

# CSE 20

# DISCRETE MATH

---

Fall 2020

<http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/fa20/cse20-a/>

# Today's learning goals

- Define a predicate over a finite domain using a table of values and as properties
- Determine the truth value of the proposition resulting from evaluating a predicate
- Describe the set of domain elements that make a predicate with one input evaluate to true.
- Evaluate universal and existential statements about finite domains (with no quantifier alternations).
- Counterexample and witness-based arguments for predicates with infinite domains
- Practice combinations of  $\wedge$ ,  $\rightarrow$  in conjunction with universal and existential quantifiers
- State and apply DeMorgan's law for quantified statements.

# Predicates as tables

A **predicate** is a function from a given set (domain) to  $\{T,F\}$

It can be specified by its input-output definition table.

It can be applied, or **evaluated at**, an element of the domain

Domain is

$P(001)$  is

$x$	$P(x)$ $[x]_{2c,3} > 0$
000	$F$
001	$T$
010	$T$
011	$T$
100	$F$
101	$F$
110	$F$
111	$F$

# Predicates as functions

A **predicate** is a function from a given set (domain) to  $\{T,F\}$

It can be specified by its input-output definition table **or by specifying the rule**

It can be applied, or **evaluated at**, an element of the domain.

$x$	$P(x)$ $[x]_{2c,3} > 0$	$N(x)$ $[x]_{2c,3} < 0$
000	$F$	
001	$T$	
010	$T$	
011	$T$	
100	$F$	
101	$F$	
110	$F$	
111	$F$	

# Predicates as functions

$x$	$Mystery(x)$
000	$T$
001	$T$
010	$T$
011	$F$
100	$F$
101	$T$
110	$F$
111	$T$

Which of the following is a description of the rule that corresponds to the input-output definition table for  $Mystery(x)$  ?

- A: “[ $x$ ]<sub>2c,3</sub> is a non-zero number”
- B: “[ $x$ ]<sub>2c,3</sub> is a non-negative number”
- C: “[ $x$ ]<sub>2c,3</sub> is a number that is even and positive”
- D: “[ $x$ ]<sub>2c,3</sub> is a number that is not positive and is not negative”
- E: None of the above

# Predicates as functions

A **predicate** is a function from a given set (domain) to  $\{T,F\}$

It can be specified by its input-output definition table or by specifying the rule

**or by specifying its truth set:** the elements of the domain at which the predicate evaluates to T

Input $x$	$P(x)$	Output	
	$[x]_{2c,3} > 0$	$N(x)$	$Mystery(x)$
000	<i>F</i>		<i>T</i>
001	<i>T</i>		<i>T</i>
010	<i>T</i>		<i>T</i>
011	<i>T</i>		<i>F</i>
100	<i>F</i>		<i>F</i>
101	<i>F</i>		<i>T</i>
110	<i>F</i>		<i>F</i>
111	<i>F</i>		<i>T</i>

Truth set for  $P(x)$  is

Truth set for  $N(x)$  is

Truth set for  $Mystery(x)$  is

*Why is specifying the truth set of a predicate enough to define its rule?*

# Quantified statements

*Rosen p. 40-45*

We can make claims about a set by saying which or how many of its elements satisfy a property. These claims are called **quantified statements** and use predicates.

The **universal quantification** of  $P(x)$  is the statement “ $P(x)$  for all values of  $x$  in the domain” and is written  $\forall xP(x)$ .

The **existential quantification** of  $P(x)$  is the statement “There exists an element  $x$  in the domain such that  $P(x)$ ” and is written  $\exists xP(x)$ .

The **universal quantification** of  $P(x)$  is the statement “ $P(x)$  for all values of  $x$  in the domain” and is written  $\forall x P(x)$ .

Which of the following is a true statement?

- A.  $\forall x P(x)$
- B.  $\forall x N(x)$
- C.  $\forall x Mystery(x)$
- D. All of the above
- E. None of the above

Input $x$	Output		$Mystery(x)$
	$P(x)$ $[x]_{2c,3} > 0$	$N(x)$ $[x]_{2c,3} < 0$	
000	<i>F</i>		<i>T</i>
001	<i>T</i>		<i>T</i>
010	<i>T</i>		<i>T</i>
011	<i>T</i>		<i>F</i>
100	<i>F</i>		<i>F</i>
101	<i>F</i>		<i>T</i>
110	<i>F</i>		<i>F</i>
111	<i>F</i>		<i>T</i>

