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Announcements

- Homework #1 due now
- Project 0 due tonight
- Project groups
  - Please send project group info to Charles
  - Project 1 will start on Thursday
Processes

- Recall that a process includes many things
  - An address space (defining all the code and data pages)
  - OS resources (e.g., open files) and accounting information
  - Execution state (PC, SP, regs, etc.)
- Creating a new process is costly because of all of the data structures that must be allocated and initialized
  - Recall struct proc in Solaris
  - …which does not even include page tables, etc.
- Communicating between processes is costly because most communication goes through the OS
  - Overhead of system calls and copying data

Parallel Programs

- Also recall our Web server example that forks off copies of itself to handle multiple simultaneous requests
  - Or any parallel program that executes on a multiprocessor
- To execute these programs we need to
  - Create several processes that execute in parallel
  - Cause each to map to the same address space to share data
    - They are all part of the same computation
  - Have the OS schedule these processes in parallel (logically or physically)
- This situation is very inefficient
  - Space: PCB, page tables, etc.
  - Time: create data structures, fork and copy addr space, etc.
Rethinking Processes

- What is similar in these cooperating processes?
  - They all share the same code and data (address space)
  - They all share the same privileges
  - They all share the same resources (files, sockets, etc.)

- What don’t they share?
  - Each has its own execution state: PC, SP, and registers

- Key idea: Why don’t we separate the concept of a process from its execution state?
  - Process: address space, privileges, resources, etc.
  - Execution state: PC, SP, registers

- Exec state also called thread of control, or thread

Threads

- Modern OSes (Mach, Chorus, NT, modern Unix) separate the concepts of processes and threads
  - The thread defines a sequential execution stream within a process (PC, SP, registers)
  - The process defines the address space and general process attributes (everything but threads of execution)

- A thread is bound to a single process
  - Processes, however, can have multiple threads

- Threads become the unit of scheduling
  - Processes are now the containers in which threads execute
  - Processes become static, threads are the dynamic entities
### Threads in a Process

- **Thread 1**
  - Stack (T1)
  - PC (T1)
- **Thread 2**
  - Stack (T2)
  - PC (T2)
- **Thread 3**
  - Stack (T3)
  - PC (T3)

### Thread Design Space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One Thread/Process</th>
<th>Many Threads/Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Address Space</td>
<td>Many Address Spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(MSDOS)</td>
<td>(Early Unix)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Address Space</th>
<th>Thread</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Pilot, Java)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>One Thread/Process</th>
<th>Many Threads/Process</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One Address Space</td>
<td>Many Address Spaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Mach, Unix, NT, Chorus)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Process/Thread Separation

- Separating threads and processes makes it easier to support multithreaded applications
  - Creating concurrency does not require creating new processes
- Concurrency (multithreading) can be very useful
  - Improving program structure
  - Handling concurrent events (e.g., Web requests)
  - Writing parallel programs
- So multithreading is even useful on a uniprocessor

Threads: Concurrent Servers

- Using fork() to create new processes to handle requests in parallel is overkill for such a simple task
- Recall our forking Web server:

```c
while (1) {
    int sock = accept();
    if ((child_pid = fork()) == 0) {
        Handle client request
        Close socket and exit
    } else {
        Close socket
    }
}
```
Threads: Concurrent Servers

- Instead, we can create a new thread for each request

```c
web_server() {
    while (1) {
        int sock = accept();
        thread_fork(handle_request, sock);
    }
}
```

```c
handle_request(int sock) {
    Process request
    close(sock);
}
```

Kernel-Level Threads

- We have taken the execution aspect of a process and separated it out into threads
  - To make concurrency cheaper
- As such, the OS now manages threads and processes
  - All thread operations are implemented in the kernel
  - The OS schedules all of the threads in the system
- OS-managed threads are called **kernel-level threads** or **lightweight processes**
  - NT: threads
  - Solaris: lightweight processes (LWP)
**Kernel Thread Limitations**

- Kernel-level threads make concurrency much cheaper than processes
  - Much less state to allocate and initialize
- However, for fine-grained concurrency, kernel-level threads still suffer from too much overhead
  - Thread operations still require system calls
    - Ideally, want thread operations to be as fast as a procedure call
  - Kernel-level threads have to be general to support the needs of all programmers, languages, runtimes, etc.
- For such fine-grained concurrency, need even “cheaper” threads

**User-Level Threads**

- To make threads cheap and fast, they need to be implemented at user level
  - Kernel-level threads are managed by the OS
  - User-level threads are managed entirely by the run-time system (user-level library)
- User-level threads are small and fast
  - A thread is simply represented by a PC, registers, stack, and small thread control block (TCB)
  - Creating a new thread, switching between threads, and synchronizing threads are done via procedure call
    - No kernel involvement
  - User-level thread operations 100x faster than kernel threads
U/L Thread Limitations

