Memory Management

Next few lectures are going to cover memory management

- Goals of memory management
  - To provide a convenient abstraction for programming
  - To allocate scarce memory resources among competing processes to maximize performance with minimal overhead

- Mechanisms
  - Physical and virtual addressing (1)
  - Techniques: Partitioning, paging, segmentation (1)
  - Page table management, TLBs, VM tricks (2)

- Policies
  - Page replacement algorithms (3)
Lecture Overview

- Virtual memory warm-and-fuzzy
- Survey techniques for implementing virtual memory
  - Fixed and variable partitioning
  - Paging
  - Segmentation
- Focus on hardware support and lookup procedure
  - Next lecture we’ll go into sharing, protection, efficient implementations, and other VM tricks and features

Virtual Memory

- The abstraction that the OS will provide for managing memory is virtual memory (VM)
  - Virtual memory enables a program to execute with less than its complete data in physical memory
    » A program can run on a machine with less memory than it “needs”
    » Can also run on a machine with “too much” physical memory
  - Many programs do not need all of their code and data at once (or ever) – no need to allocate memory for it
  - OS will adjust amount of memory allocated to a process based upon its behavior
  - VM requires hardware support and OS management algorithms to pull it off
- Let’s go back to the beginning…
In the beginning...

- Rewind to the days of batch programming
  - Programs use physical addresses directly
  - OS loads job, runs it, unloads it
- Multiprogramming changes all of this
  - Want multiple processes in memory at once
    » Overlap I/O and CPU of multiple jobs
  - Can do it a number of ways
    » Fixed and variable partitioning, paging, segmentation
  - Requirements
    » Need protection – restrict which addresses jobs can use
    » Fast translation – lookups need to be fast
    » Fast change – updating memory hardware on context switch

Virtual Addresses

- To make it easier to manage the memory of processes running in the system, we’re going to make them use virtual addresses (logical addresses)
  - Virtual addresses are independent of the actual physical location of the data referenced
  - OS determines location of data in physical memory
  - Instructions executed by the CPU issue virtual addresses
  - Virtual addresses are translated by hardware into physical addresses (with help from OS)
  - The set of virtual addresses that can be used by a process comprises its virtual address space
- Many ways to do this translation...
  - Start with old, simple ways, progress to current techniques
Fixed Partitions

- Physical memory is broken up into fixed partitions
  - Hardware requirements: base register
  - Physical address = virtual address + base register
  - Base register loaded by OS when it switches to a process
  - Size of each partition is the same and fixed
  - How do we provide protection?
- Advantages
  - Easy to implement, fast context switch
- Problems
  - Internal fragmentation: memory in a partition not used by a process is not available to other processes
  - Partition size: one size does not fit all (very large processes?)
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- Physical memory is broken up into fixed partitions
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  - Physical address = virtual address + base register
  - Base register loaded by OS when it switches to a process
  - Size of each partition is the same and fixed
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Fixed Partitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Base Register</th>
<th>Physical Memory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P4’s Base</td>
<td>P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
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Variable Partitions

- Natural extension -- physical memory is broken up into variable sized partitions
  - Hardware requirements: base register and limit register
  - Physical address = virtual address + base register
  - Why do we need the limit register? Protection
  - If (physical address > base + limit) then exception fault
- Advantages
  - No internal fragmentation: allocate just enough for process
- Problems
  - External fragmentation: job loading and unloading produces empty holes scattered throughout memory
Paging

- Paging solves the external fragmentation problem by using fixed sized units in both physical and virtual memory

![Virtual Memory to Physical Memory Diagram]

User/Process Perspective

- Users (and processes) view memory as one contiguous address space from 0 through N
  - Virtual address space (VAS)
- In reality, pages are scattered throughout physical storage
- The mapping is invisible to the program
- Protection is provided because a program cannot reference memory outside of its VAS
  - The address “0x1000” maps to different physical addresses in different processes
Paging

- Translating addresses
  - Virtual address has two parts: virtual page number and offset
  - Virtual page number (VPN) is an index into a page table
  - Page table determines page frame number (PFN)
  - Physical address is PFN:offset
- Page tables
  - Map virtual page number (VPN) to page frame number (PFN)
    - VPN is the index into the table that determines PFN
  - One page table entry (PTE) per page in virtual address space
    - Or, one PTE per VPN

