

Recall the predicate $F(a, b) = \exists c \in \mathbb{Z} (b = ac)$ is a predicate over the domain $\mathbb{Z}^{\neq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}$. In English, $F(a, b)$ evaluates to T means a is a nonzero integer, b is an integer, and a is a factor of b . An equivalent definition is that $F(a, b) = T$ exactly when $b \bmod a = 0$.

Definition (Rosen p. 257): An integer p greater than 1 is called **prime** means the only positive factors of p are 1 and p . We write $Pr(x)$ to indicate that an positive integer x is prime. A positive integer that is greater than 1 and is not prime is called composite.

Claim: The statement “There are three consecutive positive integers that are prime.” is True / False

Hint: These numbers would be of the form $p, p + 1, p + 2$ (where p is a positive integer).

Proof: We need to show _____

Claim: The statement “There are three consecutive odd positive integers that are prime.” is True / False

Hint: These numbers would be of the form $p, p + 2, p + 4$ (where p is an odd positive integer).

Proof: We need to show _____

Proof of universal by exhaustion: To prove that $\forall x P(x)$ is true when P has a finite domain, evaluate the predicate at **each** domain element to confirm that it is always T.

Proof by universal generalization: To prove that $\forall x P(x)$ is true, we can take an arbitrary element e from the domain and show that $P(e)$ is true, without making any assumptions about e other than that it comes from the domain.

To prove that $\exists x P(x)$ is **false**, write the universal statement that is logically equivalent to its negation and then prove it true using universal generalization.

To prove that $p \wedge q$ is true, have two subgoals: subgoal (1) prove p is true; and, subgoal (2) prove q is true.

To prove that $p \wedge q$ is false, it's enough to prove that p is false.

To prove that $p \wedge q$ is false, it's enough to prove that q is false.

Each Netflix user's viewing history can be represented as a n -tuple indicating their preferences about movies in the database, where n is the number of movies in the database. Each element in the n -tuple indicates the user's rating of the corresponding movie: 1 indicates the person liked the movie, -1 that they didn't, and 0 that they didn't rate it one way or another. Consider a four movie database. We denote the set of possible ratings $\{-1, 0, 1\} \times \{-1, 0, 1\} \times \{-1, 0, 1\} \times \{-1, 0, 1\}$ as R_4 . We have the function

$$d_{1,4} : R_4 \times R_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ where } d_{1,4}((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} |x_i - y_i|$$

$$d_{2,4} : R_4 \times R_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \text{ where } d_{2,4}((x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), (y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^4 (x_i - y_i)^2}$$

Consider the following predicates:

Predicate	Domain	Example domain element where predicate is T	Example domain element where predicate is F
$d_{1,4}(r_1, r_2) < d_{2,4}(r_1, r_2)$	$R_4 \times R_4$		
$\exists r_0 \in R_4 (d_{1,4}(r, r_0) = 1)$	R_4		

Claim: $\forall r_1 \in R_4 \forall r_2 \in R_4 (r_1 = r_2 \rightarrow \neg(d_{1,4}(r_1, r_2) < d_{2,4}(r_1, r_2)))$

In English: _____

Extra example: Is the claim equivalent to $\forall r \in R_4 (\neg(d_{1,4}(r, r) < d_{2,4}(r, r)))$?

$$(p \rightarrow q) \equiv \neg(p \wedge \neg q) \qquad \neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q \qquad q \vee \neg p \equiv p \rightarrow q \qquad \neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg(P(x))$$

New! Proof of conditional by direct proof: To prove that the conditional statement $p \rightarrow q$ is true, we can assume p is true and use that assumption to show q is true.