- But, user-level threads are not a perfect solution
  - As with everything else, they are a tradeoff
- User-level threads are *invisible* to the OS
  - They are not well integrated with the OS
- As a result, the OS can make poor decisions
  - Scheduling a process with idle threads
  - Blocking a process whose thread initiated an I/O, even though the process has other threads that can execute
  - Unscheduling a process with a thread holding a lock
- Solving this requires communication between the kernel and the user-level thread manager

Kernel vs. User Threads

- Kernel-level threads
  - Integrated with OS (informed scheduling)
  - Slow to create, manipulate, synchronize
- User-level threads
  - Fast to create, manipulate, synchronize
  - Not integrated with OS (uninformed scheduling)
- Understanding the differences between kernel and user-level threads is important
  - For programming (correctness, performance)
  - For test-taking
Kernel and User Threads

- Another possibility is to use both kernel and user-level threads
  - Can associate a user-level thread with a kernel-level thread
  - Or, multiplex user-level threads on top of kernel-level threads
- Java Virtual Machine (JVM)
  - Java threads are user-level threads
  - On older Unix, only one “kernel thread” per process
    - Multiplex all Java threads on this one kernel thread
  - On NT, modern Unix
    - Can multiplex Java threads on multiple kernel threads
    - Can have more Java threads than kernel threads
    - Why?

User and Kernel Threads

- Multiplexing user-level threads on a single kernel thread for each process
- Multiplexing user-level threads on multiple kernel threads for each process
Implementing Threads

- Implementing threads has a number of issues
  - Interface
  - Context switch
  - Preemptive vs. non-preemptive
  - Scheduling
  - Synchronization (next lecture)
- Focus on user-level threads
  - Kernel-level threads are similar to original process management and implementation in the OS
  - What you will be dealing with in Nachos
  - Not only will you be using threads in Nachos, you will be implementing more thread functionality

Thread Interface

- thread_fork(procedure_t)
  - Create a new thread of control
  - Also thread_create(), thread_setstate()
- thread_stop()
  - Stop the calling thread; also thread_block
- thread_start(thread_t)
  - Start the given thread
- thread_yield()
  - Voluntarily give up the processor
- thread_exit()
  - Terminate the calling thread; also thread_destroy
Thread Scheduling

- The thread scheduler determines when a thread runs
- It uses queues to keep track of what threads are doing
  - Just like the OS and processes
  - But it is implemented at user-level in a library
- Run queue: Threads currently running (usually one)
- Ready queue: Threads ready to run
- Are there wait queues?
  - How would you implement thread_sleep(time)?

Non-Preemptive Scheduling

- Threads voluntarily give up the CPU with thread_yield

Ping Thread

```c
while (1) {
    printf("ping\n");
    thread_yield();
}
```

Pong Thread

```c
while (1) {
    printf("pong\n");
    thread_yield();
}
```

- What is the output of running these two threads?
thread_yield()

- Wait a second. How does thread_yield() work?
- The semantics of thread_yield are that it gives up the CPU to another thread
  - In other words, it context switches to another thread
- So what does it mean for thread_yield to return?
  - It means that another thread called thread_yield!
- Execution trace of ping/pong
  - `printf("ping\n");`
  - `thread_yield();`
  - `printf("pong\n");`
  - `thread_yield();`
  - `...`

Implementing thread_yield()

```c
thread_yield() {
    thread_t old_thread = current_thread;
    current_thread = get_next_thread();
    append_to_queue(ready_queue, old_thread);
    context_switch(ready_queue, old_thread, current_thread);
    return;
}
```

- The magic step is invoking context_switch()
- Why do we need to call append_to_queue()?
### Thread Context Switch

- The context switch routine does all of the magic
  - Saves context of the currently running thread (old_thread)
    » Push all machine state onto its stack (*not* its TCB)
  - Restores context of the next thread
    » Pop all machine state from the next thread’s stack
  - The next thread becomes the current thread
  - Return to caller as new thread
- This is all done in assembly language
  - It works at the level of the procedure calling convention, so it cannot be implemented using procedure calls
  - See code/threads/switch.s in Nachos

### Preemptive Scheduling

- Non-preemptive threads have to voluntarily give up CPU
  - A long-running thread will take over the machine
  - Only voluntary calls to thread_yield(), thread_stop(), or thread_exit() causes a context switch
- **Preemptive scheduling** causes an *involuntary* context switch
  - Need to regain control of processor asynchronously
  - Use timer interrupt
  - Timer interrupt handler forces current thread to “call” thread_yield
    » How do you do this?
  - **Nachos is preemptive**
    » See use of thread->yieldOnReturn in code/machine/interrupt.cc
Threads Summary

- The operating system as a large multithreaded program
  - Each process executes as a thread within the OS
- Multithreading is also very useful for applications
  - Efficient multithreading requires fast primitives
  - Processes are too heavyweight
- Solution is to separate threads from processes
  - Kernel-level threads much better, but still significant overhead
  - User-level threads even better, but not well integrated with OS
- Now, how do we get our threads to correctly cooperate with each other?
  - Synchronization…

Next time...

- Read Chapter 7.1—7.6