Page Lookups

```
Virtual Address
  Page number  Offset

Page Table
  Page frame

Physical Address
  Page frame  Offset

Physical Memory
```
Paging Example

- Pages are 4K
  - VPN is 20 bits ($2^{20}$ VPNs), offset is 12 bits
- Virtual address is 0x7468
  - Virtual page is 0x7, offset is 0x468
- Page table entry 0x7 contains 0x2000
  - Page frame number is 0x2000
  - Seventh virtual page is at address 0x2000 (second physical page)
- Physical address = 0x2000 + 0x468 = 0x2468

Page Table Entries (PTEs)

- Page table entries control mapping
  - The Modify bit says whether or not the page has been written
    » It is set when a write to the page occurs
  - The Reference bit says whether the page has been accessed
    » It is set when a read or write to the page occurs
  - The Valid bit says whether or not the PTE can be used
    » It is checked each time the virtual address is used
  - The Protection bits say what operations are allowed on page
    » Read, write, execute
  - The page frame number (PFN) determines physical page
**Paging Advantages**

- Easy to allocate memory
  - Memory comes from a free list of fixed size chunks
  - Allocating a page is just removing it from the list
  - External fragmentation not a problem
- Easy to swap out chunks of a program
  - All chunks are the same size
  - Use valid bit to detect references to swapped pages
  - Pages are a convenient multiple of the disk block size

**Paging Limitations**

- Can still have internal fragmentation
  - Process may not use memory in multiples of a page
- Memory reference overhead
  - 2 references per address lookup (page table, then memory)
  - Solution – use a hardware cache of lookups (more later)
- Memory required to hold page table can be significant
  - Need one PTE per page
  - 32 bit address space w/ 4KB pages = $2^{20}$ PTEs
  - 4 bytes/PTE = 4MB/page table
  - 25 processes = 100MB just for page tables!
  - Solution – page the page tables (more later)
Segmentation

- Segmentation is a technique that partitions memory into logically related data units
  - Module, procedure, stack, data, file, etc.
  - Virtual addresses become \(<\text{segment #}, \text{offset}\>
  - Units of memory from user's perspective
- Natural extension of variable-sized partitions
  - Variable-sized partitions = 1 segment/process
  - Segmentation = many segments/process
- Hardware support
  - Multiple base/limit pairs, one per segment (segment table)
  - Segments named by #, used to index into table

Segment Lookups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virtual Address</th>
<th>Segment Table</th>
<th>Physical Memory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offset</td>
<td>limit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Segment #</td>
<td>base</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Yes? \(\Rightarrow\) No? \(\Rightarrow\) Protection Fault
Segment Table

- Extensions
  - Can have one segment table per process
    » Segment #s are then process-relative (why do this?)
  - Can easily share memory
    » Put same translation into base/limit pair
    » Can share with different protections (same base/limit, diff prot)

- Problems
  - Cross-segment addresses
    » Segments need to have same #s for pointers to them to be shared among processes
  - Large segment tables
    » Keep in main memory, use hardware cache for speed

Segmentation and Paging

- Can combine segmentation and paging
  - The x86 supports segments and paging
- Use segments to manage logically related units
  - Module, procedure, stack, file, data, etc.
  - Segments vary in size, but usually large (multiple pages)
- Use pages to partition segments into fixed size chunks
  - Makes segments easier to manage within physical memory
    » Segments become “pageable” — rather than moving segments into and out of memory, just move page portions of segment
  - Need to allocate page table entries only for those pieces of the segments that have themselves been allocated
- Tends to be complex…
Summary

- Virtual memory
  - Processes use virtual addresses
  - OS + hardware translates virtual address into physical addresses

- Various techniques
  - Fixed partitions – easy to use, but internal fragmentation
  - Variable partitions – more efficient, but external fragmentation
  - Paging – use small, fixed size chunks, efficient for OS
  - Segmentation – manage in chunks from user’s perspective
  - Combine paging and segmentation to get benefits of both

Next time...

- Chapter 10