*What about the existential quantification of each of these predicates?*

# Counterexamples and Witnesses

**Definition:** An element for which  $P(x)$  is  $F$  is called a **counterexample** of  $\forall xP(x)$ .

**Definition:** An element for which  $P(x)$  is  $T$  is called a **witness** of  $\exists xP(x)$ .

For a predicate  $E(x)$ , which of the following is a valid proof strategy:

- A: We can prove that  $\forall xE(x)$  is true using a witness.
- B: We can prove that  $\forall xE(x)$  is true using a counterexample.
- C: We can prove that  $\forall xE(x)$  is false using a witness.
- D: We can prove that  $\forall xE(x)$  is false using a counterexample
- E: More than one of the above

# Counterexamples and Witnesses

Which of these is true?

A:  $\forall x( P(x) \vee N(x) )$

B:  $\exists x( P(x) \rightarrow N(x) )$

C:  $\forall x( P(x) \oplus \text{Mystery}(x) )$

D: More than one of the above

E: None of the above

Input $x$	Output		$\text{Mystery}(x)$
	$P(x)$ $[x]_{2c,3} > 0$	$N(x)$ $[x]_{2c,3} < 0$	
000	<i>F</i>		<i>T</i>
001	<i>T</i>		<i>T</i>
010	<i>T</i>		<i>T</i>
011	<i>T</i>		<i>F</i>
100	<i>F</i>		<i>F</i>
101	<i>F</i>		<i>T</i>
110	<i>F</i>		<i>F</i>
111	<i>F</i>		<i>T</i>

# Quantifier De Morgan

*Rosen p. 45*

Quantifier version of De Morgan's laws:

$$\neg \forall x P(x) \equiv \exists x (\neg P(x))$$

$$\neg \exists x Q(x) \equiv \forall x (\neg Q(x))$$

Example: \_\_\_\_\_ is a false universal quantification. It is logically equivalent to \_\_\_\_\_

Recall: Each RNA strand is a string whose symbols are elements of the set  $B = \{A, C, G, U\}$ . The **set of all RNA strands** is called  $S$ . The function  $rnalen$  that computes the length of RNA strands in  $S$  is:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 & rnalen : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+ \\
 \text{Basis Step:} & \text{If } b \in B \text{ then } rnalen(b) = 1 \\
 \text{Recursive Step:} & \text{If } s \in S \text{ and } b \in B, \text{ then } rnalen(sb) = 1 + rnalen(s)
 \end{array}$$

### Example predicates on $S$

$H(s) = T$	Truth set of $H$ is _____
$L_3(s) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } rnalen(s) = 3 \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	Strand where $L_3$ evaluates to $T$ is e.g. _____ Strand where $L_3$ evaluates to $F$ is e.g. _____
$F_A$ is defined recursively by: Basis step: $F_A(A) = T, F_A(C) = F_A(G) = F_A(U) = F$ Recursive step: If $s \in S$ and $b \in B$ , then $F_A(sb) = F_A(s)$	Strand where $F_A$ evaluates to $T$ is e.g. _____ Strand where $F_A$ evaluates to $F$ is e.g. _____
$P_{AUC}$ is defined as the predicate whose truth set is the collection of RNA strands where the string <b>AUC</b> is a substring (appears inside $s$ , in order and consecutively)	Strand where $P_{AUC}$ evaluates to $T$ is e.g. _____ Strand where $P_{AUC}$ evaluates to $F$ is e.g. _____

$$H(s) = T$$

$$L_3(s) = \begin{cases} T & \text{if } \text{rnanalen}(s) = 3 \\ F & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$F_A$  is defined recursively by:

Basis step:  $F_A(\mathbf{A}) = T$ ,  $F_A(\mathbf{C}) = F_A(\mathbf{G}) = F_A(\mathbf{U}) = F$

Recursive step: If  $s \in S$  and  $b \in B$ , then  $F_A(sb) = F_A(s)$

$P_{\text{AUC}}$  is defined as the predicate whose truth set is the collection of RNA strands where the string AUC is a substring (appears inside  $s$ , in order and consecutively)

A true universal quantification is:

A false universal quantification is:

A true existential quantification is:

A false existential quantification is:

# For next time

- Read website carefully

<http://cseweb.ucsd.edu/classes/fa20/cse20-a/>

- Next pre-class reading:
  - Section 2.1 Definitions 8 and 9 (p. 123). Section 1.5 Example 1 (p. 57) and Example 4 (p. 59)