

Page frame (PTE)

Physical Memory

0x00000000

Page 1

Page 2

Page 3

Page N

0xFFFFFFFF

0xFFFFFFFF
Two-Level Page Tables

• Originally, virtual addresses (VAs) had two parts
  – Page number (which mapped to frame) and an offset
• Now VAs have three parts:
  – Master page number, secondary page number, and offset
• **Master page table** maps VAs to secondary page table
  – We’d like a manageable master page size
• **Secondary table** maps page number to physical page
  – Determines which physical frame the address resides in
• Offset indicates which byte in physical page
  – Final system page/frame size is still the same, so offset length stays the same
Two-Level Page Table Example

Example: 4KB pages, 4B PTEs (32-bit RAM), and split remaining bits evenly among master and secondary
Where do Page Tables Live?

• Physical memory
  – Easy to address, no translation required
  – But, allocated page tables consume memory for lifetime of VAs

• Virtual memory (OS virtual address space)
  – Cold (unused) page table pages can be paged out to disk
  – But, addressing page tables requires translation
  – How do we stop recursion
  – Do not page the outer page table (an instance of page pinning or wiring)

• If we’re going to page the page tables, might as well page the entire OS address space, too
  – Need to wire special code and data (fault, interrupt handlers)
Efficient Translations

• Our original page table scheme already doubled the cost of doing memory lookups
  – One lookup into the page table, another to fetch the data
• Now two-level page tables triple the cost!
  – Two lookups into the page tables, a third to fetch the data
  – And this assumes the page table is in memory
• How can we use paging but also have lookups cost about the same as fetching from memory?
  – Cache translations in hardware
  – Translation Lookaside Buffer (TLB)
  – TLB managed by Memory Management Unit (MMU)
TLBs

• Translation Lookaside Buffers
  – Translate virtual page #s into PTEs (not physical addresses)
  – Can be done in a single machine cycle
• TLBs implemented in hardware
  – Fully associative cache (all entries looked up in parallel)
  – Cache tags are virtual page numbers
  – Cache values are PTEs (entries from page tables)
  – With PTE + offset, can directly calculate physical address
• TLBs exploit locality
  – Processes only use a handful of pages at a time
    • 16-48 entries/pages (64-192K)
    • Only need those pages to be “mapped”
  – Hit rates are therefore very important
Loading TLBs

• Most address translations are handled using the TLB
  – > 99% of translations, but there are misses (TLB miss)...

• Who paces translations into the TLB (loads the TLB)?
  – Software loaded TLB (OS)
    • TLB faults to the OS, OS finds appropriate PTE, loads it into TLB
    • Must be fast (but still 20-200 cycles)
    • CPU ISA has instructions for manipulating TLB
    • Tables can be in any format convenient for OS (flexible)
  – Hardware (Memory Management Unit)
    • Must know where page tables are in main memory
    • OS maintains tables, HW accesses them directly
    • Tables have to be in HW-defined format (inflexible)
Managing TLBs

• OS ensures that TLB and page tables are consistent
  – When I changes the protection bits of a PTE, it needs to invalidate the PTE if it is in the TLB also

• Reload TLB on a process context switch
  – Invalidate all entries
  – Why? What is one way to fix it?

• When the TLB misses and a new PTE has to be loaded, a cached PTE must be evicted
  – Choosing a PTE to evict is called the TLB replacement policy
  – Implemented in hardware, often simple (e.g., Last-Not-Used)
Summary

• Segmentation
  – Observation: User applications group related data
  – Idea: Set virtual->physical mapping to mirror application organization. Assign privileges per segment.

• Page Table management
  – Observation: Per-process page tables can be very large
  – Idea: Page the page tables, use multi-level page tables

• Efficient translations
  – Observation: Multi-level page tables and other page faults can make memory lookups slow
  – Idea: Cache lookups in hardware TLB
Next Time

- Read Chapter 9
- Peerwise questions due tomorrow at midnight.
- Check Web site for course announcements
  - http://www.cs.ucsd.edu/classes/su09/cse120