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Lecture 10 Overview

- Spanning Tree

- Internet Protocol
  - Service model
  - Packet format
Spanning Tree Algorithm

● Each bridge sends periodic configuration messages
  ✷ (RootID, Distance to Root, BridgelID)
  ✷ All nodes think they are root initially

● Each bridge updates route/Root upon receipt
  ✷ Smaller root address is better, then shorter distance
  ✷ To break ties, bridge with smaller address is better
  ✷ Record best config heard on each port

● Rebroadcast new config only to ports we’re “best”
  ✷ Don’t bother sending config to LANs with better options
  ✷ Add 1 to distance, send new configs where still “best”
  ✷ Only forward to ports to root or where we’re best
Sample messages to and from B3:

1. B3 sends (B3, 0, B3) to B2 and B5
2. B3 receives (B2, 0, B2) and (B5, 0, B5) and accepts B2 as root
3. B3 sends (B2, 1, B3) to B5
4. B3 receives (B1, 1, B2) and (B1, 1, B5) and accepts B1 as root
5. B3 wants to send (B1, 2, B3) but doesn’t as its nowhere “best”
6. B3 receives (B1, 1, B2) and (B1, 1, B5) again and again…

Data forwarding is turned off for LAN A
Important Details

- What if root bridge fails?
  - Age configuration info
    » If not refreshed for MaxAge seconds then delete root and recalculate spanning tree
    » If config message is received with more recent age, then recalculate spanning tree
  - Applies to all bridges (not just root)

- Temporary loops
  - When topology changes, takes a bit for new configuration messages to spread through the system
  - Don’t start forwarding packets immediately -> wait some time for convergence
Switched Ethernet

- Hosts directly connected to a bridge
  - Learning + spanning tree protocol

- Bridge supports parallel forwarding
  - A-to-B and A’-to-B’ simultaneously
  - Generally full duplex as well

- **Switch** backplane capacity varies
  - Ideally, nonblocking
  - I.e., can run at full line rate on all ports

- No longer any shared bus
  - Each link is its own collision domain
  - Collision detection largely irrelevant
Layer 2 Forwarding

- Create spanning tree across LANs
  - Learn which ports to use to reach which addresses

- Benefits
  - Higher link bandwidth (point-to-point links)
  - Higher aggregate throughput (parallel communication)
  - Improved fault tolerance (redundant paths)

- Limitations
  - Requires homogeneous link layer (e.g. all Ethernet)
  - Can’t control forwarding topology

- What if we want to connect different link layers?
Combing Networks

- Main challenge is heterogeneity of link layers:
  - Addressing
    » Each network media has a different addressing scheme
  - Bandwidth
    » Modems to terabits
  - Latency
    » Seconds to nanoseconds
  - Frame size
    » Dozens to thousands of bytes
  - Loss rates
    » Differ by many orders of magnitude
  - Service guarantees
    » Send and pray vs reserved bandwidth
Internetworking

- Cerf & Kahn 74, "A Protocol for Packet Network Intercommunication"
  - Foundation for the modern Internet

- Routers forward packets from source to destination
  - May cross many separate networks along the way

- All packets use a common Internet Protocol
  - *Any* underlying data link protocol
  - *Any* higher layer transport protocol
IP Networking
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Routers

- A router is a store-and-forward device
  - Routers are connected to multiple networks
  - On each network, looks just like another host
  - A lot like a switch, except at the network layer

- Must be explicitly addressed by incoming frames
  - Not at all like a switch, which is transparent
  - Removes link-layer header, parses IP header

- Looks up next hop, forwards on appropriate network
  - Each router need only get one step closer to destination
IP Philosophy

- Impose few demands on network
  - Make few assumptions about what network can do
  - No QoS, no reliability, no ordering, no large packets
  - No persistent state about communications; no connections

- Manage heterogeneity at hosts (not in network)
  - Adapt to underlying network heterogeneity
  - Re-order packets, detect errors, retransmit lost messages…
  - Persistent network state only kept in hosts (fate-sharing)

- Service model: best effort, a.k.a. send and pray
IP Packet Header

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bit Position</th>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-3</td>
<td>ver</td>
<td>Version number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-5</td>
<td>HL</td>
<td>Header length indicator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-15</td>
<td>TOS</td>
<td>Type of Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>length</td>
<td>Total length of packet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-31</td>
<td>identification</td>
<td>Identification number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-39</td>
<td>offset</td>
<td>Offset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-47</td>
<td>TTL</td>
<td>Time to live</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48-49</td>
<td>protocol</td>
<td>Protocol type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-55</td>
<td>header checksum</td>
<td>Header checksum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-63</td>
<td>source address</td>
<td>Source address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64-71</td>
<td>destination address</td>
<td>Destination address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72-79</td>
<td>options (if any)</td>
<td>Options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80-87</td>
<td>data (if any)</td>
<td>Data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20 bytes
Version field

- Which version of IP is this?
  - Plan for change
  - Very important!

- Current versions
  - 4: most of Internet today
  - 6: new protocol with larger addresses
  - What happened to 5?
    Standards body politics.
Header length

- How big is IP header?
  - In bytes/octetets
  - Variable length
    » Options
  - Engineering consequences of variable length...

- Most IP packets are 20 bytes long
Type-of-Service

- How should this packet be treated?
  - Care/don’t care for delay, throughput, reliability, cost
  - How to interpret, how to apply on underlying net?
  - Largely unused until 2000 (hijacked for new purposes, ECN & Diffserv)
Length

- How long is whole packet in bytes/octets?
  - Includes header
  - Limits total packet to 64K
  - Redundant?
TTL (Time-to-Live)

- How many more routers can this packet pass through?
  - Designed to limit packet from looping forever
- Each router decrements TTL field
- If TTL is 0 then router discards packet
Protocol

● Which transport protocol is the data using?
  ✓ i.e. how should a host interpret the data

● TCP = 6
● UDP = 17
IP Checksum

- Header contains simple checksum
  - Validates content of header only

- Recalculated at each hop
  - Routers need to update TTL
  - Hence straightforward to modify

- Ensures correct destination receives packet
For Next Time

- Read 3.2.5-6, 9.3.1 in P&D
- Don’t neglect the project… due NEXT Friday