Overview for today

- What is naming about?
- How Domain Naming System (DNS) works
  - Namespace
  - Data distribution
  - Request/response protocol
  - Caching
  - Bootstrapping
- Experience with DNS and new DNS uses

Names and Addresses

- Names are identifiers for objects/services (high level)
- Addresses are locators for objects/services (low level)
- Resolution is the process of mapping name to address

Goals for a naming system

- How do we locate resources?
  - Machine name -> IP address
  - IP address -> Machine name

- How do we scale to the wide area?
  - Global scope
  - Robustness
  - Consistency: additions, deletions, modifications
  - Performance/overhead
  - Different administrative authorities

Internet Hostnames

- Hostnames are human-readable identifiers for end-systems based on an administrative hierarchy
  - risk64.ucsd.edu is my desktop machine

- IP addresses are a fixed-length binary encoding for end-systems based on their position in the network
  - 132.239.9.64 is risk64's IP address
**Original Hostname System**
- When the Internet was really young ...
- Flat namespace
  - Simple (host, address) pairs
- Centralized management
  - Updates via a single master file called HOSTS.TXT
  - Manually coordinated by the SRI’s Network Information Center (NIC)
  - You ftp’d the file over each day
- Resolution process
  - Look up hostname in the HOSTS.TXT file

---

**Scaling Problems**
- Coordination
  - Between all users to avoid conflicts
- Inconsistencies
  - Between update and distribution of new version
- Reliability
  - Single point of failure
- Performance
  - Competition for centralized resources
  - Size of HOSTS.TXT

---

**Domain Name System (DNS)**
- Designed by Mockapetris and Dunlap in the mid 80s
  - Distributed database
- Namespace is hierarchical
  - Allows much better scaling of data structures
  - e.g., www.cs.ucsd.edu
- Namespace is distributed
  - Decentralized administration and access
  - e.g., xxx.ucsd.edu is managed only by UCSD
- Resolution is by query/response
  - With replicated servers for redundancy
  - With heavy use of caching for performance

---

**DNS Design**
- Administrative hierarchy
  - “.” as separator
  - Zone = contiguous section of name space with its own database and administrative control
    - E.g., Complete tree, single node or subtree
- Zones are created by convincing owner node to create/delegate a subzone
  - E.g., cs.ucsd.edu could be a zone under ucsd.edu

---

**DNS Hierarchy**
- “dot” is the root of the hierarchy
- Top levels now controlled by ICANN
- Lower level control is delegated
- Usage governed by conventions
- FQDN = Fully Qualified Domain Name

---

**DNS Records**
- DNS: distributed db storing resource records (RR)
- Type=A
  - name is hostname
  - value is IP address
- Type=NS
  - name is domain (e.g., foo.com)
  - value is IP address of authoritative name server for this domain
- Type=CNAME
  - name is alias name for some “canonical” (the real) name
  - value is canonical name
- Type=MX
  - value is hostname of mailserver associated with name
DNS Distribution

- One or more nameservers manage each zone
  - Primary nameserver updated manually
  - Secondary nameservers updated using zone transfers performed between nameservers (uses TCP)
  - Multiple nameservers provide redundancy
- Client resolvers query nameservers for specified records
  - Multiple messages may be exchanged per DNS lookup to navigate the name hierarchy

Servers/Resolvers

- Each host has a resolver
  - Typically a library that applications can link to, sometimes in kernel (e.g. Windows XP)
  - Local name servers hand-configured (e.g. /etc/resolv.conf)
- Name servers
  - Typically responsible for some zone (e.g. cs.ucsd.edu)
  - Local servers (also sometimes called caching servers)
    - Do lookup of distant host names for local hosts
    - Typically answer queries about local zone

Lookup Methods

- Iterative
  - Server responds with as much as it knows (iterative)
- Recursive
  - Server goes out and searches for more info (recursive)
    - Only returns final answer or “not found”
- Local server typically does recursive
- Root/distant server does iterative

DNS Lookup Example

Recursive query:
- Puts burden of name resolution on contacted name server

Iterative query:
- Contacted server replies with name of server to contact
- “I don’t know this name, but ask this server”

DNS Message Format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>12 bytes</th>
<th>Identification</th>
<th>Flags</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of Questions</td>
<td>No. of Answer RRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of Authority RRs</td>
<td>No. of Additional RRs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Questions (variable number of answers)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Answers (variable number of resource records)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Authority (variable number of resource records)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional Info (variable number of resource records)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional “helpful info that may be used”</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DNS Header Fields

- Identification
  - Used to match up request/response
- Flags
  - 1-bit to mark query or response
  - 1-bit to mark authoritative or not
  - 1-bit to request recursive resolution
  - 1-bit to indicate support for recursive resolution
Caching

- Servers and clients cache results of DNS lookups
  - Cache partial results too (e.g., server for princeton.edu)
  - Greatly improves system performance; lookups the rare case

- Cache using time-to-live (TTL) value from provider
  - higher TTL means less traffic, lower TTL means less stale info

- Negative caching is used too!
  - errors can cause repeated queries for non-existent data

Impact of caching

DNS Lookup Example

- Client
- Local DNS server
- www.cs.cmu.edu
  - NS cmu.edu
  - NS cs.cmu.edu
  - www=IPaddr
- cmu.edu DNS server
- cs.cmu.edu DNS server

Reliability

- DNS servers are replicated
  - Name service available if at least one replica is up
- UDP used for queries
  - Need reliability \(\rightarrow\) Why not TCP?
  - Try alternate servers on timeout
  - Exponential backoff when retrying same server
  - Same identifier for all queries
    » Don't care which server responds

Reverse Name Lookup

- 128.2.206.138?
  - Lookup 138.206.2.128.in-addr.arpa
  - Why is the address reversed?

- What if there is a many to one mapping?
  - i.e. www.cs.ucsd.edu and www-cse.ucsd.edu are the same machine
  - Reverse lookup should return primary name

Mail Addresses

- MX records point to mail exchanger for a name
  - E.g. mail.acm.org is MX for acm.org
- Addition of MX record type proved to be a challenge
  - How to get mail programs to lookup MX record for mail delivery?
  - Needed critical mass of such mailers
### DNS Bootstrapping

- Need to know IP addresses of root servers before we can make any queries.
- Addresses for 13 root servers ([a-m].root-servers.net) handled via initial configuration (named.ca file).

### DNS: Root Name Servers

- Responsible for “root” zone.
- Approx. dozen root name servers worldwide.
- Currently (a-m).root-servers.net.
- Local name servers contact root servers when they cannot resolve a name.
- Configured with well-known root servers.

### Building on the DNS

- Other naming designs leverage the DNS.
- Email:
  - e.g., savage@cs.ucsd.edu is savage in the domain cs.ucsd.edu.
- Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) name for Web pages:
  - e.g., http://www.cs.ucsd.edu/~savage/
  - Use “/” separated string to name path to page (like files).

### Future Evolution of the DNS

- Design constrains us in two major ways that are increasingly less appropriate.
- Static host to IP mapping:
  - What about mobility (Mobile IP) and dynamic address assignment (DHCP)?
- Location-insensitive queries:
  - What if I don’t care what server a Web page comes from, as long as it’s the right page?
  - e.g., a yahoo page might be replicated.

### DNS Experience

- One of the greatest challenges seemed to be getting good name server implementations:
  - Developers were typically happy with “good enough” implementation.
  - Challenging, large scale, wide area distributed system.
  - Like routing, but easier to have broken implementations that work.
- Common bugs:
  - Looped NS/CNAME record handling.
  - Poor static configuration (root server list).
  - Lack of exponential backoff.
  - No centralized caching per site.
  - Each machine runs own caching local server.
Root Zone

- Generic Top Level Domains (gTLD) = .com, .net, .org, etc...
- Country Code Top Level Domain (ccTLD) = .us, .ca, .fi, .uk, etc...
- Root server ((a-m).root-servers.net) also used to cover gTLD domains
  - Load on root servers was growing quickly!
  - Moving .com, .net, .org off root servers was clearly necessary to reduce load → done Aug 2000

New gTLDs

- .info → general info
- .biz → businesses
- .aero → air-transport industry
- .coop → business cooperatives
- .name → individuals
- .pro → accountants, lawyers, and physicians
- .museum → museums
- Only new one active so far = .info, .biz

New Registrars

- Network Solutions (NSI) used to handle all registrations, root servers, etc...
  - Clearly not the democratic way
  - Large number of registrars that can create new domains → However NSI still handle root servers

Key Concepts

- The design of names, addresses and resolution has a significant impact on system capabilities
  - Hierarchy, decentralization and caching allow the DNS to scale
  - These are general techniques!

For next time...

- HTTP: Read 9.2.2
- Assignment #2 has been posted